

GEORGE SASSOWER

Attorney-at-Law

10 Stewart Place

White Plains, NY 10603-3856

(914) 681-7196

**Crime & Corruption In The White Plains Federal & State Courthouses,
Chief Judge of the State of the State of New York *Jonathan Lippman***

&

The Journal News

1. In White Plains, for the past twenty-four (24) years, in all money damage tort actions revolving around "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Enterprise***" [***The Enterprise***"], commencing with *Vilella v. Santagata* (87 Civ. 1450 [SDNY-GLG]), high-echelon Federal & NY State jurists, have been defrauding the *United States & State of New York* by being defended in their "*personal capacities*" by Federal & NY State attorneys, at *unconstitutional* Federal & NY State cost & expense.

The criminal & civil consequences included the obligation of those disbursing & those receiving such *unconstitutional* Federal & NY State services & monies to *reimburse* the *United States* and/or *The State of New York*, has *never* been denied or controverted by *anyone*, at *any* time or place.

Thus far, absent public exposure, no judge has been willing to order another jurist to *reimburse* the victims, who include the United States and State of New York, albeit mandatory!

Consequently, *not* one cent has been paid by these judges, or received by the United States and/or State of New York! *Nothing!!!*

2. *Vilella v. Santagata (supra)* has had added significance, since in the following twenty-four (24) years, a money damage tort action revolving around *The Enterprise* has been filed in the *every* U.S. District Court in *every* federal judicial circuit in the United States, except for the Tenth Circuit, and in each instance the same "*fraudulent*", "*legally impossible*" judicial scenario has been followed.

3. In 2004, in the State & Federal Courts in White Plains, *Jonathan Lippman*, then Chief Administrative Judge for the NY State Office of Court Administration, and now Chief Judge of the State of New York was sued in the State & Federal Courts in his "*personal capacity*" for activities which were *adverse* to his official obligations, which included not compelling the filing of the mandatory *NY Judiciary Law §35-a* Statements for *Puccini Clothes, Ltd. & The Estate of Eugene Paul Kelly, Deceased*.

The filing of *NY Judiciary Law §35-a* Statements for *Puccini Clothes, Ltd. & The Estate of Eugene Paul Kelly, Deceased* would reveal that *all* its judicial trust assets were dissipated as "*bribes*" to judges & judicial officials, and would compel *restitution* in favor of the victims.

Jonathan Lippman, having been assured that the courts in White Plains, State & Federal, were "*corrupt*", was defended in those courts by members of the NY State Attorney General, at *unconstitutional* NY State cost & expense.

4. *Jonathan Lippman*, the Chief Judge of the State of New York, convinced that no judge or official would compel him to *reimburse The State of New York*, has refused to satisfy this and his other obligations (see, January 18, 2011 Demand).

Since *Jonathan Lippman*, the Chief Judge of the State of New York has refused to satisfy his financial or other obligations, so have the others who have defrauded the United States or State of New York, during the past twenty-four (24) years.

5. ***The Journal News & Gannett-Westchester*** in an attempt at concealment, has not publish a single word about such *fraud* upon the Federal & NY State purses by its jurists, even though such fraud has been confirmed by the *Federal Bureau of Investigation*!

A *boycott* against those who advertise in ***The Journal News*** would be as an appropriate remedy!

GEORGE SASSOWER
Attorney-at-Law
10 Stewart Place
White Plains, NY 10603-3856
(914) 681-7196

January 18, 2011

Jonathan Lippman
Chief Judge, Court of Appeals
30 Eagle Street,
Albany, New York, 12207

For Public Distribution

Sir,

1. In the State & Federal Courts in White Plains, Westchester County, you were being sued & defended in your "*personal capacities*" by Assistant NY State Attorney Generals *Katherine E. Timon* & *Rachel Zaffrann* at *unconstitutional* NY State cost & expense, although prohibited by Article XIII §7 of the *New York State Constitution*.

In the Federal Court, such representation *also* violated Amendment XI of the *Constitution of the Constitution of the United States* (*Hans v. Louisiana*, 134 U.S. 1 [1890]).

Your *personal* obligation is to *reimburse* the *State of New York & County of Westchester* for such *unconstitutional* expenditures has never been questioned and is here publicly demanded!

