

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF  
THE UNITED STATES ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT**

NORMAN E. ZOLLER  
CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

TEL. 404/335-6535  
56 FORSYTH STREET, N.W.  
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

June 27, 2000

Ms. Alberta Davison  
Post Office Box 1571  
Monroeville, AL 36461

Dear Ms. Davison:

Re: Miscellaneous Case No. 00-0002

Enclosed is an Order of the Judicial Council on your petition for review of the order filed February 14, 2000, making disposition of the judicial complaint filed by you on January 18, 2000, with the Judicial Council of the Eleventh Circuit. You are also hereby notified that the law provides for no further review of this decision. See 28 U.S.C.A. § 372(c)(10); Addendum Three to the Eleventh Circuit Rules, Rule 7(c)(2).

Sincerely,

  
Circuit Executive

/kla

Enclosure

c: Members of the Judicial Council  
Mr. Thomas K. Kahn

JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

00-0002

FILED  
JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE  
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

JUN 27 2000

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL  
MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

FILED BY ALBERTA DAVISON, Petitioner  
CONCERNING THE HONORABLE GERALD B. TJOFLAT

UNDER COURT SEAL

---

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW\*


---

Before: COX, BIRCH, DUBINA, BLACK, CARNES, BARKETT, and HULL,  
Circuit Judges; ALBRITTON, BUTLER, VINSON, KOVACHEVICH, FITZPATRICK,  
BOWEN, and EVANS, Chief District Judges; and NELSON, District Judge.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint, the order of Chief Judge Anderson  
filed on February 14, 2000, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on  
March 27, 2000, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council having requested  
that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council hereby determines that the disposition by Chief Judge  
Anderson of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby AFFIRMED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
United States Circuit Judge

\* Chief Circuit Judge Anderson, Circuit Judges Tjoflat and Edmondson, and Chief  
District Judge Davis did not take part in consideration of this petition for review.