

received for Ms Abate
Shy 1:15 pm

Received for Koppell
1:25 pm

Received by Davis
1:37 pm

CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

P.O. Box 69, Gedney Station
White Plains, New York 10605-0069

Tel (914) 421-1200
Fax (914) 428-4994

E-Mail: judgewatch@aol.com
Web site: www.judgewatch.org 2 pm

Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator

BY HAND

September 8, 1998

New York Law Journal: ATT: Daniel Wise, Mark Hamblett
The Association of the Bar of the City of New York: ATT: Alan Rothstein, General Counsel

RE: September 9th debate between the candidates for the Democratic nomination for New York State Attorney General

As you know, CJA has e-mailed a question to the Law Journal as to why the contenders for the Democratic nomination for Attorney General have not raised, as a campaign issue, the fraud and misconduct of the Attorney General's office in its defense of state judges and the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, as highlighted in CJA's public interest ad, "*Restraining 'Liars in the Courtroom' and on the Public Payroll*" (NYLJ, 8/27/97, pp. 3-4) and its prior ad, "*Where Do You Go Where Judges Break the Law?*" (NYLJ, 11/1/94, p. 9; NYT, 10/26/94, Op-Ed page) (Exhibits "A-1" and "A-2"). The fraud and misconduct therein set forth are fact-specific -- and, as emphasized by the "*Restraining 'Liars'*" ad, is *readily verifiable* from the files of the two Article 78 proceedings and §1983 federal action identified with the court index and docket numbers for said purpose.

We have notified all four candidates of that question, involving the public's right to be informed of such electorally-significant issue relating to the conduct of the office they wish to hold. Copies of our letters to each of the four candidates are annexed: to Mr. Koppell (Exhibit "B"); to Mr. Spitzer (Exhibit "C"); to Mr. Davis (Exhibit "D") and to Ms. Abate (Exhibit "E").

In addition to this memo, we are now delivering to each of the candidates a copy of the enclosed cert petition and supplemental brief in *Sassower v. Mangano, et al* -- the §1983 federal action in which Mr. Koppell is being sued for fraud and misconduct as Attorney General in defending state judges and has himself been defended, by fraud and misconduct, by Attorney General Vacco. As demonstrated therein, both Mr. Koppell and Mr. Vacco have knowingly subverted the judicial process in order to cover up corruption and political influence in this state's judiciary and the unconstitutionality of the state attorney disciplinary law. If exposed, both Mr. Koppell and Mr. Vacco would not only be defeated electorally, but indicted and disbarred. As our letter to Mr. Koppell points out (Exhibit "B", p. 1), we have already filed a criminal complaint with the U.S. Justice Department so that prosecution may be undertaken and disciplinary referral made". That July 27, 1998 complaint is included in the appendix to the supplemental brief at SA-47.

Ex "D-1" Elena Ruth Sassower

CENTER *for* JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

P.O. Box 69, Gedney Station
White Plains, New York 10605-0069

Tel. (914) 421-1200
Fax (914) 428-4994

E-Mail: judgewatch@aol.com
Web site: www.judgewatch.org

BY FAX: 212-420-0495

1:45 p.m.

10 pages

September 7, 1998

Eliot Spitzer, Esq.
611 Broadway, Suite 202
New York, New York

RE: Raising Electorally-Significant Issues of Government Integrity
Wednesday, September 9th Debate of Candidates for the Democratic
Nomination for New York State Attorney General

Dear Mr. Spitzer:

As you know, on August 27, 1997, the Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) ran a public interest ad, "*Restraining 'Liars in the Courtroom' and on the Public Payroll*" (NYLJ, pp. 3-4), describing how Attorney General Vacco had defended state judges and the State Commission on Judicial Conduct, sued for corruption: he had engaged in litigation misconduct, including fraud, which was being covered up by the courts, both state and federal.

By then, you had already "embarked on a campaign to be elected Attorney General of the State of New York" (your 6/17/97 solicitation ltr). It was for that reason that I telephoned you -- to alert you to CJA's ad, detailing Mr. Vacco's in-office misconduct, covering up state judicial corruption and the corruption of the judicial process. You returned my phone call and we spoke briefly about it.

