

Subject: This Sunday's Debate between the Candidates for NY Attorney General

Date: 8/31/2006, 3:52 PM

From: Ctr for Judicial Accountability <judgewatchers@aol.com>

To: gabe.pressman@nbc.com

cc: "McShane, Jamie (NBC Universal, WNBC)" <jamie.mcshane@nbcuni.com>

Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.


TO: GABE PRESSMAN, WNBC


Following up our brief phone conversation -- and notification from Jamie McShane that you are the moderator for this Sunday's important debate between the candidates for New York Attorney General -- herein attached is the Center for Judicial Accountability's memo to NY media, highlighting the *readily-verifiable* casefile evidence of the corruption of the New York State Attorney General's own office which we presented to ALL FIVE Democratic and Republican Attorney General candidates, without response from them.

The memo is posted on our website, www.judgewatch.org -- accessible via the sidebar panel, "Elections 2006: Informing the Voters". This is where we have also posted the question we submitted yesterday to Regional News Network in response to its website solicitation for questions for its debate between Attorney General candidates. For your convenience, a copy of that question about "Corruption in the Attorney General's own Office", is attached.

The referred-to June 20, 2006 letter to the Attorney General candidates -- a MUST-READ, providing the "chapter & verse" particulars of the systemic governmental corruption here at issue -- is posted on our "Elections 2006" webpage, as are the certified mail/rrrr, fax, & e-mail receipts to each of the Attorney General candidates. After you review the letter, I would be pleased to answer your questions and provide you with whatever additional information/documentation you require to break this politically-explosive, election-altering story.

On behalf of the voting public, which depends on the media to inform it by meaningful reporting and examination of issues, I thank you, in advance.

 [8-30-06-wnbc-4.pdf \(195KB\)](#)

 [8-30-06-rnn-ag-debate.pdf \(87KB\)](#)

Elena Ruth Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
Tel: 914-421-1200
Direct E-mail: judgewatchers@aol.com

CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.*

Post Office Box 8220
White Plains, New York 10602

Tel. (914) 421-1200
Fax (914) 428-4994

E-Mail: judgewatch@aol.com
Website: www.judgewatch.org

DATE: August 25, 2006

TO: **NEW YORK MEDIA: EDITORIAL BOARDS & NEWS DEPARTMENTS**

FROM: Elena Ruth Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

RE: **YOUR UPCOMING EDITORIAL ENDORSEMENTS AND ONGOING ELECTION COVERAGE: The Races for New York Governor, U.S. Senator from New York, and New York Attorney General**

This is to bring to your attention – to aid you in both your upcoming editorial endorsements and ongoing election reporting – primary source documentary evidence establishing the unfitness of the Democratic and Republican candidates for Governor, Senator, and Attorney General. Such evidence is posted on the Center for Judicial Accountability's website, www.judgewatch.org, accessible via the sidebar panel "Elections 2006: Informing the Voters".

Scroll down the "Elections 2006" webpage to the section entitled "Searching for Champions", posting our correspondence to all Democratic and Republican candidates for Governor: Tom Suozzi and John Faso, for U.S. Senate: Jonathan Tasini, John Spencer, and Kathleen Troia McFarland, and for Attorney General: Andrew Cuomo, Mark Green, Charlie King, Sean Patrick Murphy, and Jeanine Pirro – except for Attorney General Eliot Spitzer and Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton, whose corruption in office the correspondence summarizes.

With respect to Attorney General Spitzer, elected in 1998 on a pledge that he was going to clean up government and establish a "public integrity unit", our correspondence summarizes that his "public integrity unit" was a hoax – and that Mr. Spitzer refused to investigate and root out systemic governmental corruption involving a pattern and practice of litigation fraud engaged in by his predecessor Attorneys General in defending state judges and the Commission on Judicial Conduct, sued for corruption – for which they were rewarded with fraudulent judicial decisions. Instead, he engaged in the same litigation fraud to defend the Commission when we sued it for corruption – for which state judges, at every level, rewarded him with fraudulent judicial decisions. In so doing, Attorney General Spitzer not only perpetuated a documentably corrupted Commission on Judicial Conduct, leaving the People of the State of New York defenseless against the most flagrant lawlessness by state judges – including those who "threw" the lawsuit – but perpetuated the corruption of the state judicial appointments process, including "merit selection" to the New York Court of Appeals, which the lawsuit encompassed.

* The Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) is a national, non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, based in New York, working, since 1989, to ensure that the processes of judicial selection and discipline are effective and meaningful.


With respect to Senator Clinton, she not only covered up – and thereby perpetuated – the systemic governmental corruption challenged and chronicled by the documentary record of our lawsuit against the Commission, but, additionally, the corruption of federal judicial selection and discipline. To accomplish this and effectuate a behind-the-scenes political deal seating a corrupt New York Court of Appeals judge on the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, she maliciously set in motion and complicitly acquiesced in my wrongful arrest, prosecution, conviction, and six-month incarceration on a bogus “disruption of Congress” charge. My “crime”? At the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee’s public hearing to confirm the judge, I respectfully requested to testify in opposition based on his on-the-bench corruption, as established by the record of our lawsuit against the Commission – a record Senator Clinton was duty-bound to have examined, making findings of fact and conclusions of law.


