

CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

P.O. Box 69, Gedney Station
White Plains, New York 10605-0069

Tel. (914) 421-1200
Fax (914) 428-4994

E-Mail: judgewatch@aol.com
Web site: www.judgewatch.org

Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS #809211959671

December 23, 1998

Mr. Jonathan Broder
6109 29th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20015

RE: THE HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE's OTHER IMPEACHMENT DUTIES, INCLUDING IMPEACHMENT COMPLAINT AGAINST SUPREME COURT CHIEF JUSTICE REHNQUIST

Dear Mr. Broder:

As discussed in our lengthy conversation today, enclosed is CJA's November 6, 1998 impeachment complaint against the Supreme Court Justices¹, with certified mail/return receipt reflecting delivery to the House Judiciary Committee -- both Republican and Democratic sides. The substantiating documentation to the complaint -- ALL filed with the House Judiciary Committee, Republican and Democratic sides -- are the Supreme Court papers in the §1983 federal civil rights action, *Sassower v. Mangano, et al.*²:

- (1) the *unopposed* cert petition;
- (2) the supplemental petition -- including the documents "lodged" with the Supreme Court Clerk:
 - (I) CJA's statement to the House Judiciary Committee for inclusion in the record of its June 11, 1998 "oversight hearing of the administration and operation of the federal judiciary", *with* supporting evidentiary compendium; and

¹ Also enclosed is our November 6, 1998 letter transmitting the impeachment complaint to the Supreme Court Justices.

² Copies of the underlying case record in the district court and in the Court of Appeals were provided to BOTH the Republican and Democratic sides of the House Judiciary Committee in March 1998. [See R-15 of enclosed documentary compendium].

(ii) CJA's July 27, 1998 letter to Lee Radek, Chief of the Public Integrity Section of the U.S. Justice Department's Criminal Division

(3) the petition for rehearing³

CJA's five-year correspondence with the House Judiciary Committee is contained in the enclosed documentary compendium [R-35, R-74, R-75, R-79, R-80, R-84, R-87, R-90, R-92, R-95, R-98, R-99, R-103, R-105, R-108, R-110, R-1, R-15, R-40, R-66]⁴. This correspondence began, back in June 1993, when we filed our first impeachment complaint against federal judges for fraudulent judicial decisions [R-35]. Also included by this correspondence is our second impeachment complaint against federal judges for fraudulent judicial decisions [R-15, See 24-5]. Additionally enclosed is our most recent September 4, 1998 letter to the House Judiciary Committee, which cites to the final sentence of our June 19, 1998 letter to it, attached thereto, ("Meantime, this Subcommittee has impeachment investigations to attend to...") and specifically asks "please advise what steps will be taken by the Subcommittee to proceed with impeachment investigations of the federal judges involved...". We have received no response to either letter. Likewise, we have received no response to our November 6th impeachment complaint.

By way of background on the National Commission's 1993 Report, enclosed are pp. 35-39 about the House Judiciary Committee. Page 35 is particularly important in that identifies that the House Judiciary Committee tabulates the number of judicial impeachment complaints it receives in its "Summary of Activities" and that these are available upon request. CJA's July 10, 1995 letter, which made that request, is part of the compendium [R-95]. However, a free-standing copy of the letter is also enclosed -- because it contains the exhibits, including the pertinent pages of the House Judiciary Committee's "Summary of Activities" for the 101st and 102nd Congresses. The tables of contents for the "Summary of Activities" for the 103rd and 104th Congresses -- which reflect NO section with statistical information on judicial impeachment complaint -- are also enclosed. Such omission was pointed out by us in our enclosed written statement to the House Judiciary Committee [at p.5, fn. 5]

Also pointed out in that statement are the federal judiciary's statistics for 1996 and 1997 relative to judicial misconduct complaints filed with it under 28 U.S.C. §372(c) "the 1980 Act". The pertinent pages of the Annual Reports for those years are enclosed.

³ The petition for rehearing was denied by order entered 11/30/98. A copy of the notification letter is enclosed.

⁴ See, in particular, CJA's 6/30/95 and 3/28/96 ltrs to Tom Mooney [R-92, 108].

Jonathan Broder

Page Three

December 23, 1998

Finally, enclosed is a "hard copy" of my October 7, 1998 letter to Salon Magazine, faxed to you earlier today.

Please don't hesitate to call with any questions you may have. We look forward to working with you on this powerful and important story -- which we hope you will be the FIRST to break.

Yours for a quality judiciary,

**ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Coordinator
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)**

Enclosures