

**GEORGE SASSOWER**

16 LAKE STREET  
WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10603

914-949-2169

July 22, 1996

Ass't U.S. Attorney General Jo Ann Farrington  
Deputy Chief, Public Integrity Section  
Criminal Division, Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 27321, Central Station  
Washington, D.C. 20038

Dear Ms. Farrington:

1. I assume that your letter of July 12, 1996 refers to my 24 page document of September 13, 1995, which was mailed to your office in order to trigger a criminal prosecution, not to supervise any judge or official. In such document, I stated:

"All essential facts contained in this presentation against ... are from uncontroverted public documents, copies of which will be forwarded for any assertion that is disputed, although complainant expects that nothing here will or can be disputed.

The validity of all statements, legal and otherwise, are flawless and unassailable.

All conclusions concerning the criminal activities ... including defrauding the federal purse, are inescapably compelled."

No one, including those accused, controverted any assertion that was made.

In view of the fact that Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge JON O. NEWMAN of the Second Circuit is directly involved in criminal racketeering activities, which includes defrauding the federal government, for you to suggest, *inter alia*, the Second Circuit Court, is manifestly ludicrous.

In any event, federal representation, at federal cost and expense, of those who have not been 28 U.S.C. §2679[d] "scope" certified, is a criminal fraud upon the federal government.

2. On May 2, 1996, or after the execution of my aforementioned document, I received from your Freedom of Information Section, a statement that there are, *inter alia*, no records revealing authorization for federal representation in New Jersey, at federal cost and expense, for federal judges and officials who have not been "scope" certified.

Obviously, no authorized official would "scope" certify any federal judge or official involved in diverting monies payable "to the federal court" to Citibank's coffers.

July 22, 1996

By motion dated June 1, 1996, returnable today, in the U.S. District Court of the Eastern District of New York, no one has controverted or opposed my motion to divest Citibank of the booty that was payable "to the federal court" to itself.

Significantly, although all the relief in such motion inured to the federal government, it was not supported by U.S. Attorney Zachary W. Carter, or anyone else in the Department of Justice.

Defrauding the federal treasury by anyone, including by federal judges and Department of Justice employees, is an egregious federal offense compelling criminal prosecution.

*In haec verba*, I set forth in my 24 page document, of the concessions of Chief Judge Newman and [former] Chief U.S. District Court Judge CHARLES L. BRIEANT, who with Department of Justice officials, are defrauding the federal treasury.

3. Exercising my First Amendment right to petition and my statutory right granted by Congress (18 U.S.C. §3332[a]), I demand access to the grand jury, so that such body can satisfy its "duty ... to inquire into offenses against the criminal laws of the United States", including by members of the judiciary and the Department of Justice (*Matter of Grand Jury Application*, 617 F. Supp. 199 [SDNY-1985]).

Any grand jury presentation would probably result, as a result of your letter, in a true bill against you personally.

Therefore, you should remove yourself from this matter.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE SASSOWER