GEORGE SASSOWER
Attorney-at-Law
10 Stewart Place
White Plains, NY 10603-3856
(914) 681-7196

July 28, 2008

Hon. Michael J. Mukasey,
Attorney General of the U.S.
Department of Justice
10th & Constitution Ave.
Washington, D.C. 20530

Re: ***Samuel A. Alito***

Honorable Sir:

Enclose please find a 31 ***U.S.C.*** §1351 Demand which requires your "immediate" Report. Simultaneously, copies are being mailed to ***Samuel A. Alito***, NY State Attorney General ***Andrew M. Cuomo*** and the ***Federal Bureau of Investigation***, who has in its possession some of the cancelled checks for the *diverted* Federal and NY State monies and for the *bribe/extortion* payments made.

Within the next few days, copies of the enclosed 31 ***U.S.C.*** §1351 Demand will be mailed to the other named persons, and a Certificate of Service will follow.

Most Respectfully,

GEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Samuel A. Alito
NY State Attorney General Andrew M. Cuomo
Federal Bureau of Investigation

---x

In re: U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito***

31 ***U.S.C.*** §1351 Demand
For Public Distribution

---x

To: Hon. ***Michael J. Mukasey***, Attorney General of the United States.

1. The *undenied*, *uncontroverted* and *unopposed* motion of December 13, 2005 in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman*** (3rd Cir.-90-5147 & 91-8063), reveals that ***Samuel A. Alito*** was expending and receiving *unauthorized* federal monies and/or services (31 ***U.S.C.*** §§1341, 1342) which, in addition to being felonies, punishable by fines and terms of incarceration (31 ***U.S.C.*** §1350), *compels* the Attorney General of the United States ["AGUS"] to "report immediately" to the President, Congress and Comptroller General, "all [the] relevant facts and a statement of actions taken" (31 ***U.S.C.*** §1351).

Unless reimbursement to the ***United States*** is voluntarily made, AGUS ***Michael J. Mukasey*** cannot avoid "reporting immediately" that he has ordered *reimbursement* by ***Samuel A. Alito***!

2. More than $2,500,000 of Federal and NY State monies were *diverted* to ***Citibank, N.A.***, its "estate chasing attorneys", ***Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.*** ["K&R"] and/or their prime *bribed* co-conspirators, ***Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman***, Esqs. ["FKM&F"], the law firm of ***Lee Feltman***, Esq., the court-appointed receiver for ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.,*** to *serve*, after *laundering*, as *sources* of *bribes*.

AGUS ***Michael J. Mukasey*** and NY State Attorney General ["NYSAG"] ***Andrew M. Cuomo***, cannot avoid *ordering* the ***Citibank entourage*** to provide *restitution* to their clients, the ***United States*** and the ***State of New York***, at pains of being *indicted*, *impeached* and *disbarred* if they refuse!

3. Exhibit "A1A", which are "***Responses***" of ***Samuel A. Alito*** to questions posed by the ***Judiciary Committee of the United States Senate*** *proves*, beyond any and all doubt, that his confirmation to be an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, was the result of *perjury*, *fraud* and *deceit*, with the "wrong being righted" by his prompt *impeachment*, *indictment* and *disbarment*!

Smoking Guns I

> "There is nothing that is more important for our republic than the rule of law. No person in this country, no matter how high or powerful, is above the law, and no person in this country is beneath the law." (***Samuel A. Alito, Senate Judiciary Committee***, January 9, 2006).

Part "A":

1. *Every* U.S. Attorney and Article III federal judge, and ***Samuel A. Alito*** was both, *knows* that in a money damage tort action, a ***U.S. Department of Justice*** ["USDJ"] attorney: (I) can *only* defend the ***United States***, *never* any "*person*", and (ii) *never* defend anyone, including the ***United States***, unless a 28 ***U.S.C.*** §2675 "notice of claim" has been filed! There are *no* exceptions!

A. *Nevertheless*, in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams*** (NJ 88Civ1012 [NHP]), a money damage tort action, U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito,*** at *unauthorized* federal cost and expense, defended ***Wilfred Feinberg, Irving R. Kaufman, Thomas J. Meskill, Eugene H. Nickerson, William C. Conner, George C. Pratt, Roger Miner, Ellsworth A. Van Graafieland, Charles L. Brieant*** and others in their "*personal*" capacities, and where no 28 ***U.S.C.*** §2675 "notice of claim" had been filed, which are felonies committed by *all* involved, including ***Samuel A. Alito***, punishable by fines and terms of incarceration (31 ***U.S.C.*** §1350).

Obviously, ***Wilfred Feinberg, Irving R. Kaufman, Thomas J. Meskill, Eugene H. Nickerson, William C. Conner, George C. Pratt, Roger Miner, Ellsworth A. Van Graafieland*** and ***Charles L. Brieant***, all Article III federal jurists, *knew beforehand* that U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** was a corrupt federal official, who would defend them in their "*personal*" capacities, and where no 28 ***U.S.C.*** §2675 "notice of claim" existed, defrauding his client, the ***United States*** thereby, which they *never* denied!

The defense appearance in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams*** (*supra*) of U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** for federal "*persons*" and where no 28 ***U.S.C.*** §2675 "notice of claim" existed, and the defense appearance of NYSAG ***Robert Abrams*** for NY State "*persons*", despite the Amendment XI (***Constitution of the United States***) "*limitation*" of federal judicial power, was an openly flaunted statement that these were corrupt Federal and NY State attorneys, who knew beforehand that U.S. District Court Judge ***Nicholas H. Politan***, was a corrupt judge who would tolerate this *illegal* and *unconstitutional* scenario!

