STATE OF NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT

COUNTY OF ALBANY

CENTER FOR JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC., and ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Individually and as Director of the Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc., acting on their own behalf and on behalf of the People of the State of New York & the Public Interest,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 5122-16

-against-

ANDREW M. CUOMO, et al,

Defendants.

BEFORE: HON. DENISE A. HARTMAN

Acting Supreme Court Justice

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs:

ELENA RUTH SASSOWER

10 Stewart Place, Apartment 2D-E
White Plains, New York 10603

For the Defendants:

HON. ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN
Attorney General of the State of New York
The Capitol
Albany, New York 12224-0341
BY: HELENA LYNCH, ESQUIRE

Assistant Attorney General

Transcript of **ORAL ARGUMENT** taken in the above matter held at the Albany County Courthouse, Albany, New York, on March 29, 2017.

(Proceedings commenced in open court on March 29, 2017, as follows.)

THE COURT: Thank you all. Please be seated.

All right. This is Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. versus Andrew M. Cuomo. It's index number 5122-16. This Court issued a decision I believe it was in December of this year dismissing most of the causes of action but allowing one to proceed.

Since that time, plaintiff has made one motion filing by Order to Show Cause which is pending, and today she has asked to present another Order to Show Cause to bring on another motion in this matter and I have — our chambers has granted her time to present her case today and will allow you 10 minutes to explain the purpose of this Order to Show Cause and, particularly, the only issue today really is your request for temporary relief and I'll give you 10 minutes to present your case.

Before we do that, however, I'd like to have counsel put their names on the record at this point and, Miss Sassower, put your full name on

the record before we proceed with argument. 1 MS. SASSOWER: My name is Elena 2 Sassower. I am the unrepresented individual 3 plaintiff in this citizen taxpayer action and I am 4 5 not an attorney. THE COURT: Thank you very much. 6 MS. LYNCH: Good afternoon your Honor. 7 Helena Lynch with the attorney general's office 8 for the defendants. THE COURT: All right. Anything further 10 before we proceed with allowing Miss Sassower to 11 present her argument? 12 MS. LYNCH: No, your Honor. 13 Thank you. Again, please 14 THE COURT: confine your argument today to the issue of the 15 temporary relief you request in this Order to Show 16 17 Cause. MS. SASSOWER: At the outset I'd like to 18 19 address this Court's jurisdiction with respect to granting a Temporary Restraining Order and my 20 2.1 Order to Show Cause recites -- quotes State Finance Law 123-e(2) which says in pertinent part: 22 A temporary restraining order may be granted 23

pending a hearing for a preliminary injunction

notwithstanding the requirements of Section 6313 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules where it appears that immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or damage will result unless defendant is restrained before a hearing can be had, unquote.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I would like to draw to the Court's attention that not only does that provision give you the authority, the jurisdiction, to grant the powerful relief of a TRO in recognition that public monies have to be safeguarded and they have to be protected, and so this kind of relief is appropriate, and certainly at issue in this case is a state budget of over \$150 billion, but I'd like to additionally address CPLR 6313 with respect the TRO issue and that is that its restriction relates to enjoining a public officer from performance of statutory duties. At issue here on this Order to Show Cause are not statutory duties. They're constitutional requirements. Statutes have no bearing here. It's the constitution that governs. And with respect to the injunctive relief, it is based on violations of Article 3, Section 10 as well as Article 7, So CPLR 6313 doesn't even Sections 4, 5 and 6.

apply. We're not talking about discharge of statutory duties but constitutional requirements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, recognizing how serious the granting of a TRO is, I actually came prepared for an evidentiary hearing because the entitlement here is one of summary judgment. As I stated at the outset of the verified supplemental complaint, all that is necessary to establish the violations of Article 7, Sections 4, 5, 6 relating to how the Legislature may -- well, it may not alter, it may not alter the governor's budget bills, appropriation bills, except in certain specific ways, and that is laid out in those provisions. It can reduce, it can strike. It cannot add to the bill. And the interpretation of the Court of Appeals in the case that your Honor actually cited in the December 21, 2016 decision in the citizen taxpayer action of Pataki against Assembly, which is a consolidation of the two cases, Pataki against Assembly and Silver against Pataki, the Court of Appeals was unequivocal that Article 7, Section 4 means what it clearly means. It cannot alter in ways that are not permitted and made clear that the non-alteration provisions here

relate not only to appropriation bills but
non-appropriation bills and relate to not just the
money sum, the dollar sum, but the language. You
have only to compare the amended bills, the Senate
and Assembly amended bills with the governor's
bill and you see that the Senate and Assembly,
even were those legitimate bills, their content
constitutionally is defiant of the constitution,
must be so declared.