All the summaries presented by our posted correspondence identify the substantiating primary source documentary evidence – and where it is posted on our website. You can thereby *readily verify* its serious and substantial nature, warranting criminal investigation and prosecution of Attorney General Spitzer and Senator Clinton for corruption.

In presenting this to the other Democratic and Republican candidates, as would-be champions of the public, we requested that they use the opportunity of their candidacy to expose the corruption of these incumbents for the benefit of all New Yorkers. That they did not do so – indeed, that they did not even favor our request for a meeting so that we could answer their questions and provide them with hard copies of the website-posted evidence – preferring instead to mount candidacies made futile by the landslide leads enjoyed by Attorney General Spitzer and Senator Clinton and, in the case of the candidates endeavoring to succeed Mr. Spitzer as Attorney General, extolling him and seeking the mantle of his “greatness” – can only be explained one way. Notwithstanding their posturing and rhetoric about being reformers who are going to “fix Albany” and make government work, they will NOT touch the vested political interests and their friends and patrons involved in the systemic governmental corruption that reaches into and pollutes the judiciary. Such will remain unchanged upon their election – subjecting countless innocent New Yorkers and our state at large to continuing injustice and irreparable injury.

Only the media can make the difference.

We offer you our fullest assistance so that you can discharge your First Amendment responsibilities to the voters by reporting on this powerful election-altering evidence – rather than on polls, financial war chests, political endorsements, and handicapping that have become the standard fare of political reporting, contributing to the demise of competitive elections.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Elena R. R. Xaxova". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a long horizontal stroke at the end.



new york city

hudson valley

connecticut

new jersey

long island

**AUTOMOTIVE**

Click here for the latest Automotive headlines.

**SPORTS HEADLINES**

Click here for your regional and national sports.

**WEATHER**

Click here for the latest forecast for your region.

**FINANCIAL NEWS**

Click here for the latest on the stock market and to track your personal portfolio.

**DOUG SHORT'S MOVIE REVIEWS**

Click here for the latest Movie Reviews.

**ENTERTAINMENT NEWS**

Click here for the latest Hollywood headlines.

**LEGAL TIPS & ADVICE**

Click here for legal tips.

**REAL ESTATE**

Click here for regional real estate.

**HEALTH AND FITNESS**

Click here for info on a healthier life.

**HOME IMPROVEMENT**

Click here for home improvement tips.

7:00 Richard French Live Message Board**NYS AG Debate: Your Questions for the Candidates**[post a new message](#)

2006-08-30 17:16:31

Elena Sassower, Director Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.**Corruption within the Attorney General's own Office**

Each of the AG candidates was sent -- by fax, e-mail, and certified mail/rrr -- a June 20, 2006 letter from the non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA). The letter summarized CJA's DIRECT, FIRST-HAND EXPERIENCE with New York's current and past Attorneys General, going back nearly a decade and a half. It recounted that "New York's Attorneys General engage in a modus operandi of litigation fraud to defend state judges and the Commission on Judicial Conduct, sued for corruption, where they have NO legitimate defense -- and are rewarded by fraudulent judicial decisions". The letter asked the candidates whether, if elected, they would confront the DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE of this corruption, established by CASEFILES -- and take appropriate corrective steps, including criminal prosecutions of the involved Attorneys General, Eliot Spitzer among them. There has been NO response from any of the Attorney General candidates to this serious and substantial June 20th letter. Is this the kind of responsibility and responsiveness that voters can expect from them if they are elected Attorney General? The June 20th letter is posted on CJA's website, www.judgewatch.org, accessible via the sidebar panel, "Elections 2006: Informing the Voters" -- under the heading "Searching for Champions". It is a must-read for reporters questioning the candidates -- and, by their questioning, they should confirm from these would-be Attorneys General that they each read its 4 pages, its transmitted 15 pages of substantiating enclosures -- and examined the referred-to casefile proof posted on CJA's website. CJA's website also includes -- on the same "Elections 2006: Informing the Voters" webpage -- an August 25th memo to New York media, and it, too, is a must-read for reporters, further summarizing the politically-explosive casefile evidence of systemic governmental corruption here at issue. On behalf of the public interest, thank you. Elena Sassower, Director Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) Tel: 914-421-1200 Direct e-mail: judgewatchers@aol.com

[post a new message](#)

2006-08-30 13:42:43

Judy**Go Mark Green**

Question: Mark Green is a brilliant crusader and reformer who cares about the poor and all New Yorkers. He's consistently advocated reform in campaign spending so the little guy can run. Mark, do you have the funding and enough backing from the party machine to get over? (God, I sure hope he does . . .)

[post a new message](#)