

Edward J. Percesepe  
35 Millers Court  
Ronkonkoma, NY 11779-3930 516-588-3057

November 2, 1997

Chief Justice Of Supreme Court  
William Rehnquist  
U.S. Supreme Court Building  
#1 First Street  
Washington, DC 20543

Honorable Chief Justice William Renquist,

I realize this direct correspondence with you is likely a breach of proper procedure, however, I must alert his honor to the improper and possibly illegal actions of Second District Court Judge John Gleeson, as well as possible problems in the Appellate Court. My action was originally to be heard by District Judge Leonard Wexler but was transferred to Judge Gleeson for unknown reasons. Gleeson is a former Assistant Attorney General for Eastern District for New York and may have close ties to the New York State Attorney General's office.

I went before Judge Gleeson as a plaintiff in a 1983 action against The New York State Department of Labor, The New York State Crane Board and individual state official defendants. These defendants are represented by the Attorney General's office, who instead of protecting its citizens, has insisted on defending a indefensibly flawed, constitutionally violative and corrupt system in which International Union of Operating Engineers (IUOE) officials have been secretly placed in charge of licensing all crane operators throughout New York State. These officials act in their own self-interests, with impunity under cloak of state authority and engage in a documented pattern of discriminatory denial of licensing to non-affiliated applicants.

My Case was handled by Magistrate Judge Boyle, after over two years of costly litigation case was certified for trial, defense had asked Magistrate Boyle for permission to make a motion for Summary Judgement, but were sternly denied permission. Defense then asked Judge Gleeson for permission to make motion for Summary Judgement, it was granted without question. Although, only unsupported affidavits stating Department of Labor (DOL) policy and purjured depositions of defendants that were irrevelent to the main charge of my complaint were entered, Judge Gleeson granted Summary Judgement. His decision was a total misrepresentation of the facts of my action. I immediately appealed his decision with the expectation that an improper and unjust decision would

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

WILLIAM K. SUTER  
CLERK OF THE COURT

November 19, 1997

AREA CODE 202  
479-3011

Edward J. Percesepe  
35 Millers Court  
Ronkonkoma, NY 11779-3930

RE: Percesepe v. New York State Department of Labor

Dear Mr. Percesepe:

In reply to your letter or submission, received November 17, 1997, I regret to inform you that the Court is unable to assist you in the matter you present.


Under Article III of the Constitution, the jurisdiction of this Court extends only to the consideration of cases or controversies properly brought before it from lower courts in accordance with federal law and filed pursuant to the Rules of this Court.

Your papers are herewith returned.

The Rules of this Court are enclosed.

Sincerely,  
William K. Suter, Clerk

By:

  
S. Elliott  
(202) 479-3025

Enclosures