1	
2	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK IST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
3	X HEARING RE:
4	HEARING RE.
5	COMMISSION ON STATEWIDE ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE
6	
7	New York County Lawyers Association 14 Vesey Street
8	New York, New York 10007
9	August 11, 2015
10	BEFORE:
11	COMMISSION MEMBERS: HONORABLE BARRY A. COZIER, Chair
12	HONORABLE PETER SKELOS MARK C. ZAUDERER, ESQ.
13	ROBERT P. GUIDO, ESQ. DEVIKA KEWALRAMANI, ESQ.
14	SEAN MORTON, ESQ.
15	
16	Claudette Gumbs, Official Court Reporter Monica Horvath, Official Court Reporter
17	60 Centre Street
18	New York, New York 10007 646.386.3693
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Claudette Gumbs

anywhere else and so when these bogus charges were
brought against me, I said I won't do anything but the
only thing I will demand is to let the public know.
That is how you educate the public, by letting them
know. I don't have anything to hide. I never had
anything to hide on any issue all right? And so
therefore, I will be treated like any other person. I
don't want to have the privilege of being a lawyer
elevating me above the common people. That is not my
thing, that is not my interest and I will continue to
fight until the very end for the injustices that are
putting millions of blacks and Latinos behind bars.
(Applause.)
JUDGE COZIER: The next speaker is Elena
Sassower.
MS. SASSOWER: If I may

Claudette Gumbs

JUDGE COZIER: We are not accepting submissions

Ŧ

1	Proceedings
2	here, Ms. Sassower. You made a submission to us.
3	MS. SASSOWER: I am presenting you with
4	statistics and other information that will make the
5	testimony
6	JUDGE COZIER: The information you submitted
7	before will be made part of the record.
8	MS. SASSOWER: My name is Elena Sassower. I
9	am Director and Co-founder of the Center for Judicial

10	NYCtranscript.txt Accountable Inc, a non partisan nonprofit citizens
10	
11	organization that for more than a quarter of a century
12	has documented the corruption of judicial selection,
13	judicial discipline and the judicial process itself.
14	This includes the judiciary's corruption of
15	the system of attorney discipline, all aspects of which
16	it controls and which it uses to protect and insulate
17	from accountability the politically connected attorneys
18	and to retaliate against judicial whistleblowing ones.
19	I am also privileged to be the daughter of
20	two such judicial whistleblowing attorneys. My
21	father, George Sasssower, was disbarred by a
22	order of the Appellate Division, مرFebruary 23, 1987
23	Second Department, for violating court orders requiring
24	him to acquiesce to the court's cover up of lawyer
25	larceny of assets of an involuntarily dissolved
26	corporation assets which have yet to be accounted for

Claudette Gumbs

154

1	Proceedings
2	by the Court nearly 30 years later.
3	My mother, Doris L. Sassower, was indefinitely
4	suspended by a June 14, 1991 so-called interim order of
5	the Appellate Division Second Department, without
6	reasons, without findings, unsupported by a petition or
7	by any hearing, as to which to date, nearly 25 years
8	later, there have been no findings, no hearing, no
9	appellate review.
10	New York's court controlled system of

Page 151

11 attorney discipline, as it currently exists, is 35 years And it has survived because no one in a position 12 old. 13 of power or influence has confronted the proof of its dysfunction, corruption and politicization. 14 because I knew and understand that the attorney 15 disciplinary system cannot survive an evidentiary 16 presentation that I contacted the Office of Court 17 Administration to find out whether hearings would be 18 held -- public hearings, because this Commission, the 19 Commission on Statewide Attorney Discipline, was, until 20 21 the third week of June, inaccessible. It had no phone number, no website, no way for the public to contact it 22 with the information born of direct personal experience 23 and to furnish it with the documentation that it would 24 need if it was going to conduct a legitimate, honest 25 review. 26

Claudette Gumbs

155

1	Proceedings And It is to the credit of Chair Cozier and prior
2	It is to the credit of Chair Cozier and prior
3	thereto, Chair Prudenti, that in response to my
4	inquiries on the subject, that they threw up a website
5	and announced these public hearings.
6	I have handed up and I ask you to open the
7	Sile Siles of the tree or an examine tenethor what T

I have handed up and I ask you to open the file folders so that we can examine together what I think Mr. Zauderer identified as something of concern to him and that was the statistics. So the very first page are statistics. Now, I will tell you that the

8

9

11	Office of Court Administration does not make these statistics
12	readily available. They are not on its website, they
13	are not really anywhere, and to the extent that you can
14	find anything, you can get from the Fourth Department
15	its statistics which are part of its annual report and
16	the First Department has its statistics in its annual
17	report at the back.
18	I have given you the page from the New York
19	State Bar Association's annual report that is put out
20	by its Committee on Professional Discipline and this is
21	the most recent for 2012.
22	Let's just take a look at matters disposed
23	of, okay? For 2012. All right. Now, we talk about
24	the grievance committees but the fact of the matter is,
25	the grievance committees are sham entities, not they
26	don't really exist, don't operate as committees with