The ad also refers to the similar misconduct of Mr. Vacco's predecessor Attorneys General, including G. Oliver Koppell, in an Article 78 proceeding against judges of the Appellate Division, Second Department. This was not the first you learned of Mr. Koppell's in-office misconduct in defending against that Article proceeding. Back in 1994, during your first bid for the Democratic nomination for Attorney General, in which you were competing against Mr. Koppell, we hand-delivered a copy of the Article 78 file to you. A copy of CJA's August 8, 1994 coverletter to you is enclosed, as is a copy of the receipt reflecting your return of the file to us the following month.

We have now e-mailed a question to the Law Journal for Wednesday morning's debate at the City Bar. That question asks why the transcending issues of state judicial corruption and complicity therein by Attorney General Vacco, as well as by Attorney General Koppell, as presented by

"*Restraining 'Liars'*" and by CJA's prior ad, referred to therein, "*Where Do You Go When Judges Break the Law?*" (NYT, 10/26/94, Op-Ed page ad; NYLJ, 11/1/94, p. 9) have not been raised by any of the would-be candidates for the Democratic nomination for Attorney General. We have also informed the Law Journal that we would give notice of our question to the four candidates.

This letter constitutes notice to you. Should you wish to see the files of the other two cases referred to in the ad -- our Article 78 proceeding against the State Commission on Judicial Conduct and the 1983 federal action, in which Mr. Koppell is a party defendant, we will readily provide them to you. Let there be no doubt but that Mr. Vacco's misconduct therein, if exposed, would not only defeat him electorally -- but result in his criminal indictment and disbarment. Likewise, if Mr. Koppell's misconduct were exposed.

Finally, we enclose a copy of the e-mail notice we sent to Mr. Koppell on Friday, September 4th, as is a copy of "*Restraining 'Liars in the Courtroom' and on the Public Payroll*". Also enclosed is "*Where Do You Go When Judges Break the Law?*"-- which, in the days before the general election, raised the corruption issue that both Mr. Vacco, then the Republican candidate for Attorney General, and Karen Burstein, his Democratic counterpart, were concealing. Like yourself, each had been furnished copies of the Article 78 file relative to Mr. Koppell's complicity in state judicial corruption.

Yours for a quality judiciary
and for an Attorney General worthy of being "the People's Lawyer",



ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Coordinator
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

Enclosures

cc: New York Law Journal

Association of the Bar of the City of New York

CENTER for
JUDICIAL
ACCOUNTABILITY



Box 69, Gedney Station • White Plains, New York 10605-0069
TEL: 914/997-8105 • FAX: 914/684-6554

BY HAND

August 8, 1994

Eliot Spitzer for Attorney General
52 Vanderbilt Avenue
New York, New York 10017

ATT: John Zaubler, Campaign Manager

Dear Mr. Zaubler:

As discussed, we offer Mr. Spitzer a most extraordinary opportunity to demonstrate that G. Oliver Koppell--in his brief tenure as our State's highest legal officer--has betrayed the public trust by a knowing cover-up of judicial corruption for his own private gain and self-interest.

From the time Mr. Koppell assumed office in January, we notified him that a major scandal, akin to a "judicial Watergate", existed in the Appellate Division, Second Department and that the Attorney General's Office was in complicity with a cover-up by the judges of that court.

Our correspondence--consisting of a dozen separate letters to Mr. Koppell personally and to closest members of his executive staff--is annexed to my mother's submissions to the Court of Appeals¹. They have to be read to be believed--as do the underlying disciplinary files under A.D. #90-00315, which were hand-delivered to Mr. Koppell on March 8, 1994 (Supp. Exh. "7"), and identified to him as constituting:

"prima facie, if not conclusive, evidence that [his] judicial clients have wilfully misused their office as part of an on-going criminal conspiracy to use the court's disciplinary powers for ulterior and retaliatory purposes". (Supp. Exh. "4"; 2/6/94 ltr, at p. 2).

¹ See Mr. Schwartz' 3/14/94 ltr to the Court of Appeals: Supp. Exhs. "2", "4", "5", "6", "7", "8", "9"; and my mother's 7/19/94 Reargument Motion: Exhs. "M", "N", "O", "P", "R".

