From:

Nora Renzulli

To:

Sherrill Spatz

Date:

8/2/2006 1:31 PM

Subject:

following up

Dear Ms. Spatz:

Re: the lack of acknowledgment of the missing court documents (Rich. Co.) and failure to recuse/transfer case

Just to keep the record clear, I did notify your office in writing in April 2006 per request by your staff, for a list and for the extent of the missing documents in both Family and Supreme Court in my matter of Renzulli v. Renzulli over the years it has happened, and I provided information on the discovery most recently of the administratively unacknowledged court documents in April 2006 per search by Chief Clerk Joseph Como who found nothing physically in the file or in the computerized registry.

My documentation re requests for review by Chief Clerk Como and by your office were included as exhibits in the application to Judge Barbara Panepinto to recuse/transfer. I thought this would be the proverbial icing on the cake as to the obligation on the part of Judge Panepinto to recuse/transfer the case.

Judge Panepinto in a decision dated July 25, 2006 denied recusal and transfer.

Conspicuous for its absence in Judge Panepinto's decision was any reference whatsoever to the matter of the pattern of the missing documents and no reference to the fact that documents hand delivered to her chambers and to the Homeport clerk on the same day both inexplicably disappeared.

Thank you for your indication that you would be following up on this matter.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Nora Renzulli