CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

P.O. Box 69, Gedney Station White Plains, New York 10605-0069 Tel. (914) 421-1200 Fax (914) 428-4994

E-Mail: judgewatch@aol.com Web site: www.judgewatch.org

Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator

October 16, 2001

Professor Vincent Martin Bonventre Albany Law School 80 New Scotland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

RE: <u>Supplementing my October 9th letter to you</u>

Dear Professor Bonventre:

Footnote 3 of my October 9th letter to you states,

"As the Attorney General may be withdrawing his opposition to my [August 17th] motion, I will defer providing it to you, as likewise my correspondence to the Attorney General demonstrating my entitlement to additional sanctions against him, as well as disciplinary and criminal referral, unless his opposition is withdrawn".

In light of the Attorney General's subsequent refusal to withdraw his opposition -- notwithstanding his *mandatory* duty to do so under 22 NYCRR §1200.5 [DR 1-104 of New York's Code of Professional Responsibility] -- the balance of the papers on my August 17th motion are enclosed. They are:

(1) Respondent's opposition, consisting of Assistant Attorney General Carol Fischer's August 30th "Affirmation" and Memorandum of Law;

(2) Appellant's October 15th Reply Affidavit, annexing my exchange of correspondence with the Attorney General's office.

As reflected by 124-25 of my enclosed Reply Affidavit, the Attorney General's wilful refusal on this appeal to meet the most rudimentary standard of professional responsibility, including supervisory oversight, replicates his similarly violative conduct in the lower court, summarized by my Appellant's Brief.

Professor Vincent M. Bonventre

Page Two

Finally, annexed is the <u>New York Law Journal</u>'s calendar listing of the appeal, now set down for Wednesday, November 21st, at 10 a.m. In the event the Appellate Division, First Department does not, prior thereto, grant my August 17th motion for its disqualification, I invite you, your professional colleagues, and interested students to attend the oral argument on that date – which is the day before Thanksgiving.

Thank you again.

Yours for a quality judiciary,

Stena

ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Coordinator Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

Enclosures: As indicated

U.S. Postal Ser	lice Delivery Confirmation Receipt
Postage and Del	very Confirmation fees must be paid before mailing. The compared by maller Cash School Cash School POSTAL CUSTOMER: Keep this receipt. For inquiries: Access internet web site at www.usps.com or call 1-800-222-1811 RECONE (POSTAL USE ONLY)

Monday, October 15, 2001

01-2245Credit Suisse v. Crisanti 00-784People v. Forrest Whitak-01-2670Pagliaccio v. Holborn Corp 01-2249Pallot v. Peltz 01-1386 Mavica v. NYCTA 01-81N Hamilton v. Fab Industries WEDNESDAY, NOV. 21 10 A.M. 99-4202People v. Crystal Garner a/k/a Danielle Harver, Danielle Harner 01-1929Schultz v. 400 Cooperative 97-4348People v. Franklin Navarez 00-3981 People v. David Snipes a/k/a David Swipes 99-6320 People v. Irwin White 99-4553People v. Paul Bowman a/k/a Devon Jones 99-4688People v. James Williams 01-2703Zuckerwise v. Sorceron 00-5434Sassower v. Comm. On Judicial Conduct 01-2627(02) Ciao Europa, Inc. v. Silver Autumn Hotel 01-2543Krulwich v. Posner 01-1958Perez v. NYCTA 97-3332People v. Francisco Ramirez 01-2658Prenty v. Cava Construction 01-2304Bongiasca v. Bongiasca 01-2167Kent v. Kent 01-1906Coughlan v. Turner 00-5151 Edinboro v. NYDHR 01-1973Bivins v. Zeckendorf 01-2690(02)N Chait v. Chait FRIDAY, NOV. 23 10 A.M. 01-1598Perez v. NYCHA 01-1925Lunan v. Mormile 01-2036 Regaldo v. Independent Welding 01-1320Tucker v. Loriero 1996-7034 People v. Paul Martinez 01-2500Fredericks v. North General 99-5157People v. Marcell Ross 01-1863Manrique v. Warshaw 98-10201 People v. Robert Braun a/k/a Robert Brown 01-2017Kent v. Papert Companies 99-3147People v. Tangeria Stradford 01-1587 Igarashi v. Higashi 00-2878People v. Daisy Contes a/k/a Daisy Cortes 01-1964(02) Sumner v. Sumner 01-1512Coleman v. Norton 01-1826Chrisomalides v. Ekow 01-1089Lamot v. City Of New York 01-1187Harwood v. Chaliha 01-2667N Robert v. Straus Productions v. Pollard 01-2410N Wise v. Blue Sullivan, P.J.; Rosenberger, Nardelli, Williams and Tom, JJ. The following cases have been scheduled for pre-argument conference on the dates and at the times indicated: MONDAY, OCT. 15 9:30 A.M. 602532/99 HRH Construction Corp. v. Forest Electric Coep. 10:30 A.M. 601253/00ICS Yarn Corp. v.

Incomex, Inc.

11:30 A.M.

