Supreme Court, Appellate Division
First Department RECEIVED

27 Madison Avenue 2015 AUG 24 P 4: 26

New York, N. Y. 10010 PLINARY
212-340-0400

Margaret O. Sowah, Esq. Deputy Clerk of the Court

June 29, 2015

Mr. Benjamin Cunningham 2429 Southern Blvd, #1 Bronx, NY 10458

> Re: Daniel Eigerman, Esq. Dkt. No. 2012.2312 Geoffrey Handler, Esq. Dkt. No. 2015.0137

Dear Mr. Cunningham:

I write in response to your letters dated March 11, 2015, March 25, 2015 and April 1, 2015 regarding the Departmental Disciplinary Committee's dismissal of the complaints you filed against the above attorneys.

As you know, on February 10, 2015, the Committee, upon reconsideration, dismissed your complaint against Mr. Eigerman. In so doing, the Committee noted that it had no jurisdiction to provide relief in connection with fee disputes or in connection with claims that an attorney employed incorrect legal strategies. On April 1, 2015, the Committee dismissed your complaint against Mr. Handler. It appears from your letter dated April 7, 2015, that you chose not to seek reconsideration of the complaint against Mr. Handler since you requested an immediate review of the DDC's determination.

I have obtained and reviewed the Departmental Disciplinary Committee's files in both cases and there is nothing in either file to indicate that the Committee improperly handled your complaints or that it erred in dismissing the complaints. Rather, the complaints received careful consideration. Indeed, after the initial dismissal of your complaint against Mr. Eigerman, the complaint received another level of review during reconsideration. Further, the Committee followed appropriate procedures in reaching its determination.

While you may be disappointed in the outcome, your complaints have been thoroughly investigated. Now that the process has been concluded, no authority exists for the Committee or the Court to take further action, and the Court considers the matters closed.

Very Truly Yours,

Margaret Saval

Margaret Sowah