

REPORT ON THE ACTIVITIES
OF THE
COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY
OF THE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
DURING THE
ONE HUNDRED SECOND CONGRESS
PURSUANT TO
CLAUSE 1(d) RULE XI OF THE RULES OF THE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



DECEMBER 31, 1992.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON : 1993

69-006

Exhibit "E"

	Page
Summary of activities of the subcommittees of the Committee on the Judiciary—Continued	
Subcommittee on Intellectual Property and Judicial Administration—Continued	
Patent law—Continued	
Legislative hearings—Continued	
Late payment of patent maintenance fees.....	110
Design protection.....	110
Patent harmonization.....	111
Madrid Protocol.....	111
Federal judicial system.....	111
Oversight.....	112
a. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.....	112
b. Federal Judicial Center.....	112
c. The Judicial Conference of the United States.....	112
d. Advisory committees on rules.....	112
e. Asbestos injury litigation.....	112
f. Alternative dispute resolution programs.....	114
g. Technical amendments.....	115
Legislative hearings.....	115
Judicial immunity legislation, H.R. 3206 and H.R. 671.....	115
Multiparty, Multiforum Jurisdiction Act of 1991, H.R. 2450.....	117
District Court Organization.....	118
Judicial discipline complaints.....	119
Reauthorization of the State Justice Institute, H.R. 4144.....	119
Domestic violence bills.....	121
Protection order and the right of access to information produced in Federal civil litigation.....	122
Antiterrorism Act of 1991, H.R. 2222.....	124
Federal Courts Administration Act of 1992, H.R. 5933.....	125
Title I—Implementation of Federal Courts Study Committee recommendations.....	126
Title II—Judicial Survivors' Annuities System.....	126
Title III—Judicial Financial Administration.....	127
Title IV—Jury matters.....	127
Title V—Miscellaneous.....	127
Title VI—Judiciary personal administration, benefits and protection.....	127
Title VII—Criminal administrative matters.....	127
Title VIII—State Justice Institute reorganization.....	128
Title IX—Court of Federal Claims.....	128
United States Department of Justice.....	128
U.S. Attorneys and U.S. Marshals Service.....	129
Office of Legislative Affairs and Office of Policy Development.....	129
Prison Administration Issues.....	129
Management of the Federal prison system.....	129
Prison visits.....	131
Federal Prison Industries.....	132
Additional corrections issues.....	133
Incarcerated Witness Fees Act of 1991, H.R. 2324.....	133
The RICO Amendments Act of 1991 (H.R. 1717).....	134
Subcommittee on Administrative Law and Governmental Relations:	
Tabulation and disposition of bills referred to the subcommittee.....	137
Jurisdiction of the subcommittee.....	137
Ethics/Conflicts of interest legislation.....	138
Modification of honoraria prohibition.....	138
Exception to post-employment ban for political communications.....	139
Presidential authority to waive conflict of interest laws.....	140
Representation of foreign entities.....	140
Executive Branch Standards of Conduct Corrections Act.....	142
General public legislation.....	144
Debt Collection Act Amendments.....	144
Pilot private counsel debt collection program.....	145
Foreign Agents Registration Act amendments.....	146
Office of Government Ethics funding authorization.....	146
Legal Services Corporation.....	147
Full fair and credit for child support orders.....	149

Summary of activities of the Committee on the Judiciary—Continued
Subcommittee on Administrative Law and Governmental Relations—Continued
General public legislation.....
Independent Commission on Intergovernmental Relations.....
a. Historical and contemporary issues.....
b. Operational issues.....
Amendments to the Administrative Procedure Act.....
Administrative Law and Governmental Relations Act.....
Authority of the Administrative Conference of the United States.....
Legislation relating to the military.....
Medical malpractice.....
Federally sponsored research.....
Modification of the Federal Acquisition Regulation.....
Conference on the Federal Acquisition Regulation.....
Public claims legislation.....
Civil Liberties Act.....
Cherokee, Chickasaw, Choctaw, Creek, and Seminole Claims Act.....
Act.....
Claims by the United States.....
Claims by the United States.....
Judicial Review Act.....
Act.....
Federal Facility Security Act.....
Compacts between the States.....
New Hampshire.....
Interstate Railroads.....
Delaware River.....
Extending the term of the Settlement Commission.....
Federal charters.....
Conference on the Federal Charter Act.....
Fiscal Year.....
Amending the Federal Charter Act.....
Amending the Federal Charter Act.....
Amending the Federal Charter Act.....
Private claims legislation.....
Other activities.....
Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Public Safety:
Tabulation and disposition of bills referred to the subcommittee.....
Jurisdiction of the subcommittee.....
Brady Handgun Enhancement Act.....
Death penalty.....
Firearms.....
Death penalty.....
Drug treatment.....
Selected crime.....
Violent Crime.....
Bank of Commerce.....
Relationship between law enforcement and the community.....
Auto theft and insurance.....
Child Support.....
What They Did.....
Violence against women.....
Financial institutions.....
The Andean Inca.....
Police officers'.....
Drug aftercare.....
The Noriega project.....
United States.....
Clinic access.....
Hate Crimes.....
Loan fee scams.....

