

CENTER for
JUDICIAL
ACCOUNTABILITY



Box 69, Gedney Station • White Plains, New York 10605-0069
TEL: 914/997-8105 • FAX: 914/684-6554

FAX COVER SHEET

9/26/94

10:30 a.m.

DATE

TIME

THE NEW YORK TIMES
LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

TO:

212-556-3690 (tel: 212-556-1873)

FAX NUMBER:

This fax consists of a total of ⁴ pages, including this cover-sheet. If you do not receive the indicated number of pages, or if there is a question as to the transmittal, please call (914) 997-8105.

Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator

FROM:

Please feel free to forward this letter to your news department so that the extraordinary case of Sassower v. Hon. Guy Mangano, et al., described herein, can be investigated and reported on by Times' journalists.

Should you wish to receive any documents or any additional information about the case, please feel free to call.

Elena Ruth Sassower

Ex "J"

P 358 786 512



Receipt for Certified Mail

No Insurance Coverage Provided
Do not use for International Mail
(See Reverse)

Sent to <i>Letters to the Editor</i>	
Street and City <i>229 West 43rd St</i>	
P.O. Box and ZIP Code <i>NY NY 10036</i>	
Postage	\$
Certified Fee	
Special Delivery Fee	
Restricted Delivery Fee	
Return Receipt (showing to Whom & Date Delivered)	
Return Receipt (showing to Whom, Date, and Addressee's Address)	
Total Postage & Fees	<i>2.29</i>
Postmark or Date	<i>SEP 26 1994</i>

PS Form 3800, June 1991

Not confirmed receipt of fax 9/26/94

Is your RETURN ADDRESS completed on the reverse side?

SENDER:

- Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.
- Complete items 3, and 4a & b.
- Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can return this card to you.
- Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space does not permit.
- Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the mailpiece below the article number.
- The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered and the date delivered.

I also wish to receive the following services (for an extra fee):

- 1. Addressee's Address
- 2. Restricted Delivery

Consult postmaster for fee.

3. Article Addressed to:
Letters to the Editor
The New York Times
229 West 43rd St.
NY, NY 10036

4a. Article Number
P 358 786 512

- 4b. Service type
- Registered
 - Certified
 - Express Mail
 - Insured
 - COD
 - Return Receipt for Merchandise

7. Date of Delivery

8. Addressee's Address (Only if requested and fee is paid)

5. Signature (Addressee)

6. Signature (Sender)

Thank you for using Return Receipt Service.

PS Form 3811, December 1991

U.S. GPO: 1992-323-402

DOMESTIC RETURN RECEIPT

CENTER for
JUDICIAL
ACCOUNTABILITY



Box 69, Gedney Station • White Plains, New York 10605-0069
TEL: 914/997-8105 • FAX: 914/684-6554

By Fax: 212-556-3690
and By Certified Mail: RRR 358-786-512

September 25, 1994

Letter to the Editor
The New York Times
229 West 43rd Street
New York, New York 10036

Dear Editor:

Your September 17th editorial about the New York State Attorney General recognizes that "voters need to know how the candidates intend to handle the job's meat-and-potatoes work of defending the state against legal actions".

We agree--and believe you should alert voters to a particular legal action, defended by the Attorney General. It is a case about which the candidates for that office must be specifically questioned since it will be on the desk of whomever is elected our next Attorney General.

The case, entitled Sassower v. Hon. Guy Mangano, et al., directly affects the public. It charges high-ranking judges with abusing their judicial office for political, retaliatory purposes. It is instructive to see how the Attorney General defended the judges sued in that legal action. He refused to enforce the law and rules governing judicial disqualification and

permitted the very judges sued in the proceeding to decide their own case. How did the judges decide? Predictably, they granted the motion of their own attorney, the Attorney General, and dismissed the case against themselves. This may sound like something out of Alice in Wonderland, but the result has been a very real cover-up of judicial corruption--aided and abetted by the Attorney General.

Presently, the matter is before our State's highest Court, the New York Court of Appeals--where the Attorney General argues--without the slightest legal authority--that there should be no appellate review of his judicial clients' self-interested decision in their favor.

For the voting public to choose intelligently who should be our next Attorney General, the press must insist that each candidate respond to the facts of this extraordinary case. Those facts show that a major governmental scandal has taken place and that our constitutional rights are imperiled by the very government officials whose duty it is to protect those rights, including the Attorney General of the State of New York.

Any candidate who cannot unequivocally condemn the abandonment of the most basic rule of law that "no man can be the judge of his own cause" lacks the competence and courage required of our State's highest law enforcement officer.

Finally, since the next Attorney General will, upon taking office, have the irrefutable evidence of judicial corruption that is fully documented in this case, the issue of judicial corruption is not an abstract one. It is immediate and grave. Consequently, the candidates must also define the role that the Attorney General will play, as "the People's lawyer", in safeguarding the integrity of our third branch of government. At present, the Attorney General's office neither investigates complaints of judicial corruption nor even refers them for investigation.

Elena Ruth Sassower

ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Coordinator
Center for Judicial Accountability

The Center for Judicial Accountability is a non-partisan citizens' group working to improve the quality of the judiciary.