Claudette Gumbs

1	Proceedings
2	all of their membership because most of the complaints
3	that are filed with the committees are going out at a
4	stage where none of the committee members have ever
5	seen those complaints. They are being processed by
6	staff. All right.
7	Now, if you look at the statistics here you
8	will see and because of lack of time, I I don't
9	want to dwell on it, but if you see that the three,
10	departments, the Second, Third and Fourth departments
11	are dismissing between 45 and 52 percent of complaints
	Page 153

12 they receive -- are rejected by them as failing to 13 state complaint which means of course that they are 14 purporting that the allegations, if true, would not be 15 misconduct. All right. 16 But look at the First Department. It is only 11 percent. That is too great a range. There is 17 something wrong. How do you account for that 18 difference? 19 20 Now, look at the next category. Dismissed First of all, that category makes no 21 or withdrawn. 22 Because a complaint that is dismissed sense, correct? 23 is very different from a complaint that is withdrawn. 24 They should be in separate categories. But they are 25 bunched together. Okay. But if you add up those two categories and what you see in the First Department is 26

Claudette Gumbs

9

1	Proceedings
2	that it makes up for the statistical difference by
3	dismissing 63 percent of complaints for it doesn't
4	identify the reason but that they are being
5	dismissed, plus the 11. The cumulative statistic is
6	that between 74 percent in the First Department,
7	63 percent in the Second Department, 69 percent in the
8	Third Department, a nd 75 percent in the Fourth
9	Department are being dismissed at the outset.
10	And the truth of it is that those dismissals
11	are not being made by the committee. You can talk

12	about the presence of non lawyers on the committee, no
13	non-lawyers and actually, it would appear that with the
14	exception of possibly the First Department, all
15	these dismissals at outset are not seen by a single
16	committee member, lawyer or lay.
17	In the First Department, these dismissals
18	possibly, and it is not clear from a reading of the
19	rules, are with the acquiescence of a single lawyer
20	member. Okay. So the lion's share of complaints
21	and how many are we talking about? Well, we are
22	talking about matters disposed of well, you have
23	thousands and thousands matters disposed of here.
24	It is 11,661, Okay.
25	(Whereupon, the following was transcribed by
26	Senior Court Reporter Monica Horvath.)

Claudette Gumbs

1	Proceedings
2	Now, what can we tell from statistics?
3	well, the statistics, are very limited because the
4	question is are those dismissals appropriate, are they
5	correct? And to make that evaluation, you need to see the
6	complaints. You need to see the complaints, and you need to
7	compare them with the dismissal letters. And what do the
8	dismissal letters say about the complaints, and is it
9	consistent?
10	JUDGE COZIER: Miss Sassower, you have about one minute
11	remaining.
12	MS. SASSOWER: Oh, dear. OK, Alright
13	So let me very quickly tell you.
	Page 155

In 1989 the State Comptroller tried to do an audit
the Commission of Judicial Conduct which wouldn't allow
the Comptroller to its files. The Comptroller knew that
without access to the record of complaints and dismissals he
could make no assessment as to the legitimacy of the
dismissals of complaints. The Commission wouldn't give
access so he wrote a report called "Not Accountable to the
Public".
You have no auditing. In all these years there has
never been an independent auditing of the complaints filed
with the Grievance Committees, You are not in a position to
do an independent audit, but I will, since my time is up, I
I want to just leave this with you. MONICA S. HORVATH - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

1	Proceedings
2	(Whereupon, the witness approaches the panel
3	and distributes packet.)
4	All those who have testified should be providing
5	you with their complaints.
6	I have brought here a sample, an illustrative
7	sample of, let's see five here, five.
8	JUDGE COZIER: Those can all be given to Mr. Koller.
9	MS. SASSOWER: And I have additionally excuse me,
LO	I want to say that the important law review of
L1	Professor Gillers, which really gave rise to this Commission,
L2	as powerful as it is, it is flawed. Why? Because it never
L3	goes beneath the surface of the judicial decisions. And the
L4	judicial decisions over and again like the dismissals of
L5	complaints they are not really by the Grievance Committee S

