

## Center for Judicial Accountability

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability <elena@judgewatch.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 27, 2015 1:27 PM  
**To:** 'trinity\_hall@coons.senate.gov'  
**Subject:** DISPOSITIVE Citizen Opposition to Senate Confirmation of US Attorney Loretta Lynch as Attorney General -- & Request to Testify

TO: Trinity Hall/Administrative Director to Senator Christopher Coons

Dear Ms. Hall,

Following up my phone call this morning, please immediately forward this e-mail to Senator Coons and counsel assisting him in discharging his duty to scrutinize the fitness of US Attorney Lynch to be confirmed as Attorney General.

Since November 10, 2014 – the first business day after President Obama announced his nomination of US Attorney Lynch as Attorney General – I have repeatedly requested to testify in opposition at the Senate Judiciary Committee's confirmation hearing.

The two-day hearing begins tomorrow – and I have received NO response to my requests to testify. This, notwithstanding my December 17, 2014 letter to the Committee, reiterating those requests, is the ONLY opposition letter requesting to testify that the Committee has posted on its webpage for the confirmation:  
<http://www.judiciary.senate.gov/nominations/executive/pn2136-113>

Not posted by the Committee is my January 6, 2015 letter to it, highlighting that I had received no response to the December 17, 2014 letter, enclosing my January 5, 2015 letter to President Obama, and expressly requesting that the Committee address my assertion therein:

“the Senate Judiciary Committee’s own vetting is a fiction and its confirmation hearings essentially rigged to ensure confirmation, which it does by excluding opposition testimony from members of the public have dispositive evidence of nominee unfitness, such as corruption and ethics breaches.

At bar, NO Senator can vote for U.S. Attorney Lynch’s confirmation based on the evidence here presented.” (capitalization in the original).

All these letters – and the mountain of EVIDENCE substantiating them – are posted on the website of our non-partisan, non-profit citizens’ organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA), [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org), accessible *via* the prominent link homepage link: “CJA’s Citizen Opposition to Senate Confirmation of U.S. Attorney Loretta Lynch as U.S. Attorney General”. Here’s the direct link: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-federal/lynch/2014-opposition-lynch-ag.htm>.

From these letters, Senator Coons and his counsel can speedily determine that the Senate Judiciary Committee undertook NO APPROPRIATE VETTING of Ms. Lynch’s nomination. Any APPROPRIATE VETTING required that Committee counsel and investigators interview me – which they never did – and that they make findings of fact and conclusions of law with respect to the EVIDENCE I had furnished – which they plainly did not do, nor furnish same to Committee members. Had such been done, the Committee’s 20 members would have unanimously recognized that NO HEARING WAS NECESSITY, as the nomination had to be summarily rejected, absent its withdrawal by the President or withdrawal by Ms. Lynch.

I respectfully request that Senator Coons take corrective steps. If, based upon the EVIDENCE-SUPPORTED December 17, 2014 and January 6, 2015 letters, tomorrow's confirmation hearing is not cancelled so that each of the Committee's 20 members has sufficient opportunity to personally review them, I request to be "invited" to testify in opposition, as I have repeatedly requested.

In any event, I respectfully request to know what criteria – if any – the Committee uses in determining who it will "invite" to testify in opposition – and who the Committee has "invited" as opposition witnesses. Inasmuch as my December 17, 2014 letter is the ONLY opposition letter requesting to testify that the Committee has posted, it would appear that any such opposition witnesses did not make written request. Is that correct? And, if so, were they solicited by the Committee?

It goes without saying that if the confirmation hearing proceeds tomorrow, Ms. Lynch must be interrogated about the December 17, 2014 and January 6, 2015 letters, which I sent her, expressly inviting her response. She has not responded – and the reason, obvious from the letters and the DISPOSITIVE EVIDENCE substantiating them, is that she cannot do so without admitting to her corruption and unfitness.

I am available to answer questions.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
Tel: 914-421-1200  
Cell: 646-220-7987  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)