

Center for Judicial Accountability

From: Center for Judicial Accountability <elena@judgewidth.org>
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2015 5:49 PM
To: 'tgrieve@nationaljournal.com'; 'sbland@nationaljournal.com';
'rbrownstein@nationaljournal.com'; 'acoller@nationaljournal.com';
'jkraushaar@nationaljournal.com'; 'bpershing@nationaljournal.com';
'dberman@nationaljournal.com'; 'talberta@nationaljournal.com';
'abrown@nationaljournal.com'; 'cookpoliticalreport@cookpolitical.com';
'adrusch@nationaljournal.com'; 'rfournier@nationaljournal.com';
'gcondon@nationaljournal.com'; 'garrettM@cbsnews.com';
'bhouse@nationaljournal.com'; 'nornstein@nationaljournal.com';
'aroarty@nationaljournal.com'; 'sgoldmacher@nationaljournal.com';
'eschultheis@nationaljournal.com'; 'awalter@cookpolitical.com';
'dwasserman@nationaljournal.com'; 'awollner@nationaljournal.com';
'preis@nationaljournal.com'; 'smimms@nationaljournal.com';
'jolphant@nationaljournal.com'; 'eroller@nationaljournal.com';
'mkoren@nationaljournal.com'; 'lryan@atlanticmedia.com';
'bsasso@nationaljournal.com'; 'bvolz@nationaljournal.com'
Subject: NEWS LEAD: The Senate Judiciary Committee is rigging the confirmation of Loretta Lynch.

NEWS LEAD

The Senate Judiciary Committee is rigging the confirmation of Loretta Lynch.

Have you checked the Senate Judiciary Committee's webpage on the confirmation of Loretta Lynch as Attorney General? It posts -- as "Letters Received" -- only testimonial letters favorable to her confirmation. No letters in opposition.

What is the reason? Is it to conceal that at least one opposition letter -- and the subsequent letters based thereon -- present EVIDENCE dispositive of Ms. Lynch's corruption as U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York, both in her first and second terms -- and of her deficient, and possibly perjurious, responses to the confidential and public portions of the questionnaire she was required to complete for the Senate Judiciary Committee?

You can readily judge this for yourself -- as likewise the deceitfulness of the interview that Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman Grassley gave to Sarah Mimms, resulting in her January 21st article which opens "Chuck Grassley has no reason to believe Loretta Lynch won't be confirmed as the next attorney general. But first, the new Senate Judiciary Committee chairman plans to offer his members an exhaustive, we'll-be-here-all-night-if-we-have-to-style hearing to comb through her record...". <http://www.nationaljournal.com/congress/grassley-lynch-hearing-will-be-gop-forum-to-hit-obama-on-immigration-20150121>. Examine the dispositive opposition letters that Chairman Grassley has before him -- all from our non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) -- posted on our website, www.judgewidth.org, accessible via the prominent homepage link: "CJA's Citizen Opposition to Senate Confirmation of U.S. Attorney Loretta Lynch as Attorney General". May I suggest that you start with the first letter, my December 17, 2014 to the Senate Judiciary Committee, whose final paragraph expressly requests that the letter be posted on the Committee's website. The Committee's non-response to the letter, including my request to testify in opposition at its confirmation hearing, is recited by my January 6, 2015 letter to the Committee, expressly requesting its response to the statement in my enclosed January 5, 2015 letter to President Obama:

"...the press has yet to report to the American People -- that the Senate Judiciary Committee's own vetting is a fiction and its confirmation hearings essentially rigged to

ensure confirmation, which it does by excluding opposition testimony from members of the public have dispositive evidence of nominee unfitness, such as corruption and ethics breaches.

At bar, NO Senator can vote for U.S. Attorney Lynch's confirmation based on the evidence here presented." (at p. 3, capitalization in original)

The direct link to CJA's webpage posting these dispositive opposition letters – AND the EVIDENCE supporting them – is here: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-federal/lynch/2014-opposition-lynch-ag.htm>

The National Journal prides itself as "[f]iercely honest and scrupulously non-partisan", with a "a four-decade history of...trustworthy, in-depth analysis". Yet, incongruously, it appears to have done NO critical examination of Ms. Lynch's record as U.S. Attorney and of her vetting, both pre-nomination and post-nomination. Consequently, and because the National Journal is such a large operation, with so many reporters, editors, and analysts, I look forward to your enthusiastic follow-up. I am available to speak with you directly and to answer your questions about this far-reaching and as yet unreported major news story.

Feel free to call me at night or over the weekend, as time is of the essence. The Senate Judiciary Committee's hearing on the confirmation is less than five days away – and it is quite obvious that I am not being "invited" to testify in opposition. Do you know who is?

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
tel: 914-421-1200
cell: 646-220-7987
elena@judgewatch.org