1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
3	GILBERT LAU,			
4	Plaintiff,			
5	v. 99 Civ. 4045(CM)			
6 7	MARK M. MEDDAUGH, IRA J. COHEN, STEVEN S. KATZ,			
8	Defendants.			
9	x			
10	September 24, 1999			
11	3:45 p.m.			
12	Before:			
13	THE HONORABLE COLLEEN McMAHON,			
14	District Judge			
15				
16	APPEARANCES			
17 .				
18	GILBERT LAU			
19	Pro se Plaintiff			
20	APPELBAUM, BAUMAN & APPELBAUM			
21	Attorneys for Defendants Cohen and Katz MICHAEL J. FREY			
22	TI TOW GDIMADD			
23	ELIOT SPITZER Attorney General for the State of New York			
24	CHARLES F. SANDERS Assistant Attorney General			
25				

Decision

1	(Case called)
2	MR. LAU: I would like to hand up two courtesy copies
3	of a I just filed today a motion to compel for discovery.
4	THE COURT: You can keep that, sir. I'm going to
5	throw out your case, so you don't have to worry about it.
6	Next appearance, please.
7	MR. FREY: Your Honor, on behalf of Ira J. Cohen and
8	Steven S. Katz, Michael J. Frey of Appelbaum, Bauman &
9	Appelbaum.
10	MR. SANDERS: Charles F. Sanders, Assistant Attorney
11	General, New York State Office of the Attorney General.
12	THE COURT: All right. Everybody have a seat.
13	Unfortunately, you people were too fast for me. I
14	had Thursday morning planned to fax or to mail out to you the
15	following order, but you got your motions to dismiss in, and
16	I'm very sorry that you wasted the time.
17	This matter is before me on the application of the
18	plaintiff pro se, Gilbert Lau, for appointment of counsel.
19	The application is denied.
20	The complaint, as best I can construe it, alleges
21	misconduct by the Sullivan County Attorney and Justice Mark
22	Meddaugh of the Sullivan County Supreme Court.
23	The gravamen of his complaint is that Justice
24	Meddaugh denied his application for leave to file an action

against the Sullivan County Clerk in forma pauperis, dismissed

Decision

his complaint as failing to state a	claim, and	subsequently
sanctioned plaintiff because he was	made aware	of prior orders
sanctioning Lau for abuse of the li	tigation pro	ocess.

Those orders had been entered in two other suits, one against the Sullivan County District Attorney, who was sued for failure to prosecute a matter that Mr. Lau believed should have been prosecuted, and one against the judge who had dismissed the case against the District Attorney, Judge Katz, I believe. What was that -- Judge Kane?

MR. SANDERS: Judge Kane.

THE COURT: Judge Kane, I'm sorry.

Mr. Lau here alleges that the Sullivan County
Attorney who was defending Cohen and Katz conspired with
Justice Meddaugh to bring about this inequitable result; that
Justice Meddaugh learned of the prior order sanctioning
plaintiff in an ex-parte communication; and apparently that
the plaintiff believes there to have been secret
correspondence between Justice Meddaugh and the County
Attorney's Office concerning the various actions described
above.

In addition to various state court actions, it appears that Mr. Lau has previously filed an action against the District Attorney in this court in which he alleged that the District Attorney's failure to pursue the prosecution and discretionary actions for which there is no cause of action

Decision

whatsoever violated his constitutional rights. That case was dismissed sua sponte by one of my colleagues with a notation that the case had no merit and that any appeal taken would not be in good faith under 28 United States Code, Section 1915.

Mr. Lau has appealed the dismissal of that action.

The application for appointment of counsel is denied, and this complaint, which, like all of Mr. Lau's previous complaints, is brought against state officers for acts for which they enjoy immunity from prosecution and which is frivolous on its face, is dismissed sua sponte. 28 United States Code, 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)(iii). The case has no merit, and an appeal taken from this order would not be taken in good faith within the meaning of 28 United States Code, Section 1915.

Now, Mr. Lau, the last paragraph of this says that you are ordered to show cause why I should not enter an order enjoining you from filing any further actions in this court unless your proposed complaint has been reviewed by the United States Magistrate Judge and is deemed at least facially to state a claim for relief. I planned to order you to be in court in a couple of weeks to do that, but you are here now. I didn't realize when I wrote this that you were going to be here today.

So, Mr. Lau, why is it that I shouldn't enter an injunction to stop you from bothering these people? You have

MR. LAU:

Decision

I do have a claim. I want to explain that.

1 | no claim.

The judge went absent to his jurisdiction. And the law is very clear under the U.S. Supreme Court Stamson v.