B. Thirty-five (35) days after ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams*** (*supra*) was filed, ***Geo. Sassower v. Feltman*** (NJ 88Civ1562 [NHP]) was filed, wherein ***Samuel A. Alito***, "*personally*", was a money damage tort defendant, and where there was no 28 ***U.S.C.*** §2675 "notice of claim" existed and he, ***Samuel A. Alito***, was defended by a USDJ attorney, at *unauthorized* federal cost and expense. Once again, in this action, NYSAG ***Robert Abrams***, appeared for NY State "*persons*", including himself.

2. Eighteen (18) years later, since it was manifestly obvious that ***Samuel A. Alito***, and those acting on his behalf, were deceiving U.S. Senators, the media and the public, a motion was made on December 13, 2005, which was almost one (1) month *before* televised confirmation hearing were to begin by the ***Senate Judiciary Committee***, returnable at the ***Third Circuit Court of Appeals*** (***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman***, *supra*).

As alleged in this *undenied, uncontroverted* and *unopposed* motion of December 13, 2005, the response to a ***Freedom of Information Act*** ["FOIA"] request, the USDJ stated (Request #96-2976) (Exhibit "A1B") [emphasis supplied].

> "with respect to "***Sassower Abrams/Feltman***" (88Cv1012-1562 [NHP]), a search for records located in the United States Attorney's Office(s) for the District of New Jersey revealed nothing pertaining to this matter".

Obviously, U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** would not have "cooked his official books" unless he *knew* the expenditures he made by and for him, were *unauthorized*!

Thus, in addition to expending and receiving *unauthorized* federal monies and/or services, which are *felonies*, he was also "cooking" his official books, to conceal these *unauthorized* expenditures, which is also a felony (18 ***U.S.C.*** §1001)!

3. Since disclosure of the contents of the *undenied, uncontroverted* and *unopposed* motion of December 13, 2005, before a televised public would have "*doomed*" the nomination, its contents were concealed by Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** for the Third Circuit, ***Samuel A. Alito*** and others, as they inundated the ***Senate Judiciary Committee*** with *perjured, false* and *deceptive* testimony!

Today, thirty (30) months later, this *undenied, uncontroverted* and *unopposed* motion, lies fallow and undetermined, since it would be a lethal blow to "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***" and to, *inter alia*, ***Samuel A. Alito***.

4. Since knowledge of the contents of ***Geo. Sassower v. Feltman***, would also be a lethal blow to the nomination of ***Samuel A. Alito*** to be a judge on any federal court, he intentionally and deliberately concealed its existence in his written "***Responses***" to the ***Judiciary Committee*** (see, Exhibit "A1A").

Part "B":

1A.. *Thereafter*, ***Geo. Sassower v. Sansverie*** (EDNY 88Civ1423 [ERK]); ***Geo. Sassower v. Carlson*** (930 F2d 583 [8th Cir.-1991]), and other actions were filed, wherein ***Samuel A. Alito*** was sued in tort for money damages, in his "*personal*" capacity, and where no 28 ***U.S.C.*** §2675 "notice of claim" existed, none of which actions he listed in his "***Responses***" to the ***Senate Judiciary Committee*** (Exhibit "A1A").

For ***Samuel A. Alito*** to have listed ***Geo. Sassower v. Sansverie*** (*supra*) and ***Geo. Sassower v. Carlson*** (*supra*) in his "***Response***" to the ***Senate Judiciary Committee***, would have also "*doomed*" the nomination!

B. ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 2:91-cv-04097 - JG) was filed under the ***Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations*** Act ["RICO"] (18 ***U.S.C.*** §1961), wherein ***Samuel A. Alito*** was sued in his "*personal*" capacity, as a "*racketeer*", and where no 28 ***U.S.C.*** §2675 "notice of claim had been filed.

To conceal inquiry into the allegations and evidence contained in ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*), ***Samuel A. Alito*** falsely described it an "*official*" capacity action (see, Exhibit "A1A").

Samuel A. Alito, is here openly challenged, to produce one (1) single Article III jurist who would "swear under oath" or "affirm under penalty of perjury", that ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*) was an "*official*" capacity action, and that he was being sued in his "*official*" capacity! Only one jurist! He cannot!

Part "C":

1. "***The Bribes***" by ***Citibank, N.A.***, the financial colossus, to judges, officials, lawyers, adversaries and others, revolving around "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***", is more than $10,000,000, of which, more than $3,500,000, which is here targeted, are from "*sources*" where "*public accountings*" are "*mandatory*".

A. ***Citibank, N.A.-K&R*** engineered the larceny of the judicial trust assets of ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.***, commencing immediately after it was involuntarily dissolved, dissipating its illicit :loot" as "*bribes*" (Exhibits "B1A" & "B1B").

Samuel A. Alito, intentionally and deliberately did not list ***Geo. Sassower v. Feltman*** (*supra*) in his written ***Responses*** to the ***Senate Judiciary Committee*** (see, Exhibit "A1A"), because the disclosure of its contents before a televised audience would have, without more, "*doomed*" the nomination!

In the *undenied, uncontroverted, unopposed* motion of December 13, 2005 (***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman***, *supra*) in addition to revealing that ***Samuel A. Alito*** was expending and receiving *unauthorized* federal monies and services, "*cooking*" his official records, this motion alleged [emphasis in the original]:

