

Subject: Re: Does Mr. Okrent Deny or Dispute the Evidentiary Significance of the "Paper Trail" -- Warranting Times Coverage by ANY OBJECTIVE STANDARD

Date: 6/22/2004, 11:14 AM

From: [Public <public@nytimes.com>](mailto:public@nytimes.com)

To: [Elena Ruth Sassower <judgewatchers@aol.com>](mailto:ElenaRuthSassower@judgewatchers@aol.com)

Dear Ms. Sassower,

I did not make a professional judgement as to your concern.

Mr. Okrent asked me to tell you that he has already responded to you regarding this concern and that we will no longer be answering your messages or letters regarding this issue.

We consider this matter closed.

Sincerely,
Arthur Bovino
Office of the Public Editor

At 10:48 AM 6/22/2004, you wrote:

Dear Mr. Bovino,

It is for Mr. Okrent -- *not you* -- to make the professional judgment as to whether CJA's serious and substantial June 17, 2004 complaint -- for which FULL evidentiary support was provided -- entitles us to a signed response, as my today's e-mail addressed to him requested. This includes Mr. Okrent's signed response to the DISPOSITIVE questions which your "responding" e-mail conspicuously does not even purport to answer: "Do you deny or dispute that [the "Paper Trail" of *primary source* documents posted on CJA's homepage], evidentiary establish the corruption of federal judicial selection/confirmation -- and Senator Schumer's pivotal role therein -- and that this warrants Times' coverage by ANY OBJECTIVE STANDARD?"

In the event you do not even open this message, these DISPOSITIVE unanswered questions are summarized on the subject line of this e-mail.

We will await Mr. Okrent's signed letter. Meantime, the otherwise unbelievable e-mail exchange between us will be posted on CJA's website, accessible via the sidebar panel, "Press Suppression".

Thank you.

Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
(914) 421-1200

.....
Public wrote on 6/22/2004, 9:47 AM:

Dear Ms. Sassower,

We have read the items you have sent us and Mr. Okrent has already replied to you.

This note is to tell you we will no longer be responding to you regarding this issue.