

Subject: To Elena Sassower

Date: 9/15/2005, 7:24 AM

From: Jon Katz <jon@markskatz.com>

To: Elena Ruth Sassower <judgewatchers@aol.com>

cc: mglaw@comcast.net, qdriskell@verizon.net, jdrewesq@aol.com

Dear Elena - It is an honor to be writing the National Lawyers Guild's (DC Chapter) amicus brief supporting your appeal in the DC Court of Appeals.

I am e-mailing you rather than calling at this moment, because of the hour and because I'm on my way to court. Because I will be on a mini-vacation tomorrow through Sept. 20, I suggest that we wait until September 21 to talk by phone.

I plan to file by next week a motion for leave to file an amicus brief. The DC Superior Court requires that motions state the efforts to seek the consent of opposing counsel, so I plan to call assistant U.S. attorney John Fisher by soon after I return next week seeking his consent. I think he'll give his consent, and I think the Court of Appeals will grant amicus status whether or not he gives consent.

I look forward to speaking with you next week. Thanks. Jon

--

Jon Katz (Licensed in MD, DC and VA)
Washingtonian Magazine "Top Lawyers" (2004)
Martindale-Hubbell® Bar Register of Pre-Eminent Lawyers™
Defending criminal suspects, the Constitution, and the underdog
MARKS & KATZ, LLC
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
(301) 495-4300
<http://www.markskatz.com/criminallawyers.htm>

mglaw@comcast.net wrote:

> jon, for your review.

>

> mark goldstone

>

>

>

> ----- Forwarded Message: -----

> From: "Elena Ruth Sassower" <judgewatchers@aol.com>

> To: mglaw@comcast.net

> Cc: qdriskell@verizon.net

> Subject: Amicus Brief--2nd & 3rd Issues Presented

> Date: Wed, 14 Sep 2005 21:17:06 +0000

> Dear Mark,

>

> Thank you again for doing your part to bring the D.C. Chapter of the
> National Lawyers Guild on board this important, law-making case.

>

> For your convenience -- and that of Mr. Katz or whoever else you may
> wish to forward this to -- I have extracted and am herewith
> attaching the 2nd and 3rd issues of my appellant's brief relating to
> the venue provision of the "disruption of Congress" statute and the
> unconstitutionality of the "disruption of Congress" statute, as