CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

P.O. Box 69, Gedney Station White Plains, New York 10605-0069

Tel. (914) 421-1200 Fax (914) 428-4994

E-Mail: judgewatch@aol.com Web site: www.judgewatch.org

BY FAX AND MAIL: 202-483-9430

14 pages

TO: Citizens for Independent Courts ATT: Laura Compton, Program Assistant Elizabeth Dahl, Counsel

FROM: Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator

DATE: August 13, 1998

RE: Need for Immediate Action

Following up my telephone conversation with each of you a short while ago, this is to reiterate the urgent need for Independent Court's *immediate* attention to -- AND ACTION on -- two matters relating to judicial selection and discipline on the federal level. I tried to speak with Virginia Sloan about these matters last week before her vacation in Thailand, but she didn't have the time and stated she wouldn't be back in the office until after Labor Day. That is too late.

As discussed with you, I hand-delivered the relevant documents to Citizens for Independent Courts on July 31st, after speaking with Michael of your office. Those documents are reflected by the penultimate paragraph of our August 11th letter to ABA President Philip Anderson, to which Citizens for Independent Courts is an indicated recipient. A copy of the letter is herewith faxed. A hard copy, with the appended exhibits, is being mailed. It is essential that Independent Courts review that letter since it particularizes the two matters requiring priority attention. Briefly, they are as follows:

(1) <u>ON JUDICIAL SELECTION</u>: The Senate comes back from recess on September 1st -- and will then be taking up confirmation of Alvin Hellerstein. We need Citizens for Independent Courts to endorse our opposition to Mr. Hellerstein for the reasons set forth in our July 30th and August 3rd faxes and substantiated by our 1992 critique of the federal judicial screening process and subsequent correspondence with the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, including with Mr. Hellerstein, then chairman of its Judiciary Committee.

(2) <u>ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE</u>: The Supreme Court comes back from recess late next month and will, at that time, be deciding whether to grant certiorari to the petition in *Sassower v. Mangano, et al.* That petition presents profound issues of federal judicial misconduct and corruption, obliterating the judicial/appellate/disciplinary processes -- including the federal statutes intended to protect the

Citizens for Independent Courts

Page Two

public from unfit judges, 28 U.S.C. §§144, 455, and $372(c)^1$. We need *amicus* support from Citizens for Independent Courts for Supreme Court review, including endorsement of our request for *amicus* support from the U.S. Solicitor General. We also need Independent Court's support for our request for an impeachment investigation by the House Judiciary Committee and a criminal investigation by the U.S. Justice Department's Public Integrity Section.

Now is the time for Citizens for Independent Courts to avail itself of the services of its listed supporters -- law school deans, professors, former government officials, etc., respected attorneys. Time is of the essence.

Please call me next week as to what arrangements have been made. We will happily provide whatever assistance and other information is needed by who ever takes on the important responsibility of review in Ms. Sloan's absence.

Elena Rull Saosave

P.S. We have heard nothing whatever from Nan Aron -- a listed supporter of Independent Courts -- in response to our July 30th and August 3rd faxes, sent to the Alliance for Justice.

¹ We note that Independent Courts' website features H.R. 1252 -- without any comment about it. CJA has extensively commented on H.R. 1252. This is reflected not only by the two Memoranda we submitted to the House Judiciary Committee in connection with its consideration of H.R. 1252, both of which are reprinted in the appendix of the *Sassower v. Mangano* cert petition [A-295; A-301], but by the compendium of documents accompanying CJA's written statement to the House Judiciary Committee for inclusion in the record of its June 25, 1998 "oversight" hearing of the administration and operation of the federal judiciary" -- hand-delivered to Citizens for Independent Courts on July 31st. Since no one in a position of leadership has been willing to comment on those Memoranda -- Congress needs to hear from Independent Courts on those Memoranda. Based on the *Sassower v. Mangano* cert petition and our written statement, it should easily be able to do that and to join us in advocating congressional action to reinforce 28 U.S.C. §§144, 455, and 372(c).

		Center	e for JUDICIA								
P.O. Box 69, Gedney Station Tel. (91 White Plains, New York 10605-0069 Fax (91		í	MODE	RESULT	PAGE (S)	FAX NO.					
	<u>BY FAX Al</u> 14 pages	ND MAIL: 202-48	<u>3-9430</u>								
	TO :	Citizens for Inde ATT: Laura Con Elizabeth	ependent Courts mpton, Program Dahl, Counsel								I.
	FROM:	Elena Ruth Sass	ower, Coordina	t	٣	. "	62	•			PARA SECON
	DATE:	August 13, 1998	3					•			
	RE:	Need for Immed	iate Action								VECTF ICL
	Following up my telephone conversation with e urgent need for Independent Court's <i>immedian</i> relating to judicial selection and discipline on the about these matters last week before her vacation she wouldn't be back in the office until after La			STANJARD	R R	00:19:17 14	08/13 16 :15 12324839430			TIUN REFOR	
					•				TE		
	on July 31st, penultimate pa for Independe with the appende	with you, I hand-de , after speaking win aragraph of our Aug ant Courts is an indic inded exhibits, is beir	th Michael of your state of the second secon						: 3144211288	4 4 10 0 4 4	9561/01/69
	(1) <u>ON JUDI</u> then be takin	Ularizes the two ma <u>CIAL SELECTION</u> g up confirmation of pposition to Mr. He	I: The Senate con of Alvin Hellers	n ti			• • •				16: 25

faxes and substantiated by our 1992 critique of the reactal junctal screening process and subsequent correspondence with the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, including with Mr. Hellerstein, then chairman of its Judiciary Committee.

(2) <u>ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE</u>: The Supreme Court comes back from recess late next month and will, at that time, be deciding whether to grant certiorari to the petition in *Sassower v. Mangano, et al.* That petition presents profound issues of federal judicial misconduct and corruption, obliterating the judicial/appellate/disciplinary processes -- including the federal statutes intended to protect the