Sparksman, under 435 U.S. 349, page number 356-357, that when a judge acts absent to clear jurisdiction, there is no absolute immunity. And defendant Mark Meddaugh went absent to his jurisdiction by going to a case that has to do with

appellate jurisdiction where he's a trial judge. Just like

an appellate case. Well, this is what he did.

you said in this other case, you have no authority to sit over

THE COURT: No, but Justice Meddaugh has a perfect right, when he learns that you have been deemed a violator of the litigation process, an abuser of the litigation process, to take that into account in deciding to sanction you, just as I'm about to take it into account in making a decision that you have filed repeated frivolous lawsuits in the past against the District Attorney, against the County Attorney and against the judge who did something you didn't like.

MR. LAU: No, it's not something I didn't like, it's a violation of my rights. The law says that if a judge commits an ex-parte communication when the law does not, and this clearly does not, under judiciary law, it's forbidden, and that's a violation right there. It's very clear. You can look up the judicial law.

1	THE COURT: And all you had to do was to make a
2	report to the Committee on Judicial Conduct, and they would
3	have looked into it.
4	MR. LAU: Yes, but the reason why it's a violation i
5	because they were communicating with each other privately, and
6	I was not informed of that.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Lau.
8	MR. LAU: How that's not a violation towards my due
9	process?
10	THE COURT: Mr. Lau.
11	MR. LAU: I done nothing wrong. There I was accused
12	of something that I have not committed, and Judge Meddaugh
13	reverses decision because he knew I did nothing wrong and
14	everything the thing was frivolous. That's a third party
15	who had interest in my case. How is it frivolous if there is
16	a news reporter there?
17 .	It's not just that. The main thing is he was sitting
18	making a decision on another case that he was not assigned to
19	in another court, and he and it was an appellate case, and
20	he is a was a trial judge, and he was not sitting on it.
21	And the issue of what I did in the Appellate Division, Third
22	Department, is appellate jurisdiction, appellate issue, not a
23	trial issue. That's how he went absent to his jurisdiction.
24	THE COURT: Well, your position is interesting. It

reflects a complete and total misunderstanding both of what

99oblauc 7

Decision

Justice Meddaugh was doing and of his right to do it. You don't understand the law that's applicable here.

MR. LAU: Well, your Honor, what this is about is something that -- he accused me of something that he knew that I did nothing wrong. Why is it that he overturn his decision?

I mean, I explain the fact in my amended complaint that he overturned himself, which that proves that he knew he went absent to his jurisdiction, because if I did truly something wrong, then why he didn't kept his decision?

And the facts show that he overturn himself because you can't -- you can't change your mind if you know there's something wrong, and he knew what he did was wrong. You have to see that. That's the fact right there.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. LAU: Well, yes. The thing is the transcript shows that I explain what happened, okay, how he did it, how he went clear absent to his jurisdiction, and he -- he agreed to me he going to overturn himself. You have to see that, your Honor.

I'm not doing anything wrong. I've been violated and I've been hurt, and I have a right for justice.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. LAU: Not that I can think of.

THE COURT: Mr. Lau, I've looked through your papers.

There is no indication here that any of your constitutional

Decision

rights have been violated. I am sorry that you feel grieved, but you are abusing the process of this court, as you apparently abused the process in the state courts. I am, therefore, having given you an opportunity to show cause why I should not do so, entering an injunction.

I enjoin you -- that means you are forbidden -- from filing any lawsuit arising out of any of these incidents;

Justice Meddaugh, Judge Katz, the County Attorney, the

District Attorney, the various transcripts that you have filed with me or this case itself or the other case that you brought in federal court. You are forbidden to file any further action in the United States District Court for the Southern

District of New York, and that's here in White Plains or downtown in Foley Square --

MR. LAU: So that means if I have --

THE COURT: Excuse me. I'm not through speaking -unless you first submit the complaint to a United States

Magistrate Judge for vetting and for the judge to determine
whether it is frivolous on its face or is brought against an
officer for an act for which the officer enjoys immunity from
prosecution, in Violation of 28, United States Code, Section

1915(e)(2)(B)(i)(iii).

The Office of the Clerk of the Southern District of New York is directed not to accept or file any papers from Gilbert Lau, 207 East 85th Street, New York, New York, 10028

Decision

until they have been vetted by a magistrate judge in accordance with this injunction.

An injunction is an order of the Court that forbids you, Mr. Lau, from doing something, and if you violate the injunction, they can bring you back to me, and I can, after a hearing, find you in contempt of court. And there are penalties for contempt of court. They include jail. They include fines. They include paying the expenses of people who are forced into court by your vexatious litigation. And I'm sorry, I know you don't feel this way, but it is vexatious, meritless litigation.

MR. LAU: Let me ask something. There was another fellow judge that I feel should be enjoined -- felt that I had a case. Now, why you enjoin me for something that I have not done? And they heard from the clerk. He represents the County Attorney and Mr. Katz. It was posted by the clerk. There was a motion to dismiss. I have not read that, and I think that you basing what they say --

THE COURT: Mr. Lau, I'm telling you to get on with your life and to put this behind you and to forget about it because if you keep bringing it back to court, certainly if you bring it to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, you will not be allowed to proceed and you are subjecting yourself to penalties. You are abusing the litigation process.

