By Fax: 212-818-9606

April 22, 1993

Robert Callagy, Esq.
Satterlee, Stephens, Burke & Burke
230 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10169-0079

RE: <u>Sassower v. Gannett</u> #29094/92

Dear Mr. Callagy:

I have in hand your fax in connection with the above matter wherein you refer to my "last extension", as if there were a previous one. You ignore the fact that my "last extension" was my first and only extension.

You also apparently have forgotten the conversation you had with me at the time such extension was agreed upon. You should recall that I had originally requested 30 days so that I could retain counsel—inasmuch as you flatly rejected my good-faith offer to negotiate this matter with you directly. In that conversation, you stated you would only agree to two weeks, but also explicitly told me that if I needed additional time at the end of the stipulated two-week extension, I could call you and let you know.

As a fellow professional, I would expect you to live up to your word and not take advantage of the fact that I am presently unrepresented. As your secretary, Maureen, was informed on Tuesday, April 20th, my attempt to retain Jonathan Lubell, Esq. was unsuccessful due to the unexpected discovery on that day that his firm had a conflict of interest.

Despite my numerous messages left with your secretary, you have not seen fit to respond to me until today--and then only by fax.

Please let me know if you are willing to reconsider your position in light of the foregoing. Indeed, since you consider my "claim to be frivolous", you should have no objection to giving me as much time as necessary so that it can be presented on its merits.

Very truly yours,

DORIS L. SASSOWER

DLS/er