> "Most of the `staggering' expenditures (***New Jersey Law Journal***, July 13, 1989) made by U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito, Jr.*** was to consummate the transmission of approximately $800,000 from the judicial trust assets of ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.*** to serve, after `laundering', as an additional `source' of `bribes' for judges and judicial officials.
>
> U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito, Jr.*** and U.S. District Court Judge ***Nicholas H. Politan***, at federal cost and expense, were aiding and abetting NYSAG ***Robert Abrams***, the statutory fiduciary for ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.***, in consummating a `bribe' payment of approximately $800,000 for judges and judicial officials from its judicial trust assets!!!
>
> Thus, today more than twenty-five (25) years after ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.*** was involuntarily dissolved, there are none of the mandatory Puccini `public accounting', although an `accounting' *must* be filed `at least once a year' (22 *NYCRR* §202.52[e]).
>
> Although, after the expiration of eighteen (18) months, the NYSAG, as a matter of ministerial obligation, permitting no discretion whatsoever, he must make application to the court to compel a court-appointed receiver to `account' and `distribute' (*NY Bus. Corp. Law* §1216). However, no such application has ever been made by NYSAG ***Robert Abrams*** or his successors.
>
> Any Puccini `accounting" or the filing of the mandatory *NY Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements would reveal that ***Citibank, N.A.-Kreindler&Relkin, P.C.*** engineered the larceny of *all* its judicial trust assets, leaving *nothing* for its nationwide legitimate creditors,

which had the cooperation of ***Alito-Politan*** [U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito***-U.S. District Court Judge ***Nicolas H. Politan***] in this criminal [racketeering] operation."

NYSAG ***Andrew M. Cuomo*** faces criminal prosecution (*e.g., **NY Penal Law*** §195.00 "***Official Misconduct***") unless he makes an immediate application to compel an "*accounting* and *distribution*" for the judicial trust assets of ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.***!

Would any ***U.S. Senator*** have voted for the confirmation of ***Samuel A. Alito*** for <u>*any*</u> federal position if, during the televised confirmation hearings, it was disclosed that at "staggering" federal cost and expense, he caused the transmission of approximately $800,000 of the judicial trust cash assets of ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.***, to "*serve*" as a "*source*" of "*bribes*" for judges and officials???

B. "P*ublic accountings*" are also "*mandatory*" for the more than $2,500,000 "*diverted*" Federal and NY State monies (*e.g.*, ***Constitution of the United States***, Article 1 §917]), including for the monies explicitly made payable "to the [federal] court" (Exhibit "B3A"), but "*diverted*" to ***Citibank-K&R*** to "*serve*" as an additional "*source*" of "*bribes*" for judges and officials.

The complaint in ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*), a ***Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations*** ["RICO"] action, which ***Samuel A. Alito*** fraudulently listed as an "*official*" capacity action (Exhibit "A1A"), in order to conceal inquiry into its contents, alleged:

> "***Samuel A. Alito, Jr.***, is supposedly the highest Article II representative of the United States in the State of New Jersey.
>
> However when U.S. District Judge ***Eugene H. Nickerson***, without trial or opportunity for a trial and without any `live' testimony found [***Hyman***] ***Raffe*** and affirmant [***Geo. Sassower***] guilty of non-summary criminal contempt, the order read that the substantial fines imposed were payable `to the [federal] court'.
>
> These monies,[which included my monies,-were diverted into the private pockets of ***Citibank, N.A.-Kreindler& Relkin, P.C.*** or those which engineered the larceny of the judicial trust assets of ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.***
>
> When affirmant moved or attempted to move to have such diverted monies turned over to the U.S. Government, <u>*Alito's office opposed*</u>.
>
> By reason of the aforementioned, all executed with the conspiratorial consent and/or cooperation of <u>*all*</u> defendants, the plaintiff [***Geo. Sassower***] has sustained substantial damages."

Opposing the capture of Federal monies, in favor of the ***United States***, by a U.S. Attorney, whose client is the ***United States***, warrants his disbarment, not a seat on the bench on any court!

Would any ***U.S. Senator*** voted for the confirmation of ***Samuel A. Alito*** for any federal position if, during the televised confirmation hearings, it was disclosed that each time a motion was made to capture the "*diverted*" federal monies in favor of the ***United States***, U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito***, <u>*never*</u> supported such motions, and <u>*never*</u> articulated any justification for his treasonous, perfidious and treacherous behavior???

2. "<u>***The Expenditures***</u>", here targeted, are limited to those made by the ***United States***, ***State of New York***, ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.*** and the ***Estate of Eugene Paul Kelly, deceased***, the clients and trusts of AGUS ***Michael J. Mukasey*** and/or NYSAG ***Andrew M. Cuomo*** where, "*public accountings*" are also "*mandatory*".

Before the federal defenses expenditures went "through the roof", a federal official described them as "*staggering*" (***New Jersey Law Journal***, July 13, 1989), which estimate is supported by Court files at the U.S. District Courts of New Jersey and at the U.S. Third Circuit Court of Appeals.

These monumental expenditures fall into two (2) categories: (I) which were unauthorized, and (ii) although legally authorized, were abused.

A. Insofar as those expenditures which were *unauthorized*, such as the federal expenditures made by U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito***, in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman*** (*supra*), ***Samuel A. Alito*** had to "cook his official books" to conceal these expenditures from federal fiscal authorities, including the ***U.S. Congress***, since Article 1 §917] of the *Constitution of the United States*, provides:

"A regular Statement and Account of the Receipts and Expenditures of all public Money shall be published [by Congress] from time to time".

Samuel A. Alito cannot avoid "*reimbursing*" the ***United States*** for the *unauthorized* federal expenditures made by and for him!

Unless reimbursement to the ***United S tates*** is voluntarily and promptly made, AGUS ***Michael J. Mukasey*** cannot avoid "reporting immediately" to the President, Congress and the Comptroller General that he has *ordered reimbursement*!!!

B. As is disclosed in ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*) and other files, U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** was involved in "*diverting*" some of the "more than $2,500,000" of Federal & NY State monies to the New Jersey law firms of ***Clapp & Eisenberg, P.C.*** and ***Rothbard, Rothbard & Kohn***, Esqs.

As the files in ***U.S. v. Geo. Sassower*** (3rd Cir.-#89-5810) reveals, at monumental federal cost and expense, he prosecuted the person who was attempting to prevent the "*diversion*" of Federal & NY State monies and who was attempting to recover such monies in favor of the ***United States*** and ***State of New York***.

