

CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

P.O. Box 69, Gedney Station
White Plains, New York 10605-0069

Tel. (914) 421-1200
Fax (914) 428-4994

E-Mail: judgewatch@aol.com
Web site: www.judgewatch.org

Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator

BY PRIORITY MAIL

CERTIFIED/RETURN RECEIPT: 7099-3400-0001-2734-2069

March 6, 2001

American Judicature Society
180 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 600
Chicago, Illinois 60601

ATT: Kerry Hill, Staff Attorney/Center for Judicial Independence
Cynthia Gray, Director/Center for Judicial Conduct Organizations

RE: *Amicus* and other assistance in the appeal of the public interest Article 78 proceeding, *Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator of the Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc., acting pro bono publico, against Commission on Judicial Conduct of the State of New York* (NY Co. #108551/99; Appellate Division, First Dept. Cal #2000-5434)

Dear Ms. Hill and Ms. Gray:

This is to request *amicus* and other assistance from the American Judicature Society in the above-entitled public interest appeal against the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, sued for corruption.

As you will see from the enclosed Appellant's Brief and Appendix, this appeal not only underscores the *sine qua non* of judicial independence: a fair and impartial tribunal, free of such external considerations as a complete lack of judicial tenure¹, but does so in the context of a case whose object is to vindicate the public's right to an effective state judicial disciplinary mechanism.

Examination of the Brief will convince you that the Commission has NO legitimate defense to this appeal – and that the *only* way it can survive the evidence of its corruption, established by the record of the Article 78 proceeding, is if New York's Appellate Division, First Department replicates the lower court's subversion of judicial independence by fashioning a factually fabricated, legally insupportable decision.

¹ See Brief, pp. 27, 46-48.

American Judicature Society's involvement will ensure that this politically-explosive appeal, whose criminal ramifications reach to New York's Governor, is decided as it should be: *on the facts and the law*. This is what judicial independence is all about. The Society's ability to build a coalition of organizational support and to garner media coverage for the important issues of governmental integrity this appeal presents will make it more difficult for the Appellate Division to "throw" the appeal by a fraudulent judicial decision – as it did last November in deciding another appeal involving the Commission, *Michael Mantell v. New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct* (NY Co. #108655/99, Appellate Division, First Dept. Cal. #2000-3833)².

A copy of the Appellate Division's decision in *Mantell v. Commission*, as reported by the November 20, 2000 New York Law Journal, is enclosed, annexed to CJA's December 1, 2000 memorandum to the Commission and its attorney, the State Attorney General, calling upon them to move to vacate that decision for fraud.

The status of the instant appeal is that the Attorney General requested additional time to respond to my Appellant's Brief. The January 11th stipulation I signed, giving the Attorney General until March 23rd and myself until April 27th, puts the appeal over to the June term. A copy of the stipulation is enclosed. Also enclosed is my January 10th letter to Attorney General Spitzer, calling upon him to disavow his representation of the Commission and to join in support of the appeal and in a motion to ensure that it is heard by a fair and impartial tribunal. Additionally, enclosed is my January 11th letter transmitting my faxed signature on the stipulation.

Should you wish to see the lower court record in the appeal -- encompassing the lower court record in *Mantell v. Commission* -- or the appellate papers in *Mantell v. Commission*, including my dispositive motion to intervene therein -- I would be pleased to transmit them forthwith.

I look forward to discussing with you the powerful contribution American Judicature Society can make in advancing both judicial independence and accountability by this historic public interest case.

Yours for a quality judiciary,

ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Coordinator
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

Enclosures: As indicated, plus CJA's informational brochure

² The lower court decision in *Mantell v. Commission* appears at 299-307 of the Appendix, with CJA's analysis thereof appearing at 321-334.

7099 3400 0001 2734 2069

U.S. Postal Service CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT

(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

Article Sent To:

American Medicare Society
CHICAGO, IL 60601

Postage	\$ 5.15
Certified Fee	
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	1.90
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	1.50
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 8.55

UNIT ID: 0136
Postmark Here
Clerk: KCKMOS
03/06/01

Name (Please Print Clearly) to be completed by mailer

Kerry Hill Cynthia Gray
Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.
180 N. Michigan Ave. Suite 600
City, State, ZIP+4
Chicago, Illinois 60601

PS Form 3800, July 1999

See Reverse for Instructions

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

American Medicare Society
180 N. Michigan Ave.
Suite 600
Chicago, Illinois

2. Article Number (Copy from service label)

7099 3400 0001 2734 2069

PS Form 3811, July 1999

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Received by (Please Print Clearly) B. Date of Delivery
3-9-01

C. Signature Agent
X David Carter Addressee

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

Domestic Return Receipt

102595-00-M-09