August 8, 1994

We would be pleased to provide Mr. Spitzer with an exact copy of the files we furnished to Mr. Koppell² so that he can verify for himself that what he has before him is a major governmental scandal, which could--and should--end Mr. Koppell's candidacy.

As you will see from the papers transmitted herewith, Mr. Koppell has taken the position in the Court of Appeals that it is perfectly proper for his judicial clients, the justices of the Appellate Division, Second Department, to have decided my mother's Article 78 proceeding against them--and that there should be no right of appellate review from the decision which they, predictably, made in their own favor, granting the motion of their own attorney, the Attorney General, to dismiss.

Such position, which Mr. Koppell has advanced without any legal authority, and which is contrary to Judiciary Law §14, as well as controlling decisional law and the most basic judicial conflict of interest rules, is more than frivolous and in bad faith. It is absolutely dangerous and frightening. In one fell swoop, Mr. Koppell has destroyed the very foundation on which our judicial process rests: a fair and impartial tribunal and has subverted the Article 78 vehicle designed to ensure it.

Mr. Koppell--put to any public debate--could not defend such an indefensible position. It would be devastating for Mr. Koppell, a Harvard Law graduate, to be challenged on the subject by Mr. Spitzer, another Harvard Law graduate, twenty years his junior.

Nor could Mr. Koppell justify his failure to review the files under A.D. #90-00315 which we provided him and his countenancing of, and participation in, outright lies and misrepresentations by his staff counsel about the content of files they had never read.

Issues of documented judicial corruption and the complicity of Mr. Koppell, as Attorney General, can readily be grasped by the average voter. The public will be rightfully outraged and incensed by what has transpired under Mr. Koppell's stewardship. Such issues will electrify the public and make the race for Attorney General "the most exciting show in town".

Inasmuch as "crime" has emerged as a focal issue of the campaign, Mr. Spitzer has a unique opportunity to show that he, unlike Mr. Koppell, will not use the office of Attorney General to shield criminals in the judiciary from investigation and prosecution. People will be impressed that, young as he is, Mr. Spitzer is a courageous candidate, not beholden to the political machine of either party and ready to clean our governmental house from top to bottom.

² See Inventory annexed to Supp. Exh. "7".

August 8, 1994

As the record in the Article 78 proceeding unequivocally shows, Mr. Koppell, for all his professed concern for ethics and integrity in government, has proven himself to be part of the "old boys" network, which has corrupted government.

Yours for a quality judiciary,

ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Coordinator
Center for Judicial Accountability

For your information, I enclose my mother's Martindale-Hubbell law listing. I would further add that in 1989, she was elected a Fellow of the American Bar Foundation--an honor reserved for less than one-third of one percent of the practicing bar of each state.

DLS/er

Enclosures: (a) 1/24/94 DLS' Jurisdictional Statement
(b) 2/11/94 letter of Attorney General
(c) 3/14/94 letter of Evan Schwartz, Esq.
(d) 7/19/94 DLS' Reargument Motion
(e) 8/4/94 "Memorandum of Law" of Attorney General
(f) 8/7/94 DLS' Affidavit in Reply
(g) Martindale-Hubbell's Law Directory listing

P.S. My mother's October 24, 1991 letter to Governor Cuomo, calling for the appointment of a special prosecutor³--which I faxed to you earlier today--is Exhibit "K" to her Reargument Motion. The December 11, 1993 New York Times' article reporting my confrontation with the Governor on that subject--which I also faxed to you--is enclosed herewith.

³ Mr. Koppell, then Chairman of the Assembly Judiciary Committee, was an indicated recipient of that letter and received numerous copies of it from us over the years.

2152793403

USE THIS AIRBILL FOR SHIPPERS WITHIN THE CONTINENTAL U.S.A., ALASKA AND HAWAII. USE THE INTERNATIONAL AIR MAIL FOR SHIPPERS TO PORTO RICO AND ALL NON U.S. LOCATIONS. QUESTIONS? CALL 800-238-5355 TOLL FREE.

