GEORGE SASSOWER
16 LAKE STREET
WHITE PLAINS, N.Y. 10603
914-949-2169

THE CORRUPT AND CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES OF
KENNETH W. STARR,
AS SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES.

1. The readily available documents confirm the corrupt and criminal activities of KENNETH W. STARR, as Solicitor General of the United States, as well as MICHAEL CHERTOFF, former U.S. Attorney for the District of New Jersey, presently Chief Counsel for the Committee inquiring into the Whitewater matter, which activities, I submit, were far more egregious than is the subject of their investigations/inquiries.

2. Messrs. Starr and Chertoff actively and affirmatively involved themselves in the larceny of judicial trust assets, the diversion of monies payable, *in haec verba*, "to the [`federal'] court" to private pockets, extortion, defrauding the federal government, and other criminal activities.

There is no "final accounting" for the judicial trust assets of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., which was involuntarily dissolved more than 15 years ago, the legal notice published in the New York Times (Exhibit "A") notwithstanding, as a Freedom of Information Law request, made upon its statutory fiduciary, with confirm. All Puccini's assets were made the subject of larceny, leaving nothing for any of its nationwide legitimate creditors.

All monies payable "to the federal court" (Exhibit "B") were diverted to the pockets of those who engineered the larceny of Puccini's judicial trust assets, and the federal court/government received nothing.

More than $2,500,000 and other valuable considerations were extorted from Puccini's most major stockholder, creditor, and victim, in favor of those who engineered the aforementioned larceny and their co-conspirators, in order to avoid a period of incarceration, under a criminal conviction, which monies are also the property of the sovereigns, federal and state (*Gompers v. Buck's Stove*, 221 U.S. 418, 447 [1911]; *Goodman v. State*, 31 N.Y.2d 381, 340 N.Y.S.2d 393, 292 N.E.2d 665 [1972]), and the federal and state governments received nothing.

3. Obviously, under the aforementioned circumstances, none of the approximately 100 authorized officials, including U.S. Attorney Chertoff (28 *CFR* §15.3) would 28 *U.S.C.* §2679[d] "scope" certify those federal judges and officials involved in such racketeering activities, all of which are contrary to legitimate federal interests.

The statute, as well as all cases on the subject (*Kelley v. United States*, 568 F.2d 259, 264-265 n. 4 [2nd Cir.-1978] cert. denied 439 U.S. 830 [1978]; *Sullivan v. Freeman*, 944 F.2d 334 [7th Cir.-1991]; *Tassin v. Neneman*, 766 F. Supp. 974 [Kan.-1991]), and the uniform practice, is crystal clear -- "no scope, means no federal representation, at federal cost and expense".

These rogue jurists and officials, having the inside tract with Starr/Chertoff, were represented by them, in their own names without the automatic United States substitution (see, *Gutierrez v. Lamagno*, U.S. , 115 S.Ct. 2227 [1995]), all at federal cost and expense, while President Clinton and the First Lady must defend himself in the Whitewater matter at non-governmental cost and expense.

4. Instructively, as part of such unauthorized representation, at federal cost and expense, Starr/Chertoff consistently did not support my proceedings to have recovered in favor of the federal court/government those monies, payable "to the federal court" but which were diverted to private pockets, although never disputing the entitlement of the federal government to same.

5. The aforementioned is only a portion of the criminal activities of Starr/Chertoff.

* * *

Complete the annexed form, as indicated on page 2 by (x), mail to Ass't NY State Atty. Gen. Marin E. Gibson, Records Access Officer, The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224, and you will be mailed an official response to the information requested within 5 business days of its receipt.

If you advise me that you have mailed the aforementioned request, I will inform you where you can obtain copies of other documents implicating Starr/Chertoff in criminal activities, or you can immediately "nail the jellyfish to the wall" by directly confronting Starr/Chertoff with this accusation.

GEORGE SASSOWER

The New York Times
229 WEST 43 STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036

9/22/86

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In the Matter of the Application of Jerome H. Barr and Citibank, N.A., as Executors of the Will of Milton Kaufman, Holders of One-Quarter of All Outstanding Shares of Puccini Clothes, Ltd. Entitled to Vote in an Election of Directors,
For the Dissolution of Puccini Clothes, Ltd.,

— and —

ALL OTHER ACTIONS AND PROCEEDINGS IN ANY COURT CONCERNING OR RELATING TO PUCCINI CLOTHES, LTD., ITS RECEIVER OR SHAREHOLDERS OR THEIR ATTORNEYS.

Index No. 01816/80
NOTICE OF INTENT BY RECEIVER TO FILE ACCOUNTS FOR FINAL SETTLEMENT

NOTICE is hereby given by the undersigned as Receiver of Puccini Clothes, Ltd. that an account of his proceedings as Receiver of above-named corporation, under oath, will be presented to the Supreme Court of New York, County of New York, before the Honorable Don Diamond, Special Referee, at Room 538 of the Courthouse, 60 Centre Street, New York, New York, on October 30, 1986, at 10:00 o'clock in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, at an application will then and there be made returnable that the same be allowed and be decreed to be final and conclusive upon all persons, including those indebted to said corporation, all persons having in their possession any property of said corporation, all persons with whom said corporation has unfilled contracts and upon all creditors, claimants and shareholders of the corporation, and that said Receiver be authorized to make a final distribution, and upon the payment thereof, that he be discharged and his bond vacated, and for such other, further and/or different relief as to the Court may seem just and proper.
Dated: New York, New York
September 10, 1986

LEE FELTMAN, ESQ., as Receiver for Puccini Clothes, Ltd.

