Edward J. Percesepe 35 Millers Court Ronkonkoma, NY 11779-3930 516-588-3057

November 2, 1997

Chief Justice Of Supreme Court William Rehnquist
U.S. Supreme Court Building
#1 First Street
Washington, DC 20543

Honorable Chief Justice William Renquist,

I realize this direct correspondence with you is likely a breach of proper procedure, however, I must alert his honor to the improper and possibly illegal actions of Second District Court Judge John Gleeson, as well as possible problems in the Appellate Court. My action was originally to be heard by District Judge Leonard Wexler but was transferred to Judge Gleeson for unknown reasons. Gleeson is a former Assistant Attorney General for Eastern District for New York and may have close ties to the New York State Attorney General's office.

I went before Judge Gleeson as a plaintiff in a 1983 action against The New York State Department of Labor, The New York State Crane Board and individual state official defendants. These defendants are represented by the Attorney General's office, who instead of protecting its citizens, has insisted on defending a indefensibly flawed, constitutionally violative and corrupt system in which International Union of Operating Engineers (IUOE) officials have been secretly placed in charge of licensing all crane operators throughout New York State. These officals act in their own self-interests, with impunity under cloak of state authority and engage in a documented pattern of discriminatory denial of licensing to non-affiliated applicants.

My Case was handled by Magistrate Judge Boyle, after over two years of costly litigation case was certified for trial, defense had asked Magistrate Boyle for permission to make a motion for Summary Judgement, but were sternly denied permission. Defense then asked Judge Gleeson for permission to make motion for Summary Judgement, it was granted without question. Although, only unsupported affidavits stating Department of Labor (DOL) policy and purjured depositions of defendants that were irrevelent to the main charge of my complaint were entered, Judge Gleeson granted Summary Judgement. His decision was a total misrepresentation of the facts of my action. I immediately appealed his decision with the expectation that an improper and unjust decision would



be reversed on appeal. At great expense I retained an appeal's expert to write appeal, there was no reason not to expect a reversal of Gleeson's ruling.

Appeal was made in a timely manner and argued Sept. 9, 1997, my attorney's as well as myself were shocked to receive notice filed Sept. 22, 1997 that the Appellate Court had upheld Gleeson's flawed decision. I believe Summary Order amounts to arbitrary denial of justice. I have submitted a petition for re-hearing, being no longer able to afford to be represented by counsel I have answered Pro Se.

I have brought a forthright and legitimate action necessary only because of corruption of New York State officials and agencies and the lack of oversight by federal authorities. My counsel's as well as myself firmly believe my action has been improperly and illegally dismissed, Summary Judgement by the District Court and upheld by the Appellate Court is in effect arbitrary and secret denial of access to the court system. It can only be concluded that a citizen has no rights or defense against New York State or its officials no matter how constitutionally violative their actions are.

I believe you should use the great powers at your disposal to investigate and determine the true situation so that citizens will be able to have confidence in their judicial system and judges.

I am enclosing for your review, my complaint, Judge Gleeson's decision, my rehearing brief and appendix of exhibits, decision of appellate court, also enclosed for your review is a newspaper article published in the New York Law Journal by the Center for Judicial Accountability, which indicates serious problems in the Second District judicial system.

Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter. Should any further information or assistance be required please contact me.

Most Respectfully,

Edward J. Percesepe