

Center for Judicial Accountability

From: Center for Judicial Accountability <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2015 8:57 AM
To: 'powerlinefeedback@gmail.com'
Cc: ptflaherty2002@yahoo.com; foxmulder6117@gmail.com
Subject: TAKING THE LEAD: Your Yesterday's Blog "Loretta Lynch: Soft on Political Corruption, Perfect Obama AG" (Powerline, 1/8/15, by Paul Mirengoff)

TAKING THE LEAD:
"Loretta Lynch: Soft on Political Corruption, Perfect Obama AG"
(Powerline, 1/8/15 blog by Paul Mirengoff)

Bravo on your yesterday's blog, "*Loretta Lynch: Soft on Political Corruption, Perfect Obama AG*", essentially reprising the November 17, 2014 blog of the National Legal and Policy Center (NLPC) "*Attorney General Nominee Loretta Lynch is Soft on Political Corruption*", which you generously credit.

Yours is a powerful prelude to taking the lead in reporting what is now before the President Obama: the January 5, 2015 letter of our non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA), requesting that he reconsider and withdraw his nomination of U.S. Attorney Lynch "based on documentary evidence of her corruption as U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York, both in her first and second terms." This "documentary evidence" includes CJA's fully-documented May 13, 2013 letter/corruption complaint to U.S. Attorney Lynch objecting to the plea deal she was reported to have offered NYS Senator John Sampson – without securing from him the wealth of information and evidence in his possession, as former Chair of the NYS Senate Judiciary Committee, about pervasive, systemic corruption in New York's judiciary, facilitated by New York's executive and legislative branches – and encompassing the collusion of all three branches in slush-fund judiciary and legislative budgets and fraudulent judicial salary increases.

This same May 13, 2013 letter/corruption complaint also establishes that that you and your "sources" are off base about U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York Preet Bharara. ANY scrutiny of U.S. Attorney Bharara's handling of citizen complaints of public corruption – of which the press has done NONE – would reveal his active complicity with U.S. Attorney Lynch in aiding and abetting high-level corruption in New York's three government branches – and the outright fraud of each of them by their public posturing of themselves as crusaders against public corruption, including at the September 17, 2013 public hearing of the so-called Commission to Investigate Public Corruption. This is also the subject of CJA's January 5th letter to President Obama. Indeed, their "protectionism" of corrupt public officers at the highest levels of NYS government, beginning with "Democratic governor Andrew Cuomo", underlies the letter's further request that the President make referrals to the Justice Department's Public Integrity Section of what these two U.S. Attorneys – and U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of New York Richard Hartunian – have been "sitting on".

Our game-changing January 5th letter to the President and all its DISPOSITIVE substantiating proof, including our May 13, 2013 letter/corruption complaint to U.S. Attorney Lynch – resting on and incorporating our April 15, 2013 corruption complaint to U.S. Attorney Bharara – the video of the September 17, 2013 public hearing of the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption, and the videos of Senator Sampson's own public hearings on June 8, 2009 and September 24, 2009 as Chair of the NYS Senate Judiciary Committee are posted on our website, www.judgewatch.org, accessible via the prominent homepage link "CJA's Citizen Opposition to Senate Confirmation of U.S. Attorney Loretta Lynch as U.S. Attorney General". Here's the direct link: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-federal/lynch/2014-opposition-lynch-ag.htm>.

I look forward to speaking with you about my direct, first-hand experience with U.S. Attorney Lynch – much as I was pleased to speak with NLPC's President Peter Flaherty about it back in November, upon reading the November 17th blog

that prompted yours. Unfortunately, I never heard back from Mr. Flaherty or anyone else at NLPC after that, notwithstanding my subsequent e-mails and at least one phone message.

I will withhold contacting other press for 24 hours – so that you may seize the opportunity of this lead.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability [<mailto:elena@judgewatch.org>]
Sent: Friday, November 28, 2014 2:34 PM
To: 'ptflaherty2002@yahoo.com'

Subject: DOCUMENTING Public Corruption -- & the Unworthy Nomination of US Attorney Loretta Lynch to be US Attorney General

Dear Peter,

Following up our phone conversation, this is the press release I had just sent to [Pro Publica](#). Please forward it on to all your media sources...

Had the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption exposed what US Attorney Lynch was sitting on, together with US Attorney Bharara and US Attorney Hartunian, she – and they – would have had to be prosecuted for corruption. This is what my intervention in the Legislature's declaratory judgment against the Commission documents.

Thank you.