Today, nineteen (19) years after these criminal proceedings were instituted, they still have not been completed!

Would any ***U.S. Senator*** voted for the confirmation of ***Samuel A. Alito*** for any federal position if, during the televised confirmation hearings, it was disclosed that he was: (I) without authorized expending federal monies and (ii) expending federal monies prosecuting the person attempting to abort the transmission of Federal and NY State monies, and to recover them in favor of the ***United States*** and ***State of New York***??

3. "***The Quid Pro Quo***" for "*bribes*" by ***Citibank, N.A.*** from *all* sources and their other actionable activities was "***total immunity***" for itself, its attorneys, their co-conspirators, and *all* those who cooperated with them in their criminal racketeering adventure, which "***total immunity***" included that they would not have to "*account*", even when mandatory, or provide "*restitution*", even when constitutionally compelled!

Since I, ***Geo. Sassower***, Esq. have, *inter alia*: (I) a contractually based, constitutionally protected money judgment against ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.*** (Exhibit "B2A") and consequently a constitutional, common law and statutory right to demand an "*accounting*" (***Martin v. Wilkes***, 490 U.S. 755 [1989]; ***Copeland v. Salomon***, 56 N.Y.2d 222, 451 N.Y.S.2d 682 [1982]; ***NY Bus. Corp. Law*** §1216),. and (ii) the "*diverted*" federal monies include monies paid by me, for this egregious criminal adventure and ***Samuel A. Alito*** to survive, I must be denied "access to the courts"!

To implement "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***"the ***U.S. Third Circuit Court of Appeals***, by an Order dated February 12, 1992, a panel which included U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica***, now its Chief Judge, "enjoined" any action or proceedings by ***Geo. Sassower*** against seventy-nine (79 persons and entities (***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman***, 1992 U.S. App. Lexis 38245 CCA3 - 91-8063]), [numbers added to facilitate identification]!

"(1) Robert Abrams; (2) Samuel A. Alito Jr.; (3) A.R. Fuels, Inc.; (4) Jerome H. Barr: (5) Joseph W. Bellacosa; (6) Howard M. Bergson; (7) Berlin, Kaplan, Dembling & Burke, P.C.; (8) Charles L. Brieant; (9) Cahn, Wishod, Wishod & Lamb; (10) Susan Cassell; (11) Michael Chertoff; (12) Citibank, N.A.; (13) Clapp & Eisenberg, P.C.; (14) William C. Conner; (15) David S. Cook; (16) Kenneth M. Cozza; (17) Eugene Dann; (18) Donald Diamond; (19) Denis Dillon; (20) Wilfred Feinberg; (21) Lee Feltman; (22) Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman; (23) Robert W. Gaffey; (24) James C. Francis, IV; (25)

Ira Gammerman; (26) David Greenberg; (27) Matthew Ireland; (28) Harold Jones; (29) Bentley Kassal; (30) Irving L. Kaufman; (31) Daniel Kelleher; (32) Alvin F. Klein; (33) Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; (34) Theodore R. Kupferman; (35) Hugh Leonard; (36) J. Kenneth Littman; (37) Anthony Mastroianni; (38) Roger Miner; (39) Jacob Mishler; (40) Thomas J. Meskill; (41) Milton Mollen; (42) Sally Mrvos; (43) Francis T. Murphy; (44) Nachamie, Kirschner, Spizz & Levine, P.C.; (45) Eugene H. Nickerson; (46) Ira Postel; (47) George C. Pratt; (48) Puccini Clothes Ltd.; (49) Hyman Raffe; (50) Rashba & Pokart; (51) Reisman, Peirez & Peirez, P.C.; (52) Reisman, Peirez, Reisman & Calica; (53) Xavier C. Riccobono; (54) Ernst H. Rosenberger; (55) Rothbart, Rothbart & Kahn; (56) Isaac Rubin; (57) Matthew D. Sansveri, (60) Joseph 5. Santacroce; (61) Jeffrey L. Sapir; (62) David B. Saxe; (63) Walter H. Schackman; (64) Schneck & Weltman; (65) Howard Schwartzberg; (66) John 5. Scura; (67) Ernest L. Signorelli; (68) Sills, Cumis, Zuckerman, Radin, Tishman, Epstein & Gross, P.C.; (69) P. Douglas Sisk; (70) Jeffrey I. Slonim; (71) Peter Sordi; (72) Robert Sorrentino; (73) Robert H. Straus; (74) Suffolk, New York, County of; (75) William C. Thompson; (76) Ellsworth A. Van Graafieland; (77) Marcia Waldron; (78) Moses M. Weinstein; and (79) Charles Zangara."

Thus, almost five hundred (500) years since the death of Friar ***Johan Tetzel***, temporal "indulgence peddlers" like Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** give "*immunity*" to, *inter alia*, those who were involved in "*diverting*" Federal monies, such as ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), ***Susan C. Cassell*** (#10) to ***Clapp & Eisenberg, P.C.*** (#13) and ***Rothbard, Rothbard & Kohn***, Esqs. (#55)!

At the time of the confirmation hearings, in January of 2006, while those having been captured in Afghanistan, as enemy-combatants, had a right to "access to the American courts", and could sue the President of the United States and Secretary of Defense , (***Rasul v. Bush***, 542 U.S. 466 [2004]; ***Hamdi v. Rumsfeld***, 542 U.S. 507 [2004]), while ***Geo. Sassower***, an American born citizen, a battle star veteran of World War II, who went, from Omaha Beach in Normandy, to Germany, during an almost one year period in 1944-45, did not have such right, albeit his constitutionally protected vested interests were involved!!!

