CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

(914) 421-1200 • Fax (914) 684-6554 E-Mail: probono@delphi.com

Box 69, Gedney Station White Plains, New York 10605

By Fax: 518-486-9652 (3 pages)
By Certified Mail/RRR: P-624-546-598

March 29, 1996

Governor George Pataki Executive Chamber, State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

ATT: Michael Finnegan, Counsel

Dear Mr. Finnegan:

This confirms our telephone request to your office today for a copy of the "Report on the Allegations Against Judge Duckman". That Report is referred to in Governor Pataki's Letter to the Editor, published in the New York Law Journal on March 18, 1996. A copy is enclosed, for your convenience.

In that letter, the Governor states:

"I can only wonder how many of those who endorsed the resolution took the time to read the Report on the Allegations Against Judge Duckman that accompanied the referral [to the State Commission on Judicial Conduct]. Had they done so, they would have appreciated the basis for the referral..."

In addition to the "Report on the Allegations Against Judge Duckman", we would appreciate a copy of the "referral" itself.

May we also take this opportunity to alert you to the fact that we have still received <u>no</u> response from your office to our <u>repeated</u> requests--spanning the past several months--for specific information about the Governor's judicial screening procedures, and his consideration of a particular candidate. A copy of our repeatedly-faxed letter request is enclosed.

Your prompt attention to the foregoing would be greatly appreciated.

Yours for a quality judiciary,

ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Coordinator Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

Enclosures

LETTERS

To the Editor

Governor Responds To Bars' Resolution

Last Friday, March 8, your newspaper reported that a group of 26 bar associations and six law school deans has issued a joint resolution endorsing the "fundamental principle" that "judges in New York should not be subject to the fear of sanction of removal from office solely upon the basis of a decision, ruling or opinion, lawfully taken pursuant to the exercise of judicial discretion." As your reporter noted, the group seemed to be aiming its comments at my decision to refer New York Criminal Court Judge Lorin Duckman to the State Commission on Judicial Conduct to determine whether he should be removed from office.

I can only wonder how many of those who endorsed the resolution took the time to read the Report on the Allegations Against Judge Duckman that accompanied the referral. Had they done so, they would have appreciated the basis for the referral was not a lawful exercise of judicial discretion. Rather, I made the referral because there was strong evidence that Judge Duckman harbored a personal bias — a belief that domestic violence is not a crime — that, if found to exist, should automatically disqualify him from the bench.

The report includes this paragraph, which sets forth my fundamental principle in this area:

No judge should be removed for a single (or even a few) discretionary decision which may be viewed as "bad." Especially in our criminal courts, judges must make difficult rulings balancing competing interests, often in a hurried setting and frequently with less than perfect information. Removal for a few bad decisions could be ruinous for the independence of the judiciary, which is a bulwark of our liberty. That is true even when? a judge's decision leads to nightmarish consequences that a wiser: ruling would have prevented.

As long as I am Governor, that principle will guide my decisions in these weighty matters. I asked the Commission on Judicial Conduct to review Judge Duckman's fitness because, in my judgment, the matter went far beyond a few bad discretionary rulings. Most troubling to me were Judge Duckman's remarks as set forth in a sworn affidavit by Bryanne Hamill, a respected Bronx prosecutor - remarks that were reportedly said in the midst of a 1991 domestic violence case. According to Ms. Hamill (whom my staff interviewed and found credible), Judge Duckman told her that he had once been involved in a domestic violence incident, that "you can't believe it's you doing these things" and either that it "should not be" or "is not a crime." Ms. Hamill prepared a contemporaneous memorandum detailing these remarks.

If Judge Duckman made such indefensible comments, he should not be sitting in Criminal Court. Domestic violence is a crime; indeed, it is among the most serious crimes prosecuted in our criminal courts, and one in which the potential for escalating violence is omnipresent. Plainly, no judge can rule impartially and dispassionately on issues of bail, orders of protection, and the like, if he or she believes that such matters are somehow beyond the control of the abusive partner and therefore not the proper subject for a criminal case.

Put differently, if Judge Duckman made these remarks, his decision to release Benito Oliver (who subsequently killed Galina Komar) or to confer a "time served" sentence on Maximo Pena (who subsequently attacked Evelyn Molina) take on a different cast; they were not just bad decisions; they were biased decisions rendered by a judge who should not have presided over the cases.

