

GEORGE SASSOWER

16 LAKE STREET
WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10603

914-949-2169

May 27, 1994

Hal R. Lieberman, Esq.
Departmental Disciplinary Committee
First Judicial Department
41 Madison Avenue,
New York, NY 10010

Haliburton Fales, 2d. Esq.
c/o White & Case, Esqs.
1155 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

Gentlemen:

1. From the vast amount of material I have retrieved, there is no room for doubt that, at all times, during the Sam Polur, Esq. proceedings, Donald F. Schneider, Esq., was actually aware that his 1985 affidavit concerning the April 10, 1985 service upon him of a particular summons by Mr. Polur was false and perjurious.

2a. Anyone, at any time, who desires to litigate the legal, ethical and/or moral validity of the Order of Mr. Justice Ira Gammerman, dated January 23, 1985, need only advise me of the time and place. On its face, even without reference to the minutes of December 19, 1984, or a showing of personal jurisdiction, reveals its transparent nullity.

b. A court-appointed receiver, an arm of the court, must account for his stewardship. It is an obligation that cannot be enjoined, excused or even waived, not even by "paying-off" judges.

c. The only Anglo-American or constitutional way that a debtor can relieve himself of his obligations is by a bankruptcy filing, not by corrupting judges and causing them to issue transparently invalid injunctions.

d. In any event, even with the invocation of the collateral bar rule, "the criminals with law degrees" have never been able to obtain a single conviction, under the Gammerman or similar injunctions, where any due process was afforded.

3. Notice is hereby given that by D-Day, June 6, 1994, the following will take place:

a. An application will be filed to stay and/or revoke the Polur suspension from the practice of law.

Hal R. Lieberman, Esq.
Haliburton Fales, 2d. Esq.

2

May 27, 1994

b. An application will be filed for the suspension of the practice of law of Donald F. Schneider, Esq.

c. DDC or someone on its behalf will bring a proceeding to have declared null and void, the Gammerman Order of January 23, 1985, at least, insofar as it provides:

"ORDERED, that Hyman Raffe and George Sassower, acting singly, together or in conjunction with any person or entity or acting at the behest, direction or instigation of any person or entity, and all others acting in concert or cooperation with or acting at the behest, direction, or instigation of either or both Hyman Raffe or George Sassower, are permanently enjoined and restrained from: filing or serving, or attempting to intervene in or initiate in any court, tribunal, agency or other forum of this State, any ... grievance or correspondence with a professional disciplinary or grievance committee, the subject matter of which arises out of or relates to any of the following ... (a) The action or conduct of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., or its shareholders, officers, directors or employees, or any of them, either singly or in any combination; (b) the judicial dissolution or the receivership of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., or its shareholders, officers, directors or employees, or any of them, either singly or in any combination; (c) the conduct of the Receiver for Puccini Clothes, Ltd., or the representation of the Receiver by Feltman, Karesh & Major; (d) the making or filing of any complaint, grievance or correspondence with a professional disciplinary or grievance committee."

It is a provision which, inter alia, DDC was obligated to nullify.

4a. Nothing contained herein should be construed as an indication that the undersigned will not take any action with respect to the aforementioned, in the interim.

b. As one, of many, who landed on Omaha Beach, almost fifty years ago, I am not surrendering this country to a bunch of racketeers.

Most Respectfully,

GEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Donald F. Schneider, Esq.
Carol Scheuer, Esq. (Docket No. 94.1019)