Elena
914-421-1200

From: Center for Judicial Accountability [<mailto:elena@judgewatch.org>]
Sent: Friday, November 28, 2014 12:29 PM
To: 'suggestions@propublica.org'; 'info@propublica.org'
Cc: 'justin.elliott@propublica.org'; 'joaquin.sapien@propublica.org'; 'a.c.thompson@propublica.org'; 'jeff.gerth@propublica.org'; 'ginger.thompson@propublica.org'; 'lois.beckett@propublica.org'
Subject: Request for Pro Publica Coverage: Dec. 3rd Oral Argument in NYS Legislature's Declaratory Judgment Action vs Commission to Investigate Public Corruption -- 60 Centre St. , Manhattan, Room 222

Request for Pro Publica Coverage:

December 3rd Oral Argument in the NYS Legislature's Declaratory Judgment Action vs the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption

On Wednesday, December 3rd, at 3 p.m., Supreme Court Justice Alice Schlesinger will be holding oral argument in the declaratory judgment action brought by New York's Legislature against the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption, in her courtroom, Room 222, Supreme Court, 60 Centre Street, Manhattan. If she is true to the law and her oath of office, her decision will clean up New York's corrupt government, once and for all.

Has [Pro Publica](#) examined the record of the case?

I did – and concluded that the reason Governor Cuomo shut down the Commission was because – based on the record – he was going to lose – and especially once the Legislature struck out his funding appropriation for the Commission in the budget for fiscal year 2014-2015. Indeed, I concluded that the Legislature was entitled to summary judgment on the critical constitutional, separation of powers issues, but that a question existed as to whether the Senate and Assembly were actually parties and, further, that Temporary Senate Presidents Skelos and Klein and Assembly Speaker Silver had no standing to assert the separation of powers causes of action for the Senate and Assembly based on the true facts about the genesis of the Commission, concealed by their complaint. That is why, on April 23, 2014, I brought an order to show cause to intervene as a plaintiff, on behalf of the People of the State of New York & the public interest – including for purposes of challenging that the declaratory action was mooted by the Governor’s shuttering of the Commission.

Initially, Justice Schlesinger dismissed the declaratory judgment action as moot, denying intervention for that reason. However, on June 17, 2014, I made a motion for reargument/renewal and vacatur for fraud. It is this dynamite motion that Justice Schlesinger had the courage to schedule for oral argument. Attached is her letter.

The record of the case is accessible from the Center for Judicial Accountability’s website, www.judgewatch.org, by the prominent hyperlink on our homepage entitled: “THE PEOPLE FIGHT BACK: December 3rd Oral Argument – for a Judicial Declaration that the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption was Unconstitutional — & Itself Corrupt”.

Over and beyond reporting on the December 3rd oral argument, the state of the record in this far-reaching case warrants an investigative expose by Pro Publica. This includes as to the absence of ANY Senate and Assembly resolutions authorizing the declaratory judgment action and the absence of any Senate and Assembly contracts with ANY of the law firms for this or other litigations, and no approvals by the Comptroller – the consequence of which is that the plaintiffs are not properly plaintiffs and that the untold hundreds of thousands of taxpayer dollars paid to the law firms are illegal, if not unconstitutional, expenditures. These are the explosive threshold issues, detailed and documented by my September 26, 2014 reply affidavit (¶¶2-3, 7-33) and my September 26, 2014 reply memorandum of law (pp. 1-2, 4-8), both in further support of my June 17, 2014 reargument/vacatur for fraud motion, directly accessible here: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/commission-to-investigate-public-corruption/holding-to-account/6-17-14-reargument.htm>. Surely, Pro Publica can easily turn to constitutional scholars and legal experts to assist with the record analysis.

Please forward this e-mail to top editors and reporters at Pro Publica. This is a MAJOR STORY, whose ramifications reach to U.S. Attorneys Preet Bharara and Loretta Lynch – and, as to the latter, establish her unfitness for the office of U.S. Attorney General, to which I have already alerted the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee. The Commission invited both these U.S. Attorneys to be its honored opening witnesses at its September 17, 2013 public hearing in Manhattan – and then and thereafter covered up the documentary and testimonial evidence before it of their collusion in systemic corruption of NYS government, involving the highest public officers of all three government branches. This is particularized by my April 23, 2014 affidavit in support of my order to show cause to intervene (at ¶¶62, 65, 73-75) and by my April 23, 2014 proposed verified complaint (at ¶¶24-25, 29, 59, 66, 71, 93, 99, 123, 124). Here’s the direct link to the webpage for those documents – on which, additionally, is a link to the the September 17, 2013 public hearing: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/commission-to-investigate-public-corruption/holding-to-account/4-23-14-osc-with-notice-to-produce.htm>.

I am available to be interviewed and to answer your questions, anytime – and I am reachable by e-mail (elena@judgewatch.org), phone (914-421-1200); cell & text (646-220-7987).

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
914-421-1200
www.judgewatch.org