	16	but by 🖼	NYCtranscı staff are fr	auds.		
	17		JUDGE COZIER:	Your time is up.		
	18		MS. SASSOWER:	And you can discern t	hem by examining	
	19	the case	files.			
	20		JUDGE COZIER:	Thank you, Miss Sasso	wer.	
	21		MS. SASSOWER:	Here are the case fil	es as to the	
	22	unconsti	cutionality of	the New York Attorney	Disciplinary	
	23	Law.				
	24		(Whereupon, th	e witness leaves a ca	rt full of	
	25		files in fro	nt of the panel.)		
Ŷ	26		MONICA S. HORV	You may be sure 'ATH - SENIOR COURT RE	PORTER	
1			JUDGE COZIER:	-	160	
	_	- 11108	500 1/10811		100	
0.5	N V	19th, of	Cho	Proceedings		
250 ²¹	2	War	JUDGE COZIER:	Miss Sassower, thank	you very much.	
5000	3		VOICE: Do you	have any questions?		
	4		JUDGE COZIER:	Thank you very much.		
	5		MS. SASSOWER:	I have a few things,	because	
	6	Mr. Zaud	erer, asked and	ther v ery important q	uestion at the	
	7	Albany h	-	L.	- 0.0	
	8	- 010	JUDGE COZIER:	Your time is up, Ms .	VV OzzaZ.	
	9 کا	Ands now	VOICE: Let her	finish.		
~ SSO.	10	1000	0000	Would you repeat the	question to me	
5	11	that you	asked the stat	e bar?		
	12		MR. ZAUDERER:	Sorry, I don't rememb	er what you are	
	13	referrin	g to.			
	14		MS. SASSOWER:	May I remind you?		
	15		MR. ZAUDERER:	Go ahead.	Thank you, 8"	_
	16		JUDGE COZIER:	Miss Sassower?	, , , ,	
	17		MS. SASSOWER:	He asked me to remind	l him. He asked	
	18	me to re	mind him. Than	k you.		
			Page	157		

19	Like d to P rofessor Gillers
20	JUDGE COZIER: Miss Sassower, please.
21	MS. SASSOWER: Mr. Zauderer asked the President of
22	the S tate B ar who spoke up
23	JUDGE COZIER: Miss Sassower, your time is up.
24	VOICE: Let her talk. Let her talk.
25	VOICE: Let her talk. Let her talk. He asked no the asked me, to respond to
26	the question that he asked the President of the State Bar in MONICA S. HORVATH - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

161

Proceedings Albany at the first hearing. Because the President of the State Bar had testified about introducing discovery into the attorney disciplinary proceedings. And the State Bar has issued a report and Mr. Zauderer -- because discovery is such a fundamental thing it is a matter of due process, confrontation rights, and -- Mr. Zauderer, asked the intelligent question, "Well, what is the opposition; what could be the opposition to discovery?" And, believe it or not, the President of the State Bar fumbled and was not really able to answer that question. And, I said -- I tried at the end -- I said, "I have the answer," and, so, now, I will give you the answer. JUDGE COZIER: Briefly.

MS. SASSOWER: The answer is that in all the decades that we have had this attorney disciplinary regime, you may be sure that prosecuted attorneys have made motions and sought appeals and have raised the constitutional issue, among others, of their entitlement to discovery. They have raised it before the Appellate Division. They have raised it

1

2 3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

	21	NYCtranscript.txt before the Court of Appeals.
	22	If you look in the records, the files, case files,
	23	and of course the case files, once an attorney is publicly
	24	disciplined, disbarred or suspended, those files are all open
	25	to you, okay. You have no bar. What you will see is they
	26	make the constitutional legal arguments and the response MONICA S. HORVATH - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
		162
	1	Proceedings
	2	from the court: "Denied".
	3	There is no discussion. No elucidation. There is
	4	nothing. And that is why there is no case law. And if you
	5	look at the report of the State Bar Association, too, on the
	6	issue of discovery, it is in a vacuum, just like Professor
	7	JUDGE COZIER: Ms. Sassower, I think you have said
	8	JUDGE COZIER: Ms. Sassower, I think you have said
,	9	enough.
	10	MS. SASSOWER: Don't you think attorneys have raised
	11	the equal protection invidiousness that is affected by your
	12	article? Of course, they have. And what has been the
	13	response? "Denied".
	14	VOICE: Yeah. Yeah.
	15	VOICE: Here, here.
	16	(Applause.)
	17	MS. SASSOWER: Oh, oh, one other thing.
	18	WOMEN'S VOICE: Let's get the job done.
	19	MS. SASSOWER: The judiciary, has consistently not
	20	MS. SASSOWER: The judiciary, has consistently not
	21	requested funding for the Attorney Disciplinary System with
		Consistency, In fact, the funding has gone down.
	23	The funding has gone down even as they were

Page 159

9

Cozint 1 shint 1 shint

24	clamoring for judicial pay raises which they secured. The
25	annual budgeting, for the Attorney Disciplinary System is
26	\$15 million. MONICA S. HORVATH - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

163

1	Proceedings
2	VOICE: What?
3	MS. SASSOWER: The judicial pay raises paid out
4	since 2012 are at least \$150 million and \$50 million each
5	and every year. The attoday's hearing
6	JUDGE COZIER: T oday's testimony is concluded.
7	* * * Andrew
8	THE ABOVE IS CERTIFIED TO BE
9	A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDING RECORDED BY ME
10	Hant everine
11	MONICA HORVATH SENIOR COURT REPORTER Wookas
12	SENTOR COURT REPORTER Joined as
13	Joday.
14	•
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

26

MONICA S. HORVATH - SENIOR COURT REPORTER