Consequently, <u>today</u>, because of the treasonous activities of, *inter alia*, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) and ***Anthony J. Scirica***, ***Citibank-K&R*** (#12-#33), ***FKM&F*** (#22) and those they "*bribed*" with their illicit "loot", have it <u>*all*</u>, although entitled to <u>*none*</u> of it, while their victims, which includes the ***United States*** who, while entitled to it *all*, have <u>*none*</u> of these monies!

However there is <u>*tomorrow*</u>, when the taxpaying public learns of the activities of those, such as ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) and Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica***!!!

<u>Smoking Guns II</u>

"Woe unto you ... for you make clean the outside of the cup and of the platter, but within they are full of extortion and excess. ... [C]leanse first that which is within the cup and platter, that the outside of them may be clean also." (<u>Matthew</u>, 23:25-26).

<u>Part "A"</u>:

1. In a money damage tort action one can usually sue both the employer and the employee, the master and the servant, the principal and the agent, and judgment can usually be obtained against both, since their liability is joint, as well as, several.

However, when a Federal judge, official, employee or person in the military is involved, in a money damage tort action, for a particular act, it is one or the other that may be *sued*, not both!

2A. If the federal judge, official employee or military person was acting "within the scope of his/her office/employment/line of duty", in a money damage tort action, only the ***United States*** may be sued, which the statute (28 ***U.S.C.*** §2679) confirms by explicitly providing that the ***United States*** is the "*exclusive*" defendant. With the ***United States*** as the "*exclusive*" defendant, a USDJ attorney defends it (28 ***U.S.C.*** §547), at federal cost and expense, and the ***United States*** satisfies any money judgment recovered.

Thus, on its face, Exhibit "A1A" is *perjurious* since, if ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*) was an "*official*" capacity action, ***Samuel A. Alito*** could not have been sued!

When the federal judge, official, employee or military person is *not* acting "within the "scope of his/her office/employment/line of duty", in a money damage tort action, *only* the federal judge, official, employee and/or military person may be sued, and the federal judge, official, employee and/or military person defends by a non-federal attorney, at non-federal cost and expense. The ***United States*** is not liable, and cannot pay, for the satisfaction of any judgment recovered (***Reeside v. Walker*** (52 U.S. 272 [1851]).

B. ***Samuel A. Alito*** and the other federal defendants, when acting within the "scope of their offices/employment", *already* had and have, "*suit immunity*". They cannot be sued!!

By the Order of February 12, 1992, Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** and the ***U.S. Third Court Circuit Court of Appeals***, although *unauthorized*, gave ***Samuel A. Alito*** and other federal officials and judges, "*immunity*" in their "*personal*" capacities, which previously they did not have nor now have, except for such Order!

3A. If only some, but not all, of multiple federal defendants, were "acting within the scope of their offices/employment", then the ***United States*** is "substituted" for those who were "scope certified", and the others are defended by their private attorneys, at non-federal cost and expense (***Woods v. McGuire***, 954 F.2d 388 [6th Cir. - 1992]).

If multiple acts are involved, the ***United States*** is "substituted" *only* for those acts which are "scope certified" and a non-federal attorney defends those acts which were *not* committed "within the scope of his/her office/employment" (***Lyons v. Brown***, 158 F.3d 605 [1st Cir. - 1998]).

B. Thus, in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams*** (*supra*), no authorized official, including U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito***, or judge, including U.S. District Court Judge ***Nicholas H. Politan***"certified" any of the federal defendants, for *any* of their activities revolving around "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***"!

Consequently, although they did not have the authority, Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** and the ***U.S. Third Court Circuit Court of Appeals*** gave them "*immunity*"!

C. *None* of the activities for which ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) was sued in his "*personal*" capacity, such as ***Geo. Sassower v. Feltman*** (*supra*), ***Geo. Sassower v. Sansverie*** (*supra*); ***Geo. Sassower v. Carlson*** (*supra*), or ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*) was he "scope certified" by any authorized official in the USDJ or any jurist! Not one!

The transcript of the confirmation hearings before the ***Judiciary Committee*** of the ***United States Senate*** reveals the following on January 9, 2006 [emphasis supplied]:

> "[Chairman Sen. Arlen] SPECTER: ...if you would raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you will give before the Committee of the Judiciary of the United States Senate will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God.
> [Samuel A.] ALITO: I do.
> SPECTER: Thank you, Judge Alito. You may be seated. And we welcome whatever opening comments if you care to make them.
> ALITO: ....I can still remember the day, as an assistant U.S. attorney, when I stood up in court for the first time and I proudly said, 'My name is Samuel Alito and I represent the United States in this court.' *It was a great honor for me to have the United States as my client during all of those years*"

Samuel A. Alito, served his client, the United States by, at *all* times, in *every* respect *betraying* his client, in favor of ***Citibank, N.A.-K&R*** (##12-#33) and/or ***FKM&F*** (#22) and no authorized official in the USDJ or jurist would certify otherwise!

Unlike ***Judas*** and ***Benedict Arnold***, who each committed a single act of betrayal, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) was *repeatedly* and *consistently* betraying his client, the ***United States***!

Would any ***U.S. Senator*** voted for the confirmation of ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) for any federal position if, during the televised hearings, the public learned that in all matters revolving around "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***", ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) repeatedly and consistently betrayed his client, the ***United States***!!!

Part "B":

1. From 1776 to 1946, one could not sue either the ***United States*** or the Federal judge, official, employee or military person, when acting within the "scope of his/her office/employment/line of duty", in a money damage tort action, since they all had "sovereign immunity". The sole remedy of the injured or aggrieved party was to petition the ***U.S. Congress***, which has exclusive control of the federal purse, to enact a "private bill" awarding compensation.

When, in 1946, Congress enacted a waiver of "sovereign immunity" of the ***United States***, for some common law torts, it did *not* waive the "immunity" for its judges, officials, employee and/or military persons when they "acted within the scope of their offices/employment/line of duty"!