So, for example, they have added sums directly to the bills, not separately stated, and they have changed language, removed language, added language in appropriation bills and I believe non-appropriation bills, but what is clearly understood in the constitutional provision is that appropriation bills are not to be altered except in specific ways.

Now, why is that? The reason is because the governor sets the budget, and once he sets the budget and provides the bills and they strike out and reduce, the Senate and Assembly reconcile their different bills and it never goes back to the governor. It takes effect immediately. It has the force of law immediately.

So the budget, what has happened is that the budget is entirely off the constitutional rails. The Senate and Assembly do whatever they want to do in complete disregard of Article 7, Section 4, 5 and 6, and have gotten away with it.

In addition, the constitutional provisions of Article 7, 1 through 7, lay out a process that is open and transparent. Hearings, legislative hearings where if the Senate and Assembly have questions or take exception to certain aspects of the bill, they can call — they can request the governor to appear or the governor can on his own appear and they can confront publicly, in public view, the differences, the problems, the issues. They can be worked out publicly. It is an open process. And what has happened is that the Legislature in collusion with the governor and others have driven the budget process off the constitutional rails wholesale.

THE COURT: Miss Sassower, I just want to suggest to you that your 10 minutes is almost up and I would like you to address specifically what temporary relief you are asking for today.

MS. SASSOWER: Well, okay. Firstly, I

would like to say that there is a second issue because you have content of the bills and I have brought here today because I hoped that we might have an evidentiary hearing. That's why I said to the attorney general's office, I gave notice --

THE COURT: Miss Sassower, in my letter to you, I indicated that there would be -- I would allow you time to present your Order to Show Cause and that is exactly what I am doing today.

MS. SASSOWER: Okay.

THE COURT: And only that.

MS. SASSOWER: All right. But obviously it's such a serious relief that you want to see the -- I would think that you would want to see the proof, perhaps, and so I arranged to bring three sets of the bills, Senate amended bills, Assembly amended bills, so they could be compared with the governor's bill.

THE COURT: Are those -- no, no. Are those bills exhibits to your documents that you've submitted with your Orders to Show Cause?

MS. SASSOWER: They are free-standing exhibits. I have them, of course. I brought them.

1	THE COURT: Have you indicated they are
2	exhibits in the materials that you've given me
3	today or are you bringing these separately as
4	exhibits?
5	MS. SASSOWER: Well, I said in my papers
6	that all that is necessary is comparison of the
7	governor's bills and the Senate and Assembly bills
8	to establish prima facie the violations of Article
9	7, Section 4, 5 and 6.
10	THE COURT: All right. So what are you
11	asking me to do today?
12	MS. SASSOWER: So what I am saying is
13	that I came prepared for an evidentiary hearing.
14	Okay? Now, I can establish and have okay. I
15	have
16	THE COURT: I am denying your request
17	for an evidentiary hearing today.
18	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
19	THE COURT: What relief do you want
20	based on the papers?
21	MS. SASSOWER: Well, we have a second
22	issue and that is the evidence that the bills
23	never went through any process
2 4	THE COURT: Miss Sassower

MS. SASSOWER: They were fraudulently 1 There was no meeting, no vote -amended. THE COURT: Miss Sassower --MS. SASSOWER: -- by a single 4 legislator. 5 6 THE COURT: What temporary relief do you What do you want me to enjoin? 7 MS. SASSOWER: Okay. As I indicated in 8 the Order to Show Cause, and it is numbers three, 9 four, five and six, the relief being sought and 10 specifically to enjoin any further actions, budget 11 actions, on the constitutionally violative, 12 fraudulently amended, no evidence that they were 13 ever amended, there was ever a vote by a single 14 legislator. There is no information as to who 15 16 introduced the amended bills. It was never on any 17 agenda. There was never any notice to members. 18 There was never any meeting at which members of 19 the Senate Finance Committee or the Assembly Ways 20 and Means Committee or any other committee 21 deliberated on the motion to amend the governor's bill, voted on it. All of these are 22 constitutionally required because, again, Article 23

10 -- Article 3, Section 10 says the doors of the

Legislature shall remain open.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: I have listened to your arguments on the merits of your claims.

MS. SASSOWER: Okay.

THE COURT: I'm going to give you 30 seconds to sum up at this point because I've given you significantly more than 10 minutes, and then I'm going to give the attorney general a chance to respond.

