

From: Nora Renzulli
To: Sherrill Spatz
Date: 7/27/2006 3:33 PM
Subject: request for acknowledgment and action on corruption in Richmond County courts

Dear Ms. Spatz:

Judge Panepinto has stalled issuing a ruling on my request for immediate transfer of the case off of Staten Island since June 5th. She has been on notice of this ongoing corruption since May 5, 2003. She was handed this case by the judge who colluded, compounded and covered up the fraud outside of the neutral assignment system, Judge Jeffrey S. Sunshine. She has protected him for the last three years.

The Office of Court Administration should not delay another day in acknowledging the scandal and beginning to deal with it. We do not need another disgraceful systemic failure like Willowbrook on Staten Island compounded by refusal on the part of the government to take needed and timely action after it has been brought to the attention of the appropriate authorities.

The Spitzer-Suozzi Debate should have made it clear that government corruption in New York State is not being addressed by the Attorney General. The Attorney General has an excuse in this egregious case. You chose not to refer it to him.

Your lack of a satisfactory reason for changing your mind and refusing to refer this to the Public Integrity Unit of the Office of the Attorney General in February continues to puzzle me.

Please act immediately to begin to clean our own house.

We should not let Judge Panepinto stall any longer. Isn't that telling enough given the delay of nearly eight weeks since reserving decision on a request for "immediate transfer" that she fails to grasp the urgency and severity of this ongoing corrupt scheme in Richmond County or she grasps it too well and wants to avoid dealing with it until she is safely elected by the voters in November onto another ten years on the Civil Court bench?

My exhusband told me in 1997 that this was not going to be over "until one of us was dead!"
The Unified Court System is complicit in his mockery of the system with officers of the court to bilk the system of justice for me and for the children. What part of this do you not understand?

I invoke my protection from retaliation as an employee for bringing this to your attention because I am protected by the First Amendment. This is speech as a citizen on a matter of great public concern.

Please advise.

Sincerely,

Nora Renzulli