

From: Nora Renzulli
To: Sherrill Spatz
Date: 7/6/2006 3:10 PM
Subject: Ongoing fraud on the court in 2006

Dear Ms. Spatz:

I do not understand the unwillingness of the Court System to address the ethical problems I have raised which cut across disciplines and therefore the problem has found its way to the Office of the Inspector General. I almost feel as if the Court System is taunting me and daring me to call a press conference. I fail to understand your institutional apathy in the face of systemic wrongdoing and horrific damage to me and to my children and of course to the system of the rule of law itself. The delay in dealing with the problem is not my fault and responsibility for that delay should not be assigned to me or to no one and become an excuse for doing nothing presently or in the future.

If you are trying to spin this problem as one that is not yours or the Court System's to identify or deal with to Women in the Courts, Jill Goodman, please think again.

It is not accurate to obscure the reality of the systemic problem of fraud which I have raised. You cannot just dismiss it by saying it was a "wrong done many years ago" and "as an attorney, I should know that it is too late to do anything."

This is false. The fact that the scheme was hatched seven years ago by those who manipulated the system does not mean we should sit back and do nothing. The system's ongoing green light for the abuse should not become the paradoxical justification for doing nothing. It should not disqualify the Office of the Inspector General from naming, claiming and dealing with the nightmare from which I am trying to awake. On the contrary, with such a rich record at your disposal and so many willing and witting co-conspirators to this day to hold accountable in this opportunity for a teachable moment, I would think you would want to tackle this problem because it exposes the crumbling rot of the system so well.

As an attorney, I know it is not too late to do anything about this because I AM an attorney and have created the legal vehicle for exposing the fraud and mitigating the damage in my pending current litigation.

Please do not trivialize the good that can come from a designated court finally at long last stopping the fraud in its tracks. The Chief Administrative Judge must make sure that this case gets sent to a court in this State that is beyond reproach and knows that the Inspector General is watching. That court must know that the Inspector General will back up judicial decision making which comes from a place of integrity even if it embarrasses the wrongdoing judges, lawyers and functionaries involved. Under the present circumstances if he is taking your advice to do nothing, then we are all doomed.

The Office of Court Administration should be researching the most up to date expert understanding of the kind of insidious mind control that my exhusband has succeeded in exerting over my children. The Office of Court Administration needs to take seriously its responsibility in doing no further harm and proactively attempting to mitigate the egregious damage done to my children.

If DNA were to clear an inmate in prison of culpability and substantiate wrongful prosecution, would the Inspector General take the position that the inmate should stay in prison and no one should be held accountable for any wrongdoing because the wrong was done "many years ago."

If you are going to do nothing, then let's replace the "In God We Trust" signs over the judicial benches in this state with "In Bullies We Trust." Let's at least end the hypocrisy.
Please advise.

Nora Renzulli