

Thank you -- and Looking Forward to a Dialogue Based on Evidence

**Subject: Thank you -- and Looking Forward to a Dialogue Based on Evidence**

**Date: 5/4/2004, 4:34 PM**

**From: Elena Ruth Sassower <judgewatchers@aol.com>**

**To: s-presser@law.northwestern.edu**

**Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.**

Dear Professor Presser:

Thank you for your prompt and gracious response, which I cherish.

That said, conclusions must be based on evidence -- and, presumably, in the half hour between the transmittal of my e-mail and your response, you did not examine the primary source documents on which my memo -- and CJA's July 3, 2001 letter to Senator Schumer -- rest.

As you have not identified the conclusions with which you do not agree, I cannot otherwise respond. Be assured, however, that if you specify the conclusions to which you are referring, I would not only be pleased to direct you to the precise evidence on which they are based, but would welcome the opportunity to learn from you as to how that evidence might otherwise be interpreted. Indeed, I would be grateful to have you as my teacher.

Elena

Stephen B. Presser wrote on 5/4/2004, 11:19 AM:

Dear Ms. Sassower:

Thanks for your materials and your thoughtful comments. I don't agree with all of your conclusions, but I am glad to have had your work and what you've produced called to my attention.

Stephen B. Presser

Raoul Berger Professor of Legal History

Northwestern University School of Law

Professor of Business Law

Kellogg School of Management

357 E. Chicago Ave.

Chicago, IL 60611

Ph: 708 370 4124

FAX: 847 446 2110

S-Presser@law.northwestern.edu

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Elena Ruth Sassower [<mailto:judgewatchers@aol.com>]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 04, 2004 9:52 AM

**To:** [mjgerh@wm.edu](mailto:mjgerh@wm.edu); [s-presser@law.northwestern.edu](mailto:s-presser@law.northwestern.edu); [jmaltese@uga.edu](mailto:jmaltese@uga.edu); [slotnick.1@osu.edu](mailto:slotnick.1@osu.edu); [sheldon.goldman@polsci.umass.edu](mailto:sheldon.goldman@polsci.umass.edu); [judith.resnik@yale.edu](mailto:judith.resnik@yale.edu);

[nscherer@miami.edu](mailto:nscherer@miami.edu); [jack.balkin@yale.edu](mailto:jack.balkin@yale.edu); [schoi@law.berkeley.edu](mailto:schoi@law.berkeley.edu); [jvorth@email.unc.edu](mailto:jvorth@email.unc.edu)

**Cc:** [Hibbitts@law.pitt.edu](mailto:Hibbitts@law.pitt.edu); [jason.mazzone@brooklaw.edu](mailto:jason.mazzone@brooklaw.edu)

**Subject:** Jurist Online Symposium: Beyond Statistics to Documentary Evidence

**TO:** Academic Contributors to the April 15, 2004 Jurist Online Symposium, "The Judicial Confirmations Proces: Selecting Federal Judges in the Twenty-First Century"

**FROM:** Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
(914) 421-1200

**RE:** Beyond Statistics to Documentary Evidence: The Corruption of Federal Judicial Selection/Confirmation, as *Readily-Verifiable* from Case-Studies of So-Called "Mainstream" "Consensus" Nominations -- Including those Engineered by Senator Charles Schumer

**DATE:** May 4, 2004

Attached is my memo to you of today's date, as well as CJA's July 3, 2001 letter to Senator Schumer discussed therein.

I look forward to hearing from you -- and being of service to your scholarship.

Thank you.

P.S. As I do not have the e-mail addresses of Professors Gulati and Taha, I would appreciate if either Professor Hibbitts or Professor Mazzone would forward this on to them. Thanks.