2. *However*, both before and after 1946, one could sue a Federal judge, official, employee or military person in tort for money damages, when he/she was *not* acting "within the scope of his/her office/employment/line of duty".

In ***Melo v. Hafer*** (13 F.3d 736 [3rd Cir.-1994]), the Court of ***Samuel A. Alito***, stated [emphasis supplied]:

> "Congress thus wished to grant immunity from both liability *and* litigation in those instances in which the employee was acting within the scope of his or her office or employment. At the same time, Congress wished to leave undisturbed the rights of those injured by federal employees who were not acting within the scope of their office or employment."

No authorized official in the USDJ, including U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** or judge, including U.S. District Court Judge ***Nicholas H. Politan***, has ever "scope certified" ***Wilfred Feinberg*** (#20), ***Irving R. Kaufman*** (#30), ***Thomas J. Meskill*** (#40), ***Eugene H. Nickerson*** (#45), ***George C. Pratt*** (#47), ***Roger Miner*** (#38), or ***Ellsworth A. Van Graafieland*** (#76), who were *directly* involved in *diverting* Federal monies to ***Citibank*** (#12), ***K&R*** (#33) and/or ***FKM&F*** (#22) to *serve*, after *laundering*, as *sources* of *bribes*!

Would you, if you had the authority, "certify" those federal judges or any federal official or employees, who was *diverting* federal monies to ***Citibank*** (#12), ***K&R*** (#33) and/or ***FKM&F*** (#22) to *serve*, after *laundering*, as *sources* of *bribes*, as having acted "within the scope of their federal offices/employment"???

Although, as stated by the ***U.S. Third Circuit Court of Appeals***, the Court of ***Samuel A. Alito***: "Congress wished to leave undisturbed the rights of those injured by federal employees who were not acting within the scope of their office or employment" a panel of that Court, which included now Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica***, the "***Temporal Indulgence Peddlers***", although they did not have the authority, gave everyone involved in "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***", including ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), "immunity" in their "*personal*" capacities!

3. Had U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** or U.S. District Court Judge ***Nicholas H. Politan***, "scope certified" the federal judges and officials being sued in their "*personal*" capacities in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams*** (*supra*), the ***United States*** would have been "*substituted*" as the defendant, and the action against the ***United States*** would have been dismissed for the failure to file a 28 ***U.S.C.*** §2675 "notice of claim" and/or the torts alleged were not those wherein the United States waived its sovereign immunity!

However, "scope certification" would have afforded the plaintiff with the right to contest such "certification", which would have publicly exposed the activities of these rogue federal judges, and resulted in certain "*de-certification*" (***McHugh v. University of Vermont***, 966 F2d 67 [2nd Cir.-1992]).

Thus, these rogue federal jurists and officials, instead of retaining private attorneys, dragooned and/or accepted the *unauthorized* defense representation o f f ederal attorneys, such as U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito,*** defrauding the ***United States***, thereby and triggering the "Report" obligation of the AGUS.

Part "C":

1 ***Wilfred Feinberg*** (#20), a defendant in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams*** (*supra*), twenty-five (25) years earlier, as a U.S. District Court Judge, "dismissed" the action against ***Thomas Jones*** because, as a federal employee, in a money damage tort action, he had "*suit immunity*" while acting "in the course of his employment" (***Perez v. United States***, 218 F. Supp. 571 [SDNY-1963])!

Perez v. United States (*supra*) was a case of "first impression", and has been followed by every court, federal and state, for more than forty (40) years, when confronted by the same issue, except in actions revolving around "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***"!

2. Then, in ***Myers v. United States Postal Service*** (527 F.2d 1252 [2nd Cir.-1975]), where U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Wilfred Feinberg*** (#20) was a panel member, the Court stated [emphasis suppled]:

> "We should first note that suit under the ***Federal Tort Claims Act*** ["FTCA"] lies here, if at all, *only* against the United States. Neither the Postal Service nor the Postal Inspection Service, named as defendants, may be sued ... The district court also *lacks j urisdiction* in respect to the two individual Postal Service employees named as defendants in this action. *Only* claims `against the United States' are included within the Federal Tort Claims Act jurisdiction. ... *Accordingly, as to all defendants except the United States, the dismissal of the complaint must be affirmed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction*."

3. *However*, in 1988, as Chief Judge of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals and the Chairman of the Executive Board of the Judicial Conference of the United States, ***Wilfred Feinberg*** (#20) and other federal defendants dragooned and/or accepted the defense representation of U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito***, at *unauthorized* federal cost and expense, defrauding the federal purse thereby.

4. In ***Wiechers V. United States Post Office***, (1989 U.S. Dist. Lexis 16569), U.S. District Court Judge ***Alfred M. Wolin***, adopted the arguments of U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito***, by stating [underlining added]:

> "Plaintiff names United States Post Office as a defendant. However, suits pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 ***U.S.C.*** § 1346(b), may be brought only against the United States, not against its agencies or employees. ***Myers v. U.S. Postal Service***, 527 F.2d 1252, 1256 (2d Cir. 1975); ***Smith v. Rivest***, 398 F. Supp. 379, 381-82 (E.D. Wisc. 1975). Dismissal is the proper remedy for an action wherein a government defendant other than the United States is named. ***Santoro v. United States***, 229 F. Supp. 707 (N.D. Ill. 1964; ***Perez v. United States***, 218 F. Supp. 571 (SDNY. 1963). Therefore, this Court lacks jurisdiction and plaintiff's complaint against the United States Post Office will be dismissed. ... Where an action is brought against a government officer, the Court must determine whether the action is in reality one against the United States. ***Bower v. United States***, 347 F. Supp. 1252, 1255 (N.D. Pa. 1972)."

The New Jersey Federal Court, it should be noted, cited the decisions of Judge ***Wilfred Feinberg*** (#20) in ***Perez v. United States*** (*supra*) and ***Myers, Inc. v. U.S. Postal Service*** (*supra*).