603145/97 Wien & Malkin LLP v.

ments v. Fidelity Holdings Inc. 2 P.M. 600207/00 Kassis v. Mosallem FRIDAY, OCT. 26 9:30 A.M. 16855/93Graske v. McHugh 10:30 A.M. 23366/93Flores v. Dearborne Management, Inc. 11 A.M. 603347/00 Vinder v. Showbran Leasing & Mgt. Inc. 11:30 A.M. 602568/99 Vermont Teddy Bear Co. v. 538 Madison Rity Co. 600572/00 Kensingotn House co. v. Oram MONDAY, OCT. 29 10:30 A.M. 22849/93Gonzalez v. Our ALady of Mercy Medical Center 11 A.M. 120963/98Bonds v. NYCHA 12 Noon 24338/99Zeides v. Hebrew Home for the Aged at Riverdale TUESDAY, OCT. 30 1 P.M. 121636/97 Azzu v. Reardon 2 P.M. 5631/99 Roman v. Roman WEDNESDAY, OCT. 31 9:30 A.M. 601922/96 Liddle, Robinson & Shoemaker v. Shoemaker 10 A.M.

12 Noon

601963/01 Montrose Invest-

16640/96Santiago v. Allied Outdoor Advertising, Inc. WEDNESDAY, NOV. 14

9:30 A.M.

350602/97 McManus v. McManus 10 A.M. 16988/91 V.A.L. Floors, Inc. v.

Aetna Casualty and Surety Co.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 21 9:30 A.M.

600821/01L-3 Communications Corp. v. Channel Technologies, Inc.

APPELLATE TERM

60 Centre Street Room 408, 10 A.M.

New York County

NOTICE:

Please call 800-COURT-NY or 888-COURTNY for updated information con-

COUR

Continued from page 10

A law guardian shall ask the Court to assign additional counsel if the law guardian discovers a potential or actual conflict in his/her representation of multiple children in the same family.

A law guardian shall act in a manner consistent with the Lawyer's Code of Professional Responsibility.

A law guardian shall not assume the role of social worker or mental health professional, but shall seek the assistance of such professionals on behalf of the child when appropriate.

A law guardian shall not engage in *ex parte* communications with the Court absent waiver by all parties.

A law guardian shall not communicate with the parties in the absence of their counsel or without counsel's written permission.

A law guardian, so long as she/he is the legal representative, advisor and advocate for a child in a custody and/or visitation matter, shall not act as a witness or submit any written reports to the court at any point during the proceedings or in any subsequent proceedings.

A lawyer who has met the necessary training and certification requirements established by the Committee to Certify Law Guardians for Appointment in Domestic Relations Matters may apply for and be accepted as law guardians in the First Judicial Department. Agencies or private law firms may not be qualified as a whole to represent children in the First Judicial Department, but individual attorneys employed by such agencies or private law firms may do so if they meet the necessary training and certification requirements.

A law guardian shall receive continuing education pertinent to the role of the law guardian, including relevant areas such as child growth and development, domestic violence, substance abuse, family dynamics, and childhood and adult mental health issues.

A law guardian shall not participate in contested monetary issues raised in a matrimonial proceeding such as equitable distribution, maintenance and child support, except where relevant to custody and visitation determinations.

The Justices of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court, First Judicial Department, by virtue of the authority vested in them, effective immediately, amend Part 614 of the Rules of the Court (22 NYCRR, Part 614) to add Appendix A as follows:

PART 614. COMMITTEE TO CERTIFY LAW GUARDIANS FOR APPOINTMENT IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS MATTERS

APPENDIX A. COMMITTEE TO CERTIFY LAW GUARDIANS FOR APPOINTMENT IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS MATTERS

The Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, in furtherance of its obligation to provide for the appointment of competent law guardians approves the bylaws contained herein. Certification for appointment as a law guardian is a privilege granted to qualified attorneys by the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department.

BYLAWS

1. Quorum and Voting

- 1.1 A quorum of a majority of the Committee is required for the conduct of business.
- 1.2 Final action on proposed guidelines requires a majority vote of the quorum.

2. Application for Certification

- 2.1 All applications for certification as a law guardian shall be addressed to the Coordinator.
- 2.2 The Coordinator shall examine each application for facial sufficiency. If the application is found to be insufficient, the Coordinator shall return it to the applicant.
- 2.3 The Coordinator shall promptly assign every application not returned pursuant to 2.2 to a Committee member for review.
- 2.4 The Coordinator shall interview the applicant prior to the Chair or Committee taking action on the application. The Coordinator shall report the results of the meeting to the Chair.
- 2.5 Within sixty days of receiving the application, the assigned Committee member shall: review at least one of the required references in each category listed on the application, confirm that the applicant is registered as an attorney in good standing with the Office of Court Administration, and recommend in writing to the Chair the action to be taken on the application. A convert the

The Dec 3, 2001. The records of brief must must be fibe filed of

NEW YORK LAW J(

2.9 A

ħ

re

36

n:

đi

G

te

รข

im

me

sh

m;

tin

ini

su

gu

mi

COI

COL

ani

mit

Cot

пеу

3.6

3.6

3.6(

3.6(

3.7 At

3.8 The

3.9 The

3.10 Nd

4. Respo

4.1 Co

4.2 Th

co

to

be

Col

and

the

Ap

or

3.6 The

3.5 Ini

3.4 A)

3.3 W

3. Com

3.1 T

3.2 W