AIRBILL PACKAGE TRACKING NUMBER

2152793403

RECIPIENT'S COPY

From (Your Name) Please Print

John Zuller

Date

9/16

Your Phone Number (Very Important)

0120800335

To (Recipient's Name) Please Print

Elena Sassever

Recipient's Phone Number (Very Important)

014997788

Company

Sitzer Fr. Attorneys

Department/Floor No.

Green

Company

IMT Planning

Department/Floor No.

114

Street Address

114

City

10017

State

MA

City

New York

Exact Street Address (We cannot deliver to P.O. Boxes or P.O. Zip Codes.)

203 Southview Ave

State

MA

YOUR INTERNAL BILLING REFERENCE INFORMATION (optional) (First 24 characters will appear on invoice.)

114

IF HOLD AT FEDEX LOCATION, Print FEDEX Address Here

114

3 Bill Sender Bill Recipient's FedEx Acct. No. Bill 3rd Party FedEx Acct. No. Bill Credit Card

4 Standard Overnight Standard Overnight (Tuesday to Friday delivery)

5 DELIVERY AND SPECIAL HANDLING (Check services required)

6 DANGEROUS GOODS (Extra charge)

7 DIM SHIPMENT (Chargeable Weight)

8 RETURN TO SENDER (Check one)

9 RETURN TO SENDER (Check one)

10 RETURN TO SENDER (Check one)

11 OTHER PACKAGING

12 FEDER LETTER

13 FEDER PK+

14 FEDER TUBE

15 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

16 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

17 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

18 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

19 OTHER PACKAGING

20 FEDER LETTER

21 FEDER PK+

22 FEDER TUBE

23 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

24 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

25 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

26 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

27 OTHER PACKAGING

28 FEDER LETTER

29 FEDER PK+

30 FEDER TUBE

31 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

32 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

33 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

34 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

35 OTHER PACKAGING

36 FEDER LETTER

37 FEDER PK+

38 FEDER TUBE

39 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

40 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

41 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

42 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

43 OTHER PACKAGING

44 FEDER LETTER

45 FEDER PK+

46 FEDER TUBE

47 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

48 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

49 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

50 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

51 OTHER PACKAGING

52 FEDER LETTER

53 FEDER PK+

54 FEDER TUBE

55 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

56 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

57 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

58 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

59 OTHER PACKAGING

60 FEDER LETTER

61 FEDER PK+

62 FEDER TUBE

63 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

64 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

65 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

66 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

67 OTHER PACKAGING

68 FEDER LETTER

69 FEDER PK+

70 FEDER TUBE

71 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

72 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

73 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

74 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

75 OTHER PACKAGING

76 FEDER LETTER

77 FEDER PK+

78 FEDER TUBE

79 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

80 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

81 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

82 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

83 OTHER PACKAGING

84 FEDER LETTER

85 FEDER PK+

86 FEDER TUBE

87 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

88 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

89 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

90 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

91 OTHER PACKAGING

92 FEDER LETTER

93 FEDER PK+

94 FEDER TUBE

95 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

96 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

97 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

98 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

99 OTHER PACKAGING

100 FEDER LETTER

101 FEDER PK+

102 FEDER TUBE

103 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

104 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

105 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

106 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

107 OTHER PACKAGING

108 FEDER LETTER

109 FEDER PK+

110 FEDER TUBE

111 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

112 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

113 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

114 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

115 OTHER PACKAGING

116 FEDER LETTER

117 FEDER PK+

118 FEDER TUBE

119 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

120 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

121 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

122 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

123 OTHER PACKAGING

124 FEDER LETTER

125 FEDER PK+

126 FEDER TUBE

127 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

128 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

129 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

130 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

131 OTHER PACKAGING

132 FEDER LETTER

133 FEDER PK+

134 FEDER TUBE

135 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

136 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

137 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

138 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

139 OTHER PACKAGING

140 FEDER LETTER

141 FEDER PK+

142 FEDER TUBE

143 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

144 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

145 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

146 GOVERNMENT OVERNIGHT (Monday to Friday delivery)

REVISION DATE 3/84 PART #13744 WCSL 0594 FORM #1158

© 1982-87 FEDER PRINTED IN U.S.A.