5. The appearances by U.S. Attorney, ***Samuel A. Alito,*** for "*persons*" in the money damage tort actions in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman*** (*supra*), results in the "***Ultimate Frivolity***", since as expressly noted in ***Myers v. United States Postal Service*** (*supra*) and ***Wiechers V. United States Post Office*** (*supra*) a "subject matter jurisdictional" infirmity is the result and renders the merit dispositions made to be "*null* and *void*.

Part "D":

1. Essential to the criminal racketeering operations of ***Samuel A. Alito*** in ***Geo Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman*** (*supra*), and in other actions revolving around "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***", was the participation of the New York State Attorney General ["NYSAG"].

2. Every law student is taught and every Article III federal judge *knows* that absent the very rare exceptions, never here present, a "*person*" cannot sue the State, a State judge, official or employee in his "*official*" capacity in tort for money damages in a federal forum.

Amendment XI of the ***Constitution of the United States*** (***Hans v. Louisiana,*** 134 U.S. 1 [1890]) is a "*limitation*" of federal judicial power, and is a "*subject matter jurisdictional*" lethal infirmity and, when violated, even when unintentional, renders the merit disposition made to be "*null* and *void*"!

Nevertheless, NYSAG ***Robert Abrams*** (#1), defended NY State judges and officials, including himself, in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman*** (*supra*), at unconstitutional ***New York State*** cost and expense, although he, his rogue clients, all law school graduates and U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** knew the merit dispositions made were "*null* and void"!

3. Amendment XI/Hans is the is the "heart, mind and soul" of every conservative member of the judiciary, but where "*bribes*" are involved, the apostle, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2)is obviously an apostate.

4. The representation of the defendant ***Robert Abrams***, and others, at NY State cost and expense, was also prohibited by Article XIII §7 of the ***New York State Constitution***, as a "perquisites".

5. A ***Freedom of Information Law*** ["FOIL"] response by the NYSAG (FOIL #03-540) confirms that the books and records of the NYSAG, like those of ***Samuel A. Alito***, have been "*cooked*" to conceal the unconstitutional expenditures made.

6. ***Robert Abrams*** (#1) and the other NY State defendants, when acting in their "*official*" capacities, already had and have constitutional, "*immunity*" in the federal forum.

By the Order of February 12, 1992, Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** and the ***U.S. Third Court Circuit Court of Appeals***, "***The Temporal Indulgence Peddlers***", gave them "*immunity*" in their "*personal*" capacities, which they did not have and never had since the passage of Amendment XI of the ***Constitution of the United States***!

Part "E":

1.. On December 13, 2005, almost one (1) month *before* the confirmation hearings by the ***Judiciary Committee of the United States Senate*** were to begin, a motion was made to the U.S. ***Third Circuit Court of Appeals*** in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman*** (*supra*), whose opening paragraph reads:

> "on notice to, *inter alia*, [former] U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito***, [former] New York State Attorney General ["NYSAG"] ***Robert Abrams*** and [former] Chief U.S.

District Court Judge ***Charles L. Brieant*** for the Southern District of New York, (1) to recall and vacate the dispositions of this Court, as well as the Court below, as *inter alia*, "jurisdictionally infirm" (*FRCivP* Rule 60[b][4]) and as being the product of judicial corruption (*FRCivP* Rule 60[b][6]); (2) requesting that the relevant files, or certified copies, be forwarded to the ***Judiciary Committee of the United States Senate***, (3) and related relief, including directing "restitution" to the victims, including to affirmant and the United States."

2. To this day, thirty (30) months later, *nothing* in this decisive motion has been denied, controverted or opposed by ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) ***Robert Abrams*** (#1), ***Charles L. Brieant*** (#8) or anyone else.

Nevertheless, this motion lies fallow in that Court, because a decision on this unopposed motion would be a lethal blow to "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***"and to, *inter alia*, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2).

3. The "relevant files, or certified copies", as requested, were *not* "forwarded to the ***Judiciary Committee of the United States Senate***, and "*restitution*" was *not* provided to the victims, including the ***United States***, as this decisive motion requested.

Instead, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** for the U.S. Third Circuit, and others, inundated the ***Judiciary Committee*** and the televised audience with their *perjurious, fraudulent* and *deceitful* presentations, *knowing*, that the truth would result in the rejection of the nomination and demands for their criminal prosecutions, particularly since ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) reminded the public that "no person in this country, no matter how high or powerful, is above the law"!

4. Thus, although Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** and the ***U.S. Third Court Circuit Court of Appeals*** did not have the authority to grant "*immunity*" to any of the seventy-nine (79) persons and entities, in its Order of February 12, 1992, the proceedings themselves were null and void because of the lack of "subject matter jurisdiction", which no one has ever denied!

<u>Part "F"</u>:

1.. While the AGUS generally has discretionary authority as to what actions, if any, he will take against those involved in violating the criminal laws, such as ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), his obligation to "*report immediately*" to the President, Congress and Comptroller General, where there was an *unauthorized* expenditure or receipt of federal monies or services, is non-discretionary and mandatory!

2. <u>To repeat</u>: Unless ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) promptly "*reimburses*" the ***United States*** for the *unauthorized* federal expenditures made by and for him, AGUS ***Michael J. Mukasey*** cannot avoid "reporting immediately" that he has "ordered" ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) to *reimburse* the ***United States.***

<u>Smoking Guns III</u>

> "No ~~one~~ [attorney] can serve two masters, for either he will hate the one and love the other, or else he will be loyal to one and despise the other" (***Matthew*** 6:24).

