

## Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

---

**From:** Adam Stone <examinernews@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 21, 2017 1:44 PM  
**To:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
**Subject:** Re: Informing & Empowering your Readers: This Year's Electoral Race for Westchester County Executive -- & Beyond!

Thank you Elena! I just sent your email to the editors. That is the extent of my involvement as the person who runs the business side of the company! Appreciate you being in touch and for all the kind words.

Best regards,  
Adam

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 21, 2017, at 11:37 AM, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)> wrote:

### **TO: Examiner Media Publisher Adam Stone**

I again congratulate you on the first ten years of publication -- and thank you for your prompt return call yesterday.

As discussed, the amply resourced and staffed Gannett/Lo-Hud/Journal News has done the most minimal, superficial reporting on the race for Westchester county executive -- and it was not until the below September 18<sup>th</sup> e-mail -- my third -- that I received a call-back from anyone there regarding my August 10, 2017 OPEN LETTER about Senator Latimer's record in office, as a senator, disqualifying him from any office of public trust -- and questioning the multi-party endorsements he received for his candidacy as county executive. The call I received late in the afternoon on Monday, September 18<sup>th</sup> was from Lo-Hud/Journal News' "Watchdog Strategist" Frank Scandale: <http://www.lohud.com/staff/41511/frank-scandale/> -- and we had a productive conversation at that time. I am waiting to hear back from him.

Meantime, modest as The Examiner is, it has the opportunity to take the lead on reporting on the OPEN LETTER -- and getting responses from its indicated recipients -- beginning with Senator Latimer. What there does he deny or dispute? How about the other recipients of the OPEN LETTER? Haven't they examined the evidence supporting it -- all conveniently posted and/or accessible from CJA's webpage for the OPEN LETTER: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/elections/2017/8-10-17-open-ltr.htm>: (1) the VIDEOS of my testimony before the Legislature at its February 6, 2013, January 30, 2017, and January 31, 2017 budget hearings; (2) my posted illustrative correspondence with Senator Latimer; (3) the pleadings -- and record -- of the citizen-taxpayer actions. Do they think it is all of no consequence?

I hope you will agree that posing such questions to Senator Latimer and to the LETTER's other recipients -- County Executive Astorino, among them -- will not unduly impose upon the limited time and resources of your small reporting staff -- and that you can empower your readers to make their own assessment of it all by furnishing them with the link to CJA's webpage for the OPEN LETTER, both in your print and internet editions.