MS. SASSOWER: Okay. As I said in my papers, this is prima facie summary judgment and evidentiary, and I came today with the evidence prepared to proceed with an evidentiary hearing so the Court could discharge its responsibilities in view of the facts and the law and the evidence before it. And I went out of my way, and the Court is aware, to make sure that appropriate personnel at the attorney general's office was here, fully knowledgeable, ready to argue. Remember, they could have brought Speaker Heastie, Temporary Senate President Flanagan or any other legislator to say that these bills were amended with -- actually amended. That there were votes. There's not a single vote. How can we have

further proceedings on something that is fraudulent and violative of the constitution.

2.1

THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Sassower.

MS. SASSOWER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. All right. Miss Lynch.

MS. LYNCH: Thank you, your Honor. Just two things. First general and then specific. So generally I did not hear from Miss Sassower any argument as to what the irreparable harm would be and also any argument as to likelihood of success on the merits or any argument as to the balance of the equities.

As the Court is well aware, and we agree with Miss Sassower that the Court has jurisdiction generally to grant a TRO, but the movant must show a likelihood of success on the merits, must show irreparable injury, and must address the balance of the equities, and from what I can gather, we are talking about a request to essentially shut down the government. And then -- and Miss Sassower has not made any showing.

Now, specifically in the Order to Show Cause there appear to be six specific items. Now

the first two I didn't hear addressed today, but numbers three through six appear to relate primarily to the many amendments. So what I'd like to say to the Court is that I realize that at this juncture, this is merely a representation from counsel for assigning it the appropriate weight. My understanding is that what Miss Sassower is referring to as amendments are not actually amendments, that they are markups for internal discussion so -- which raises two issues.

First, the amendments are not amendments so they don't implicate Sections 4, 5 and 6 of Article 7, and they're also markups for internal discussion which render them a nonjusticiable issue.

At this juncture the defendants don't have anything else to say aside from that.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

MS. SASSOWER: May I reply?

THE COURT: You may have one minute.

MS. SASSOWER: Success on the merits? I have summary judgment and I can prove it here and now because I've produced the bills, the Senate

and Assembly amended bills. I have the originals of the governor. And if the assistant attorney general here is representing that these amended bills are internal documents, wow. I'd like her legal authority for that.

No, I'm not seeking to shut down the government and the postscript addresses that. remedy is clear. The government, the Senate and Assembly, will take the governor's bills and amend them as Article 7, Sections 4, 5, 6 dictate and those amended bills that they will reconcile will take effect immediately. They never go back to the governor. We have a rolling budget. As I said in my postscript, historically and in the not far distant past, we had budgets that went to August. The government doesn't shut down. it will do is force the Senate and Assembly to respect their duties under the constitution, and the Court of Appeals unequivocal decision, unequivocal, as well as in the Banker's case which also reiterates --

THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Sassower.

MS. SASSOWER: -- that even with

agreement by the governor --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Sassower
2	MS. SASSOWER: fraud viciates
3	everything it touches.
4	THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Sassower.
5	Thank you. I'm going to take this request for an
6	Order to Show Cause under advisement. I will
7	release any Order to Show Cause within the next
8	half hour or so.
9	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. I am
10	prepared
11	THE COURT: If I grant if I provide a
12	time schedule for a response to the motion that is
13	being brought on by Order to Show Cause, will 30
14	days be sufficient for the State to
15	MS. LYNCH: Thirty days should be
16	sufficient, your Honor.
17	MS. SASSOWER: Thirty days? Excuse me.
18	This is a citizen taxpayer action. It must
19	proceed
20	THE COURT: Miss Sassower, you may speak
21	when you are asked to speak.
22	Is there an earlier date, earlier than
2 3	30 days, by which the State can reasonably
2.4	respond? I understand that there are at least six

1	different requests for relief here so it is not a
2	simple response.
3	MS. LYNCH: I believe three weeks should
4	be sufficient. Anything shorter than that might
5	be too
6	THE COURT: Let's take a look at this
7	calendar.
8	MS. LYNCH: putting too much pressure
9	on the part of the defendants.
10	MS. SASSOWER: May I just alert the
11	Court that the defendants have been aware of all
12	these issues with respect to the budget for fiscal
13	year 2016 and '17, okay? This was all presented
14	largely in the March 23rd
15	THE COURT: Miss Sassower.
16	MS. SASSOWER: 2016 second
17	supplemental complaint, the prior
18	THE COURT: Miss Sassower, I've
19	dismissed most of the causes of action in your
20	original complaint here.
21	MS. SASSOWER: Yes. And I have
22	demonstrated that
23	THE COURT: And I am not revisiting
2 4	those at this moment on this TRO. All right? So

