

Subj: FW: Following Up on Structural Mechanisms & The NYT
Date: 1/27/00 12:19:27 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: DThomas@FAIR.org (Deborah Thomas)
To: Judgwatch@aol.com (Judgwatch@aol.com)

> Elena Ruth Sassower,
> Ben Bagdikian's address is:
> 45 Stonewall Road, Berkeley, CA 94705-1414
> Sincerely, Deborah Thomas

>
Deborah Thomas
Publisher, FAIR/Extra!
130 W. 25th Street, New York, NY 10001
212.633.6700x309 Fax 212 727.7668
dthomas@fair.org www.fair.org

> _____
> From: Judgwatch@aol.com[SMTP:Judgwatch@aol.com]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 11:07 AM
> To: JNaureckas@fair.org
> Subject: Following Up on Structural Mechanisms & The NYT
>
> Dear Mr. Naurekas:
>
> Thank you for your January 24th e-mail response. However, CJA's July 8,
> 1998
> story proposal to Brill's Content was NOT itself 16 pages, but 6. This is
>
> identified at the outset of the second paragraph of my e-mail which,
> together
> with the following two paragraphs, provides a brief synopsis of WHY the
> proposal should interest "watchdog" media journals – and fascinate their
> subscribers.
>
> Unfortunately, you have chosen not to address the particulars set forth in
>
> those three paragraphs, preferring to end any discussion by continuing to
> maintain that the proposal is "not interesting". This goes beyond
> Content's p
> osition in its January 18th rejection letter that it was "indeed
> interested",
> but had "written about the role of ombudsmen and the New York Times lack
> of
> one" – for which it conspicuously provided no specificity.
>
> I will not burden you with my further correspondence to Brill's Content
> since
> it appears that your own personal opposition to news ombudsmen prevents
> you
> from being open-minded enough to explore the possibility that Extra!
> subscribers might be keenly interested in structural mechanisms for
> enhancing
