

Subject: Re: "Defenders of the Public Interest"

Date: 9/15/2005, 11:58 AM

From: "jon@markskatz.com" <jon@markskatz.com>

To: Elena Ruth Sassower <judgewatchers@aol.com>

Hi, Elena- This is likely the last time I'm checking e-mail for a few days. Your suggestions sounds fine.

Yes, I think I remember meeting you in the court reporters' office. My law partner joined the DC Bar in 2004, so likely would not have been at the reporter's office in 2003.

By the way, you might be interested in the amicus side the Guild took (opposite to the stark First Amendment protection view I took) in the RAV case, when my membership had lapsed. See here:
<http://www.markskatz.com/CriminalDefenseLawyer.htm> .

I look forward to speaking with you next week. Thanks. Jon
.-----Original message-----

From: "Elena Ruth Sassower" judgewatchers@aol.com
Date: Thu, 15 Sep 2005 09:29:40 -0400
To: "Jon Katz" jon@markskatz.com
Subject: "Defenders of the Public Interest"

> Dear Jon,
>
> Until now, the "disruption of Congress" page of our website,
> www.judgewatch.org, had listed the National Lawyers Guild as "MISSING IN
> ACTION".
>
> May I now place the Guild in the category "DEFENDERS OF THE PUBLIC
> INTEREST" -- and include your name as author of an intended amicus brief?
>
> Please advise.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Elena
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> Subject: Thank You!
> Date: 9/15/2005, 8:46 AM
> From: Elena Ruth Sassower <judgewatchers@aol.com>
> To: Jon Katz <jon@markskatz.com>
> Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.
>
> Dear Jon,
>
> It is I who am honored to have the benefit of your advocacy in this
> important appeal in which First Amendment and fundamental citizen rights
> are so critically at stake. Thank you -- and thank all at the National
> Lawyer Guild who have recognized the significance of this case.
>
> I believe I had the privilege of meeting you -- or was it your partner?
> -- on December 3, 2003 in the transcription office. I recall a very
> enjoyable conversation.
>