

Re: MY RESPONSE TO YOURS: Times "Protectionism" of NY Senator Schumer Arising from Multitudinous Conflicts of Interest...

Subject: Re: MY RESPONSE TO YOURS: Times "Protectionism" of NY Senator Schumer Arising from Multitudinous Conflicts of Interest...

Date: 6/17/2004, 5:56 PM

From: Elena Ruth Sassower <judgewatchers@aol.com>

To: Public <public@nytimes.com>

Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

Dear Mr. Bovino,

Once again, WHY are you asking me about "the specific articles and what is incorrect about them" -- when the inappropriateness of these questions to a complaint based on "protectionism" and suppression was the subject of the first paragraph of my 4:29 PM e-mail to you, whose correctness you do not challenge. Have you yet read the complaint?

If, after you read it, you still have questions, I will be glad to respond.

P.S. the date of my letter to Mr. Keller, referred to in my second paragraph, is October 13, 2003, not October 12th.

Public wrote on 6/17/2004, 4:43 PM:

Dear Ms. Sassower,

The "report" you provide below has information about letters you sent to Mr. Hernandez and letters you've sent to various publications and repeated mentions of CJA.

I'm asking for you to send me a message citing a few things and only these things: the specific articles and what is incorrect about them.

Sincerely,
Arthur Bovino
Office of the Public Editor

At 04:29 PM 6/17/2004, you wrote:

Dear Mr. Bovino,

While I appreciate your rapid response -- within three minutes of my e-mail -- I respectfully request that you READ the complaint I took the time and effort to write. What you term "elaboration" is, in fact, INFORMATION pertaining to a complaint based on "protectionism" and suppression (to wit, NON-REPORTING) born of multitudinous conflicts of interest. Indeed, as recognized by Mr. Okrent's own May 30th column, whose pertinent words I quote at footnote 4 of the complaint, the public editor's "territory includes what doesn't appear in the paper, as well as what does". Thus, your surprising questions to me as to what "articles" I am "concerned with" and what my "specific concerns about them" are OFF THE MARK.

In any event, the complaint's second paragraph identifies Mr. Hernandez' May 18th front-page metro story, "\$21 Million Schumer War Chest: What Campaign Will It Pay For?" and summarizes why it is objectionable, further identifying that the objections are set forth in my enclosed May 24th memo to Mr. Mulaney. More objectionable pieces are identified by my May 11th letter to Mr. Hernandez -- with further criticisms of other articles contained in footnote 28 of my October 12, 2003 letter to Mr. Keller, specifically cited by my May 11th letter. This correspondence is, by the way, ALL posted on the homepage of CJA's website, www.judgewatch.org, under the "Paper Trail Documenting the Corruption of Federal Judicial Selection/Confirmation...". It may be more convenient for you to read it from the website so that you don't have to open attached files, which I see, from your e-mail, has been somewhat strangely reconfigured.

Please advise what more you need.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower

6/17/2004 8:07 PM