Exhibit "A"

ORDERED, that such fines shall be payable to the court,
EHN ~~Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. as attorneys for Citibank, N.A.~~
EHN ~~and Jerome H. Barr individually and as Executors of the~~
EHN ~~Estate of Milton Kaufman~~ and it is further
EHN

Exhibit "B"

APPLICATION FOR PUBLIC ACCESS TO RECORDS

Ass't NY State Atty. Gen. Marin E. Gibson
Records Access Officer
The Capitol
Albany, NY 12224

____
Request Number

REQUEST IS HEREBY MADE FOR COPIES OF THE FOLLOWING RECORDS:

1. The "Final Accounting", if it exists, for Puccini Clothes, Ltd., which was involuntarily dissolved on June 4, 1980, by the Supreme Court, NY County (Index No. 1816-1980). The "final accounting" must set forth _all_ assets as of the date of its dissolution, including bank deposits, inventory, accounts receivables, prepaid expenses, etc., _and_ the disposition of _all_ its assets. If such "final accounting", as above described, does not exist, please clearly and unambiguously so state in your response.

2. A copy of _any_ application made by NY State Attorney Generals Dennis C. Vacco, G. Oliver Koppell or Robert Abrams, for the accounting of the judicial trust assets of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., bearing in mind that *NY Bus. Corp. Law* §1216[a] provides that after the expiration of 18 months it becomes the non-discretionary "duty" of the NYS Attorney General to make such application. If no such application was ever made, please clearly and unambiguously so state in your response.

3. A copy of _any_ document wherein there was an attempt by NYS Attorney Generals Dennis C. Vacco, G. Oliver Koppell or Robert Abrams, as statutory fiduciaries of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., to recapture its diverted judicial trust assets. If no such document exists, please clearly and unambiguously so state in your response.

4. The identity of the highest ranking official in the Attorney General's Office who consented and/or determined not to object to the purported "final accounting" which was supposed to have been approved by Referee Donald Diamond on October 30, 1986, which purported final accounting did _not_ include bank deposits, inventory, accounts receivables, prepaid expenses, and other assets of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., as of June 4, 1980.

5. A copy of _any_ document wherein there was an attempt by NYS Attorney Generals Dennis C. Vacco, G. Oliver Koppell and/or Robert Abrams, as statutory fiduciaries, to prevent and/or recapture in favor of Puccini the approximate sum of $700,000 given to the law firms of Lee Feltman, Esq., the court appointed receiver, which law firms: (i) were never appointed by any court or judge to act at Puccini's cost and expense; (ii) were never appointed pursuant to 22 *NYCRR* §660.24, and (iii) never performed any significant services intended to benefit or which did benefit Puccini. If no such document exists, please clearly and unambiguously so state in your response.

6. A copy of <u>any</u> document wherein there was an attempt by NY State Attorney Generals Dennis C. Vacco, G. Oliver Koppell and/or Robert Abrams, to compel the filing of *NY Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Mr. Justice David B. Saxe and/or Referee Donald Diamond. If no such document exists, please clearly and unambiguously so state in your response.

7. Annex, if it exists, the Final Judgment or Final Order by a jurist having the lawful authority to: (i) approve the "final accounting" on behalf of Puccini; (ii) discharge Lee Feltman, as the receiver; and (iii) discharge Fidelity & Deposit Company of Maryland, his surety.

8. The billings, if any, to state judges and officials, represented by the NYS Attorney General's Office, in money damage actions in the federal courts revolving around Puccini Clothes, Ltd. and the Estate of Eugene Paul Kelly.

9. The billings, if any, to state judges and officials, represented by the NYS Attorney General's Office, in money damage actions in the state courts revolving around Puccini Clothes, Ltd. and the Estate of Eugene Paul Kelly.

x Name	x Representing
x Mailing Address	x Telephone Number
x Signature	x , 1995 Date

<u>FOR AGENCY USE ONLY</u>

APPROVED

DENIED (for the reasons(s) checked below)

_____ Confidential Disclosure _______ Part of Investigatory Files

_____ Unwarranted Invasion of Personal Privacy

_____ Record of Which This Agency is Legal Custodian Cannot Be Found

_____ Record is not Maintained by This Agency

_____ Exempted by Statute Other Than the Freedom of Information Act

_____ Request has been Referred to Department Which has Custody or Control of Original Record

_____ Other (Specify) ______________________________

______________________	____________	____________
Signature	Title	Date

NOTICE: YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO APPEAL A DENIAL OF THIS APPLICATION TO THE HEAD OF THIS AGENCY OR HIS DESIGNEE WHO IS:

______________________	______________________
Name	Business Address

WHO MUST FULLY EXPLAIN HIS REASONS FOR SUCH DENIAL IN WRITING WITHIN TEN WORKING DAYS OF RECEIPT OF AN APPEAL

I hereby Appeal:

______________________	______________________
Signature	Date