To: mgormley@ap.org

cc: mejohnson@ap.org, mhumbert@ap.org

Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc

## TO: ASSOCIATED PRESS

Michael Gormley, Chief of Bureau Mark Johnson Marc Humbert

## The Senate Judiciary Committee hearing on Chief Judge Kaye's confirmation has been scheduled for Tuesday, March 6, 2007, 10 a.m., Room 124 of the Capitol

Attached is CJA's draft statement in opposition. It is <u>confidential</u> and not to be circulated beyond the reporters and editors responsible for coverage. The referred-to substantiating documentary proof — which would support criminal prosecution of Chief Judge Kaye for corruption -- is posted on CJA's website, <u>www.judgewatch.org</u>, most conveniently accessible *via* the top panel "Latest News", which — under the heading "The Corruption of 'Merit Selection" to New York's Highest State Court" — links to "Judith S. Kaye -- 2007".

I will gladly come up to Albany, next week, to demonstrate to each of you that -- as stated in the penultimate paragraph of the draft -- the record of CJA's public interest lawsuit against the Commission is

"not needed to verify the essential facts of [Chief Judge Kaye's] corruption in office, which can be speedily accomplished. All that is necessary are my [October 15, 2002] reargument/vacatur for fraud motion and my [October 24, 2002] motion for leave to appeal. Indeed, from the exhibits annexed to the reargument/vacatur motion, it takes less than ONE MINUTE to verify that Chief Judge Kaye LIED in purporting that my disqualification motion was made on 'nonstatutory grounds' – with an additional MINUTE to verify that she LIED in likewise purporting with respect to Mr. Schulz' disqualification motion four years earlier. As to Chief Judge Kaye's knowledge that – as to *matters of law* – the three, and then five, judicial decisions of which the Commission was beneficiary were frauds – this can be verified from my motion for leave to appeal WITHIN AN HOUR."

draft-statement-2-22-07.doc (89KB)

Subject: Fwd: CJA's draft written statement in opposition to Chief Judge Kaye's confirmation Date: 2/22/2007, 12:14 PM From: <u>Ctr for Judicial Accountability <judgewatchers@aol.com></u> To: <u>mgormley@ap.org</u> cc: <u>mejohnson@ap.org</u>, mhumbert@ap.org

Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

I accidentally pressed the send button, without checking the text to ensure that the second use of the word "LIED" in the indented quote had a "D". Also forgot to add my name, affiliation & contact info. I am, as you know,

Elena Sassower, Director Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) Tel: 914-421-1200 Direct E-Mail: judgewatchers@aol.com

----- Original Message ------Subject: CJA's draft written statement in opposition to Chief Judge Kaye's confirmation Date: 2/22/2007, 11:43 AM

From: Ctr for Judicial Accountability <judgewatchers@aol.com>

To: mgormley@ap.org

cc: mejohnson@ap.org, mhumbert@ap.org

Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

## TO: ASSOCIATED PRESS

Michael Gormley, Chief of Bureau Mark Johnson Marc Humbert

The Senate Judiciary Committee hearing on Chief Judge Kaye's confirmation has been scheduled for Tuesday, March 6, 2007, 10 a.m., Room 124 of the Capitol

Attached is CJA's draft statement in opposition. It is <u>confidential</u> and not to be circulated beyond the reporters and editors responsible for coverage. The referred-to substantiating documentary proof -- which would support criminal prosecution of Chief Judge Kaye for corruption -- is posted on CJA's website, <u>www.judgewatch.org</u>, most conveniently accessible *via* the top panel "Latest News", which -- under the heading "The Corruption of 'Merit Selection" to New York's Highest State Court" -- links to "Judith S. Kaye -- 2007".

I will gladly come up to Albany, next week, to demonstrate to each of you that -- as stated in the penultimate paragraph of the draft -- the record of CJA's public interest lawsuit against the Commission is

"not needed to verify the essential facts of [Chief Judge Kaye's] corruption in office, which can be speedily accomplished. All that is necessary are my [October 15, 2002] reargument/vacatur for fraud motion and my [October 24, 2002] motion for leave to appeal. Indeed, from the exhibits annexed to the reargument/vacatur motion, it takes less than ONE MINUTE to verify that Chief

Judge Kaye LIED in purporting that my disqualification motion was made on 'nonstatutory grounds' – with an additional MINUTE to verify that she LIED in likewise purporting with respect to Mr. Schulz' disqualification motion four years earlier. As to Chief Judge Kaye's knowledge that – as to matters of law – the three, and then five, judicial decisions of which the Commission was beneficiary were frauds – this can be verified from my motion for leave to appeal WITHIN AN HOUR."

draft-statement-2-22-07.doc (89KB)