00 each, arising out of a single accident. Jurisdiction would be sufficient to permit suit in any court where the accident occurred. Multidistrict litigation would be revised to permit consolidation in a single forum for liability determination.

of H.R. 2450, the Senate held a hearing on further action on the proposal in the 102d

COURT ORGANIZATION

ess, the Subcommittee considered four proposals for reorganization or restructuring of the Federal Judiciary, H.R. 3686, and H.R. 3795.

by Congressman D. French Slaughter, on May 5, 1991. This legislation transfers jurisdiction over the counties of Virginia (Culpeper, Louisa, and Shenandoah) to the Eastern District of Virginia. This modification significantly reduces the financial and travel difficulties that have arisen in these counties. As a result, it will reduce the financial and travel difficulties that have arisen in these counties and the place of holding court in these counties. As a result, it will reduce the financial and travel difficulties that have arisen in these counties and the place of holding court in these counties.

by the Subcommittee on October 1, 1991. The Subcommittee ordered H.R. 829 favorably (See H.Rep. No. 102-370) The measure was passed on November 25, 1991, and was signed on December 10, 1991. (See Public Law

d by Chairman Brooks on October 30, 1991. The Subcommittee held a hearing on October 30, 1991, to create a new place of holding court for the Eastern District of Texas in Plano, Texas. During the hearing, testimony was received from Mr. James M. Brooks, Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, on behalf of the Judicial Conference of the United States. After the conclusion of the hearing, the Subcommittee held a legislative hearing on November 19, 1991, which was considered by the full Committee and passed by the House on November 25, 1991. On November 27, 1991 it was referred to the Senate. The Senate did not act on this

introduced by Mr. Coble, a member of the Subcommittee. This legislation would delete Clinton and Washington County and add Greenville as a place for holding court in the Eastern District of North Carolina. The Subcommittee held a legislative hearing on November 19, 1991, to modify Federal court organization. Testimony was received from the Honorable Malcolm J. Howard, U.S. District Court Judge, Eastern District of North Carolina, who appeared on behalf of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Immediately following this hearing, the Subcommittee considered a committee print and ordered it favorably reported to the full Committee.

On November 19, 1991 H.R. 3686 was considered by the full Committee and passed. The measure was passed by the House on November 25, 1991. (See H.Rep. No. 102-369) On November 27, 1991 H.R. 3686 was referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee. It passed the Senate on April 8, 1992 and was signed by the President on April 21, 1992 (See P.L. 102-272).

H.R. 3795 was introduced by Congressman George Brown on November 18, 1991. This legislation creates a new place of holding court in a new Eastern Division of the Central Judicial District of California.

On June 11, 1992, the Subcommittee held a hearing on this proposal. Testimony was received from the Honorable Manuel Real, Chief Judge of the Central Judicial District of California, who appeared on behalf of the Judicial Conference of the United States; the Honorable George E. Brown, Jr. of California; and Ms. Jane M. Carney, and Mr. Terry Bridges, representing both the Riverside County Bar Association and the San Bernardino County Bar Association.

Immediately after the conclusion of the hearing, the Subcommittee favorably reported the bill to the full Committee and on July 12, 1992 the Committee on the Judiciary reported the bill to the House. (See H. Rep. 102-772). It passed the House on August 3, 1992, the Senate on August 6, 1992 and was approved by the President on August 26, 1992. (See P.L. 102-357).

JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE COMPLAINTS

The Subcommittee, as in the past, has received complaints about judicial misconduct and disability.

During the First Session of the 102d Congress, the Subcommittee received 51 complaints against Federal judicial officers (lifetime tenured judges, bankruptcy judges and magistrates). In addition, the Subcommittee received one complaint against a State judge. During the Second Session of the 102d Congress, the Subcommittee received 69 complaints against Federal judicial officers (lifetime tenured judges, bankruptcy judges and magistrates), and four complaints against State judges.

REAUTHORIZATION OF THE STATE JUSTICE INSTITUTE, H.R. 4144

On February 20, 1992, the Subcommittee held a hearing on H.R. 4144, the State Justice Institute Act. Testifying at the hearing were the Honorable Malcolm M. Lucas, Chief Justice, Supreme Court of California & Chairman, State Justice Institute; the Honorable Harry L. Carrico, Chief Justice, Supreme Court of Virginia, on behalf of the Conference of Chief Justices; the Honorable Stewart S. Pollock, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of New Jersey, on behalf of the American Bar Association; the Honorable J. Frederick Motz, United States District Court Judge, on behalf of the U.S. Judicial Conference; and Mr. Ulysses B. Hammond, Executive Officer, Courts of the District of Columbia, on behalf of the Conference of State Court Administrators.