1	MR. LAU: How I'm abusing the explain that.
2	THE COURT: You are bringing frivolous claims against
3	people who the law says cannot be sued.
4	MR. LAU: But the law says they can be sued if they
5	go absent to jurisdiction. It's very clear in U.S. Supreme
6	Court
7	THE COURT: Mr. Lau, Mr. Lau.
8	MR. LAU: and it's not a misunderstanding.
9	THE COURT: There is no indication on the record
10	before me, which is why I dismissed your complaint, that
11	anybody has exceeded his jurisdiction in this matter.
12	MR. LAU: All right. All right. Sorry, your Honor.
13	May I ask a question, please, respectfully?
14	THE COURT: May you what?
15	MR. LAU: May I respectfully ask a question?
16	THE COURT: You may ask a question.
17	MR. LAU: Yes. What constitutes clear absent of
18	jurisdiction?
19	THE COURT: No, this isn't law school, Mr. Lau. This
20	is not law school, and I'm not here to answer that question,
21	and I'm not here to answer questions in a vacuum.
22	I've told you I've dismissed your case. Your case is
23	dismissed as of this moment, and I have told you that I have
24	entered an injunction and that the clerk of this court in
25	either courthouse, Foley Square or White Plains, will not

accept any further filings from you unless they go first to a magistrate judge who decides that on their face they have some merit. And if you start filing things and the magistrate judge starts bouncing them because they have no merit, you're going to be in violation of the injunction.

The idea is you're supposed to stop it, Mr. Lau. It's over.

MR. LAU: It's not -- there's merit to my case, so it -- how could I -- there's -- there's merit right there.

It's in the transcript. They've been talking. They've been ex-parte communication. That's not due process?

THE COURT: Mr. Lau, I've ruled that there is no merit to your case, and I have entered my injunction accordingly because, when given an opportunity, you have not demonstrated any reason why I should not put an end to this.

MR. LAU: All right. Let me ask another question.

That means that if it has nothing to do with Sullivan County,

if I get abused by police officer or --

THE COURT: I said that the injunction ran as to the incidents over which you have been suing these people; the decision of the Sullivan County District Attorney not to indict somebody, the County Attorney's behavior, Judge Meddaugh, Judge Katz, those people, the claim that you brought previously in federal court, the incidents that are the subject of this litigation.

Decision

1	MR. LAU: So that means that only in Sullivan County,
2	nothing outside Sullivan County?
3	THE COURT: No, no. I said in the Southern District
4	of New York, in the Federal Court in the Southern District of
5	New York.
6	Now, you might go up to Ulster County Supreme Court
7	and file something, and they'll throw you out and they'll
8	sanction you.
9	Mr. Lau, give it up. Stop it. It's over. It's
10	finished. Move on in your life.
11	MR. LAU: All right. Now, I hope you're not doing
12	this because he's another judge and you're another judge.
13	THE COURT: I'm doing it because I'm required to,
14	sir, as a matter of law.
15	MR. LAU: Well, I can cite a matter of law that there
16	was a judge, another fellow judge, Judge Brieant, that denied
17 *	it, and he didn't felt that I did anything feeble. He felt
18	that I did state a claim on another case, and he denied the
19	motion to
20	THE COURT: First thing, Judge Brieant, it appears to
21	me, dismissed the case sua sponte with a notation that the
22	case had no merit and that any appeal that you took would not
23	be in good faith.
24	MR. LAU: No. That's not true. He dismissed it

because of res judicata, and he denied on grounds of failure

Decision

to state a claim. It's very clear. It's in his judgment. And he denied the motion.

THE COURT: Well, I'm dismissing your case on grounds that are set out in Section 1915 of Title 28 of the United States Code. I'm very clear about that. I did not consider the motion papers that were submitted -- sorry you guys did the work -- either by the Office of Court Administration or by the Sullivan County Attorney's Office. I reached this decision before any of those motion papers reached my desk.

And Mr. Lau, for your own sake, please, whatever happened, put it behind you and move on with your life.

MR. LAU: Whatever happened was injustice. I can't put it behind me. They done a violation. That's nothing.

I'm not suing something I don't like. I'm suing that there was a clear injustice done that was a violation. And if you don't see that because he's another judge, as you are, I'm sorry to say I will just have to take an appeal on that in the U.S. Court of Appeals.

THE COURT: Obviously, any decision that I make you are free to appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. I understand that you've appealed Judge Brieant's decision. Then you go right ahead and appeal mine as well.

MR. LAU: And what happens if you are reversed?

THE COURT: Oh, if I'm reversed, then they'll send

you back here. Then they will have told me that I was wrong,
and then we'll have to deal with your case. I don't think
it's going to happen, Mr. Lau.

All right, this proceeding is concluded.