2. This fraud on the NY State treasury was compounded by the fact that at *all* times, without *any* exception, Assistant NY State Attorney Generals *Katherine E. Timon* & *Rachel Zaffrann* comported themselves to serve & advance the interests of *Citibank, N.A.* and its entourage, which invariably were adverse to the interests to the *State of New York* and County of Westchester (*see, Citibank v. Geo. Sassower*, Supreme, Westchester Docket #4818-04).

3. The *undenied* Notice to Admit, dated & served on you & your attorney, on July 7, 2004, reads in full, as follows (*Geo. Sassower v. Westchester County Dept., Sup., West., Docket #0780-04*):

"Part "A":

1. The defendant, *Jonathan Lippman*, Esq. is aware that he is being sued in this action, in his personal capacity, for money damages, for conduct adverse to the legitimate interests of New York State and his official office.

2. The defendant, *Jonathan Lippman* Esq., is aware that in this personal capacity action, he is being defended by Assistant NY State Attorney General Rachel Zaffrann, at unauthorized NY State cost and expense.

3. The defendant, *Jonathan Lippman* Esq., is aware that the NY State Attorney General and his office was and is intending to "cook" their official books and records in order to conceal from NY State fiscal authorities, including the NY State legislature, that unlawful expenditures have been and are being made.

Part "B":

1. There is no "final accounting" for the judicial trust assets of *Puccini Clothes, Ltd.*, an involuntarily dissolved New York corporation (*cf. 22 NYCRR §202.52[e]*).

2. There is no judgment or final order terminating the Puccini judicial trust proceedings.

3. There is no order discharging *Lee Feltman*, Esq., the court-appointed receiver for Puccini.

4. There is no order discharging *Fidelity & Deposit Company of Maryland*, Feltman's surety.

Part "C":

1. There are none of the mandatory *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Acting NY Supreme Court, now NY Associate Appellate Justice, *David B. Saxe* of the NY Appellate Division, First Department, or by Special Referee *Donald Diamond* or by anyone else for the Puccini judicial trust.

2. Mr. Justice Saxe and Special Referee Diamond dissipated Puccini's judicial trust assets, and other assets, as "*bribes*" for judges, officials and others.

3. The compelled filing of *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Judge Saxe and Referee Diamond would compel restitution to Puccini for these diverted assets.

4. Neither Chief Administrator *Jonathan Lippman*, nor any of his predecessors in office, have made any effort to compel the filings of *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Judge Saxe or Referee Diamond.

Part "D":

1. There are none of the mandatory *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Acting Surrogate, now Supreme Court Justice, *Burton Joseph* for awards made from the *Estate of Eugene Paul Kelly, deceased* whose almost exclusive beneficiaries were three (3) motherless infants.

2. The State of New York is the *parens patriae* for these three (3) motherless infants."

4A. The *undenied & uncontroverted* allegation of my complaint in *Geo. Sassower v. Starr* (338 B.R. 212 [SDNY - 2005])), reads as follows:

" 1. Plaintiff is a born American citizen, a battle-starred veteran of World War II, and is entitled to all rights, privileges and immunities provided in Constitution and Laws of the United States. ...

3A. Insofar as the defendants may be judges, officials and/or employees of state government, they are here being sued in their "personal", *not* "official", capacities.

B. There is nothing in this complaint which seeks to obtain any money damages, compensatory or punitive, against the state governments, or seeks to impose the cost of any defense representation on any state governments,

4. Insofar as the defendants may be New York State judges, officials and/or employees, in view of Amendment XI of the *Constitution of the United States*, as interpreted in *Hans v. Louisiana* (*Hans v. Louisiana*, 134 U.S. 1 [1890]), there can be no question that they are being sued in their "personal" capacities and must be defended by non-NY State attorneys, at non-NY State cost and expense."

B. Notwithstanding the aforementioned uncontroverted allegations, openly flaunted in "hard published print", the title page reads:

"Attorneys for State Defendants, *Eliot Spitzer*, *Francis T. Murphy*, and *Jonathan Lippman*: [by their attorney] *Katherine E. Timon*, Esq., Assistant Attorney General of the State of New York".

C. *Only* the most arrogant would dragoon NY State defense representation under the aforementioned allegations, and only the most incredibly stupid jurist would publish such fraud in "hard published print:

5. I expect, by return mail, an unequivocal commitment by you to expeditiously *reimburse* the *State of New York & County of Westchester*.

Yours,

GEORGE SASSOWER