Do the 26 bar association groups and six law school deans believe that a person who views domestic violence as not a crime and whose decisions bespeak that view is fit to sit in Criminal Court? If so, I would encourage them to issue another joint resolution setting forth that belief. As Governor, I would have abdicated my responsibility to ensure that the laws are faithfully executed if I had not referred Judge Duckman to the Commission for it to conduct a full investigation. As I said at the time and reiterate now, if the Commission determines that the facts are as presented to me - that Judge Duckman cannot rule impartially on domestic violence cases and that he has exhibited a reckless disregard for the rights of victims — his removal from the bench is the appropriate course. 10011

New York.

George E. Pataki Albany, N.Y. The author is Governor of the State of (914) 421-1200 · Fax (914) 684-6554

J12/96 Pox: 486-9652

To: Kath Ceen Sanvidge

Per on represent the phone

Please direct m. Finnepan

By Fax: 518-474-8099 for see

5 pages

Teachts

ERS Box 69, Gedney Station White Plains, New York 10605 1/29/96 To Priscilla Aboudreau As discussed our repeated represts for this information— seginario in December in phose en versations and Brian Malares— Susan Meier, Legislative Assistant have gotten us nowhere Office of the Governor Dreed presight of in order.
Thanks: Executive Chamber, State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

Dear Ms. Meier:

As discussed a short while ago by phone, please confirm for us whether Andrew O'Rourke is being considered for appointment to a judgeship. If "confidentiality" prevents you from doing so, we would appreciate a letter to that effect.

Also, we request a list of the names of the members of the Temporary Judicial Screening Committee, established by Governor Pataki's Executive Order #11.

Finally, I enclose a copy of the Center's brochure--as well as our <u>New York Times</u>' Op-Ed ad, "Where Do You Go When Judges Break the Law" and our <u>New York Law Journal</u> letter to the editor, "Commission Abandons Investigative Mandate". As may be seen from those newspaper items, our judicial process has been corrupted by self-interested judges who have jettisoned fundamental legal standards. We will be sending a letter to the Governor on that subject, enclosing petitions signed by over 1,000 citizens of this State for appointment of an investigatory commission.

Yours for a quality judiciary,

Elena Ratt DosoRre

ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Coordinator Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

1/16/96 Enclosures To: Cinda DiBonardo per on telephone coversation the enclosed is forced received to assist Mr. Finnegan in obtain for us the basic information we have been endeavoring unsuccessfully to procure.

TIME: 01/03/1994 02:56

9146846554

DATE, TIME FAX NO./NAME DURATION PAGE(S) RESULT MODE

3/29/96 01/03 02:54-15184869652 00:01:54 03 OK STANDARD

P 624 546 598

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAII

ON INTERNATIONAL MOVIDED	
13 CIVAL MAIL	
COR Revocasi	
in similar desires for	
100/14/2007 - 10/0 C	
The Trace	L
4 Street - Street	1
Street and No.	_
9000	-
o P.O. State and ZIP COM	_
O. A. The and The Color	•
2 L-400 VP	-
" Postage 222 4	
31 1000 3 1005	1
I CONTRACT DE MINICIPAL DE MINI	Н
* Certified ee	1
La! (A)	ı
Sporting	ı
Spe (a) Delivery Fee	1
Rosinors s	
Restricted Delivery FAC	
I Pat	
Return Accelpt Showing to whom and Obto Day	
lo whom and Date Officered	
Return (see	
Return receipt showing to whom, TOTAL Post	
Address of Deliwhom,	
TOTAL Postage and Fees	
ustage and Fees	
303 \$	
Poslmark or Date	
2016	
, 1 -1-1	

ö	S	E	N	D	Ε	R:	

| 5

completed

- Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.
 Complete items 3, 4s, and 4b.
 Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can return this Attach this form to the front of the malipiece, or on the back if space does not

- Perrint.

 Write 'Return Receipt Requested' on the maliplece below the article number.

 The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered and the date delivered. 3. Article Addressed to:
 - Consult postmaster for fee. 4a. Article Number

4b. Service Type Certified

extra feè);

I also wish to receive the following services (for an

1. Addressee's Address

☐ Insured

2.

Restricted Delivery

tum Receipt Service

☐ Registered State Copidd ☐ Express Mail ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise ☐ COD 7. Date of Delivery

hickael Finne

- 5. Received By: (Print Name)
- 8. Addressee's Address (Only if requested and fee is paid)

 \mathbf{m}_{0} and \mathbf{n}_{0} and \mathbf{n}_{0}

Domestic Return Receipt

6. Signaturet (Ageres be or Agere) P9 Form 3811 December 1994