

Subject: Re: Putting Into Practice Your Recommendations in "Journalistic Malpractice..."

Date: 9/9/2005, 4:43 PM

From: CLAY CALVERT <cxc45@psu.edu>

To: Elena Ruth Sassower <judgewatchers@aol.com>

cc: rdr2@psu.edu

Dear Elena,

Thanks for your interest in our article on journalistic malpractice. Both Professor Richards and I are away from our offices (we are at a conference in

New Jersey), but we look next week at your materials when we return back to Penn States.

We don't know whether anyone has used our recommendations, but we would be delighted to see people such as yourself use them. We hope they are used!

Clay

On Fri, 09 Sep 2005 11:01:33 +0000, "Elena Ruth Sassower" wrote:

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Dear Professors Calvert
> and Richards,
>
> Have you or anyone else implemented the recommendations of your
> important article, "Journalistic Malpractice: Suing Jayson Blair and
> the New York Times for Fraud and Negligence"?
>
> I have a case that could powerfully advance those recommendations --
> and especially because the facts as to injury to the public, which your
> article struggled with with respect to Mr. Blair's journalism, are
> clear and devastating.
>
> For your convenience, I am attaching the centerpiece of the proposed
> case: The New York Times' November 7, 2004 column, "When
> the Judge Sledgehammered The Gadfly", as annotated by a
> line-by-line analysis demonstrating that each line is knowingly and
> deliberately defamatory, false, and misleading, concealing ALL the
> legal and constitutional issues involved, not to mention the official
> misconduct of our highest public officers with respect to the vital
> processes of judicial selection and discipline.
>
> This analysis was delivered to Times
> Executive Editor Bill Keller under a July 29, 2005 letter -- to which there
has
> been NO response from him or any of its other indicated recipients,
> including Publisher Arthur Sulzberger, Jr., Managing Editor for
> Newsgathering Jill Abramson, and Standards Editor Allan Siegal.
> Meanwhile, The Times' betrayal of its First Amendment