I am going to give the State until the 21st of 1 2 April to file and serve a response and I will also then establish -- may I ask, Miss Lynch, how you 3 have been serving your papers on Miss Sassower so 4 5 I know if I need to build in time for mail? 6 MS. LYNCH: I believe we've been mailing 7 them, your Honor. THE COURT: Then I will --8 9 MS. SASSOWER: And e-mailing it, and so the e-mail makes it very efficient. 10 11 THE COURT: I'm going to suggest that 12 you e-mail your responsive papers to Miss Sassower 13 by the 21st and I will give Miss Sassower until 14 the 27th to file a reply. 15 MS. SASSOWER: Excuse me, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: You may make a request as long as it is, as you're thinking about it, 17 18 reasonable. 19 MS. SASSOWER: Well, since your Honor 20 has come out of the attorney general's office, you 21 know that the attorney general's office has about 22 500 attorneys perhaps. I am a nonlawyer that have 23 sought the representation of the attorney general

in this citizen taxpayer action pursuant to the

citizen taxpayer action statute as well as 1 Executive Law 63.1. 2 THE COURT: I'll give you until the 28th. This is a reply. You have already put in 4 5 your papers and now the State has a response. MS. SASSOWER: But they have polluted --6 their record is to pollute the judicial process 7 with lies and frauds just as --8 THE COURT: Miss Sassower --9 MS. SASSOWER: -- Miss Lynch here for 10 her to report --11 THE COURT: Miss Sassower, do not speak 12 over me, please. 13 MS. SASSOWER: All right. 14 THE COURT: I will read your papers 15 thoroughly and I will read the State's papers 16 thoroughly and I'll give you a reasoned decision. 17 18 All right? MS. SASSOWER: And what has become of 19 the TRO? 20 THE COURT: You will find out after I 21 take it under advisement for a few minutes in my 22 chambers and look over your papers a little more 23 carefully. And if you will wait outside of my 24

1	courtroom, you may pick it up at 4:00, 4:15.
2	MS. SASSOWER: This is prima facie
3	summary judgment both procedurally and
4	THE COURT: This is a TRO.
5	Miss Sassower, this is a TRO application. This is
6	not a merits determination. It is you're
7	speaking you're asking for temporary relief
8	while I consider the merits of your application.
9	That's what's going on here and that is and
10	that's why we are so limited today in what kind of
11	arguments and evidence that you can
12	MS. SASSOWER: But she's purported that
13	I haven't shown the likelihood of success on the
14	merits. I've shown summary judgment.
15	THE COURT: If that is shown on your
16	papers, I'll take that under consideration.
17	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. I believe
18	it's laid out adequately by my affidavit in
19	support with its cross referencing
20	THE COURT: Miss Sassower.
21	MS. SASSOWER: to the supplemental
22	complaint.
23	THE COURT: Miss Sassower, this is not
2 4	the time for conversation on this. All right? I

will read your papers. Do you understand? 1 MS. SASSOWER: Mm-hmm. THE COURT: And I will read the State's 3 papers when they come in and I will give you time 4 to get further papers in and I will read those as 5 well. 6 MS. SASSOWER: Okay. 7 THE COURT: All right? And I would like 8 you to e-mail those papers to me also on the 28th. 9 I'll put those dates in the Order to Show Cause. 10 MS. SASSOWER: What I am simply 11 indicating, your Honor, is that if this Court does 12 not grant the TRO, it should proceed expeditiously 13 with the preliminary injunction as to which I 14 believe I'm entitled to a hearing, and I am 15 requesting that if the TRO is denied, that we put 16 this on for a hearing on the preliminary 17 injunction Friday. Friday. I have a copy of the 18 bills. We can examine the alterations made and 19 establish the constitutional violations. You have 20 21 the FOIL requests. THE COURT: I have your request. I will 22 consider it. 23

MS. SASSOWER:

Thank you.

1	THE COURT: I assume when you say
2	Friday, you mean this week?
3	MS. SASSOWER: Absolutely, your Honor.
4	There's plenty of time for the Senate and Assembly
5	to redo their bills.
6	THE COURT: Anything further?
7	MS. LYNCH: No, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. At this point we
9	stand adjourned. Thank you.
10	(Proceedings concluded at 3:36 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION
7	
8	
9	I, Cindy Affinati, Official Court Reporter for
10	the Unified Court System, Third Judicial District of
11	the State of New York, do hereby certify that I
12	attended and reported the foregoing proceedings; that
13	it is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings
14	had therein to the best of my knowledge and ability.
15	
16	Cerido affenal
17	Cindy Affinati
18	Official Court Reporter? April 4, 2017
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	