CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

Post Office Box 8220 White Plains, New York 10602

Tel. (914) 421-1200 Fax (914) 428-4994

E-Mail: judgewatch@aol.com Web site: www.judgewatch.org

Elena Ruth Sassower, Director Direct E-Mail: judgewatchers@aol.com

BY FAX: 212-456-2381 (4 pages)

BY E-MAIL: eyewitness.news@abc.com

DATE:

October 18, 2006

TO:

WABC-News - Channel 7 New York

Bill Ritter, News Anchor bill.ritter@abc.com

Dave Evans, Political Correspondent

dave.evans@abc.com

Seung Suh, Political Editor (?) seung.suh@abc.com

FROM:

Elena Ruth Sassower, Director

Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

RE:

DEBATE BETWEEN SENATOR HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON

& JOHN SPENCER: SUNDAY, OCTOBER 22nd at 9 a.m.

This follows up two or three voice messages that I left on the voice mail of Seung Suh in late August and/or early September – never returned – and a further voice mail message that I left at 10:25 a.m. yesterday, also not returned. These voice mail messages were all left for Ms. Suh because WABC-News staff told me that she was the editor responsible for political coverage – although this evening when I telephoned, the answering staff believed she was just a writer.

This also follows upon the voice mail message I left at 10:30 a.m. yesterday for Dave Evans, a questioner at the October 15th debate between attorney general candidates Andrew Cuomo and Jeanine Pirro – whose background covering the 2000 Senate election in which Hillary Rodham Clinton won her seat would seem to qualify him as a questioner at the October 22nd debate between Senator Clinton and John Spencer.

Finally, this follows the exasperated voice mail message I left at 3:30 p.m. yesterday with "7 ON YOUR SIDE" – to which I was ironically connected when, after the lapse of five critical hours, I called the newsroom, inquiring whether Ms. Suh ever returns her calls.

As I stated in my yesterday's voice messages for Ms. Suh and Mr. Evans – and I believe in my message to "7 ON YOUR SIDE" – our non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA), has primary source documents establishing the corruption in office of Senator Clinton and the unfitness of Mr. Spencer, which are posted on our website, www.judgewatch.org, accessible via the sidebar panel "Elections 2006: Informing the Voters". I may have identified CJA's February 3, 2006 letter to Mr. Spencer as setting forth the documentary evidence, posted on CJA's website, establishing Senator Clinton's corruption in office relating to judicial selection and discipline.

In any event, I expressly and urgently requested the names of the panelists who will be questioning Senator Clinton and Mr. Spencer at the October 22nd debate so that I might contact them directly about these primary source documents.

I received no response yesterday - and none today. It is now just after 9:00 p.m.

As the panelists will need sufficient time to review the February 3, 2006 letter and the substantiating documentary evidence to which it refers – and will, presumably, want to explore with me the questions based thereon that would be most productive – please advise without further delay as to their names, telephone numbers, and e-mails.

Finally, I am sure you would agree – upon examining CJA's "Elections 2006" webpage – that WABC-News is glaringly missing from the list of recipients of CJA's August 25th memo to New York media. The reason is because, at that time, WABC-News staff told me that I had to speak with Ms. Suh and, as I recollect, would not provide me with her e-mail or fax number. This evening, upon encountering, for the first time, a WABC-News staff member who was professional and conscientious, I obtained contact information I never had – and am enclosing that August 25th memo – no less politically-explosive today than it was then.

On Friday, October 20th, I will modify the "Elections 2006" webpage to add WABC-News as a recipient of the memo. By then I hope you will have provided me with the information I seek as to the identities of the questioners – and of the moderator. In the event the moderator is Bill Ritter, as he was for the October 15th debate, I have included him in this memo.

Elena Rul 2

Thank you.

Enclosure

CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

Post Office Box 8220 White Plains, New York 10602

Tel. (914) 421-1200 Fax (914) 428-4994 E-Mail: Website:

judgewatch@aol.com www.judgewatch.org

DATE:

August 25, 2006

TO:

NEW YORK MEDIA: EDITORIAL BOARDS & NEWS DEPARTMENTS

FROM:

Elena Ruth Sassower, Director

Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

RE:

YOUR UPCOMING EDITORIAL ENDORSEMENTS AND ONGOING

ELECTION COVERAGE: The Races for New York Governor, U.S. Senator from

New York, and New York Attorney General

This is to bring to your attention – to aid you in both your upcoming editorial endorsements and ongoing election reporting – primary source documentary evidence establishing the unfitness of the Democratic and Republican candidates for Governor, Senator, and Attorney General. Such evidence is posted on the Center for Judicial Accountability's website, www.judgewatch.org, accessible via the sidebar panel "Elections 2006: Informing the Voters".

