Subject: Clarification

Date: 1/3/2007, 12:13 PM

From: Ctr for Judicial Accountability <judgewatchers@aol.com>

To: "Stracher, Cameron " <cstracher@nyls.edu>

Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

Dear Professor/Co-Director Stracher:

Please advise as to WHO is setting PLJ's priorities -- its co-directors, the advisory board, and the affiliated faculty -- and address the CONFLICT OF INTEREST issues, reflected by my December 27th memo.

Assumedly PLJ's FIRST priority is to train its students in law and journalism. How can it possibly do this without teaching them the importance of DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE, especially when such evidence REBUTS the bald, public claims and assertions of panelists at PLJ-sponsored events -- and dramatically impacts on what PLJ faculty and affilitated faculty teach, or should be teaching, them?

Elena Sassower

Stracher, Cameron wrote on 1/3/2007, 11:29 AM:

> Ms. Sassower: In response to your email below: I am saying that we > have many things we need to do at PLJ, and limited time / resources to > do them, and that your agenda (you call it a "proposal") and lawsuit > is not something we have the time, resources, or inclination to > pursue, nor do we have the time, resources, or inclination to engage > in a dialogue with you about why we don't have the time, resources, or > inclination to pursue them.

> Cameron Stracher

>

> Dear Professor/Co-Director Stracher:

> Are you saying that PLJ considers evidence-based "scholarship,
> commentary & pedagogy" an "agenda" and the record presented by our
> public interest lawsuit against The New York Times not worthy of an
> amicus brief?

> Who, specifically, at PLJ has taken such positions -- the co-directors,
> the advisory board, the affiliated faculty, etc. -- and have they not
> recused themselves based on their direct, personal, and pecuniary
> conflicts of interest, such as reflected by my December 27th memo?

> I am ready to meet with you and others at PLJ and NY Law School to > discuss the serious and substantial content of my December 27th memo. > Don't you think that would be appropriate?

> Thank you.

1 of 2