Scroll down the "Elections 2006" webpage to the section entitled "Searching for Champions", posting our correspondence to all Democratic and Republican candidates for Governor: Tom Suozzi and John Faso, for U.S. Senate: Jonathan Tasini, John Spencer, and Kathleen Troia McFarland, and for Attorney General: Andrew Cuomo, Mark Green, Charlie King, Sean Patrick Murphy, and Jeanine Pirro – except for Attorney General Eliot Spitzer and Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton, whose corruption in office the correspondence summarizes.

With respect to Attorney General Spitzer, elected in 1998 on a pledge that he was going to clean up government and establish a "public integrity unit", our correspondence summarizes that his "public integrity unit" was a hoax — and that Mr. Spitzer refused to investigate and root out systemic governmental corruption involving a pattern and practice of litigation fraud engaged in by his predecessor Attorneys General in defending state judges and the Commission on Judicial Conduct, sued for corruption — for which they were rewarded with fraudulent judicial decisions. Instead, he engaged in the same litigation fraud to defend the Commission when we sued it for corruption — for which state judges, at every level, rewarded him with fraudulent judicial decisions. In so doing, Attorney General Spitzer not only perpetuated a documentably corrupted Commission on Judicial Conduct, leaving the People of the State of New York defenseless against the most flagrant lawlessness by state judges — including those who "threw" the lawsuit — but perpetuated the corruption of the state judicial appointments process, including "merit selection" to the New York Court of Appeals, which the lawsuit encompassed.

The Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) is a national, non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, based in New York, working, since 1989, to ensure that the processes of judicial selection and discipline are effective and meaningful.

With respect to Senator Clinton, she not only covered up — and thereby perpetuated — the systemic governmental corruption challenged and chronicled by the documentary record of our lawsuit against the Commission, but, additionally, the corruption of federal judicial selection and discipline. To accomplish this and effectuate a behind-the-scenes political deal seating a corrupt New York Court of Appeals judge on the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, she maliciously set in motion and complicitly acquiesced in my wrongful arrest, prosecution, conviction, and six-month incarceration on a bogus "disruption of Congress" charge. My "crime"? At the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee's public hearing to confirm the judge, I respectfully requested to testify in opposition based on his on-the-bench corruption, as established by the record of our lawsuit against the Commission — a record Senator Clinton was duty-bound to have examined, making findings of fact and conclusions of law.

All the summaries presented by our posted correspondence identify the substantiating primary source documentary evidence – and where it is posted on our website. You can thereby *readily verify* its serious and substantial nature, warranting criminal investigation and prosecution of Attorney General Spitzer and Senator Clinton for corruption.

In presenting this to the other Democratic and Republican candidates, as would-be champions of the public, we requested that they use the opportunity of their candidacy to expose the corruption of these incumbents for the benefit of all New Yorkers. That they did not do so – indeed, that they did not even favor our request for a meeting so that we could answer their questions and provide them with hard copies of the website-posted evidence – preferring instead to mount candidacies made futile by the landslide leads enjoyed by Attorney General Spitzer and Senator Clinton and, in the case of the candidates endeavoring to succeed Mr. Spitzer as Attorney General, extolling him and seeking the mantle of his "greatness" – can only be explained one way. Notwithstanding their posturing and rhetoric about being reformers who are going to "fix Albany" and make government work, they will NOT touch the vested political interests and their friends and patrons involved in the systemic governmental corruption that reaches into and pollutes the judiciary. Such will remain unchanged upon their election – subjecting countless innocent New Yorkers and our state at large to continuing injustice and irreparable injury.

Only the media can make the difference.

We offer you our fullest assistance so that you can discharge your First Amendment responsibilities to the voters by reporting on this powerful election-altering evidence—rather than on polls, financial war chests, political endorsements, and handicapping that have become the standard fare of political reporting, contributing to the demise of competitive elections.

Elena Russowe