<u>Part "A"</u>:

1. Also alleged in ***Geo. Sassower v Sisk*** (*supra*), a ***Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations*** ["RICO"] action, which ***Samuel A. Alito*** fraudulently listed as an "*official*" capacity action (Exhibit "A1A"), in order to conceal inquiry into its contents, alleged:

> "As independently investigated and reported by ***United Press International***:
>
> 'By signing three extraordinary agreements in 1985, however, [***Hyman***] ***Raffe*** agreed to foot all legal costs incurred by

> Feltman's firm [***Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman***, Esqs.] and Citibank's lawyers, [***Kreindler & Relkin P.C.***], for defending against [***Geo.***] ***Sassower***. In exchange, the court agreed to let him go free. The tab so far has come to more than $2.5 million, paid to both the Feltman and Kreindler firms. Raffe continues to pay with checks from his ***A.R. Fuels Co.*** business.'
>
> As long as Raffe keeps paying, and so the written agreements reads, he will not be incarcerated. So Raffe pays, pays and pays, to these 'judicial indulgence peddlers', including for litigation in the U.S. Third Circuit, under continuous threats that he will be incarcerated, as was plaintiff (***Geo. Sassower***, Esq., and as was ***Sam Polur***, Esq.), if he refuses.
>
> In Raffe's words 'they are bleeding me to death', but Raffe keeps paying because he has been convinced that 'all judges are crooks', and therefore he has no viable alternative."

2. At no time or place, has anyone ever denied that these "*extorted*" monies were the property of the ***United States*** and ***State of New York***, since they were imposed as a result of non-summary criminal contempt proceedings, Federal and NY State (***Gompers v. Bucks Stove***, 221 U.S. 418, 447; 17 ***C.J.S.***, *Contempt* §92, at p. 268; 21 ***NY Jur 2d.***, *Contempt*, §185, at p.568-569).

At no time or place, has anyone ever denied that these agreements whereby ***Hyman Raffe*** (#49) made payments in the "millions of dollars" to avoid incarceration, while those who refused to pay, were incarcerated were illegal (***Town of Newton v. Rumery***, 480 U.S. 386 [1987])!

Nevertheless, as also shown in ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*), aided and abetted by U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), Assistant U.S. Attorney ***Susan C. Cassell*** (#10) and NYSAG ***Robert Abrams*** (#1), these Federal and NY State monies were "*diverted*" to the New Jersey law firms of ***Clapp & Eisenberg, P.C.*** (#13) and ***Rothbard, Rothbard & Kohn***, Esqs. (#55) for litigation which was adverse to the interests of ***Hyman Raffe*** (#49)!

Since the amounts of Federal & NY State monies "*extorted*" from my client, ***Hyman Raffe*** (#49) is determined by my "legal" activities, including in New Jersey, I had "standing" on the issue, which no one, has also never disputed.

3. Consequently, at "monumental" federal cost and expense, U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) and U.S. District Court Judge ***Nicholas H. Politan*** prevented me from aborting this "*diversion*" of Federal and NY State monies or compelling *restitution* in favor of the ***United States*** and ***State of New York*** (see, ***U.S. v. Geo. Sassower***, 3rd Cir.-#89-5810)!

No member of the ***U.S. Senate***, which is inundated with former prosecutors, would have voted for the confirmation of ***Samuel A. Alito*** if he saw the scenario employed by U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** and U.S. District Court Judge ***Nicholas H. Politan***!

Thus, although requested, Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** and his Court concealed such information and documents during the confirmation hearings!

Part "B":

1A. Why, in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams*** (*supra*), a money damage tort action, would Article III federal jurists dragoon and/or accept the defense representation of U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** when sued in their *personal* capacities and where there is no 28 ***U.S.C.*** §2675 "notice of claim" had been filed, when they knew the merit dispositions made, by reason thereof, were "*null* and *void*"???

B. Why, in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams*** (*supra*), a money damage tort action, would NY State judges and officials dragoon and/or accept the defense representation of NYSAG ***Robert Abrams***, when *they* also *knew* the merit dispositions made, by reason thereof, were "*null* and *void*"???

2. The Answer: By having U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** defend these federal jurists and officials, in their "*personal*" capacities, in a money damage tort action, these Article III federal judges and officials, who were engaged in related egregious criminal activities, effectively *immunized* themselves, their ***New York State*** co-conspirators, and their patrons, ***Citibank, N.A.*** (#12), ***K&R*** (#33) and ***FKM&F*** (#22), from federal criminal prosecution!

Indeed, in the twenty-three (23) years since ***Citibank-K&R*** (#12-#33) began to *divert* monies payable "to the [federal] court" (Exhibit "B3A") to themselves, no attorney in the USDJ has attempted to prosecute anyone involved in such criminal activities, although a prosecution would have resulted in easy convictions!

3. By having U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** defend these corrupt Article III federal jurists and officials, in their "*personal*" capacities, in a money damage tort action, at *unauthorized* federal cost and expense, these criminally corrupt federal jurists, although federal entitlement was never denied, prevented him from supporting motions to capture federal monies which had been *diverted* to ***Citibank, N.A.*** (#12), ***K&R*** (#33) and ***FKM&F*** (#22) to *serve*, after *laundering*, as *sources* of *bribes*, without ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) ever being able to articulate any justification for his *treasonous, perfidious* and *treacherous* behavior!

4. By having NYSAG ***Robert Abrams*** (#1) defend rogue NY State jurists and officials, including himself, in their "*personal*" capacities in a money damage tort action, at *unconstitutional* NY State cost and expense, these criminally corrupt NY State jurists and officials, although entitlement was never disputed, prevented him from supporting motions to capture NY State and judicial trust monies of ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.*** (#48) monies, which were also *diverted* to ***Citibank, N.A.-K&R*** (#12-#33)and/or ***FKM&F*** (#22) to *serve*, after *laundering*, as *sources* of *bribes*, without him ever articulating any justification for his *treasonous, perfidious* and *treacherous* behavior!

5. Attorneys such as ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) and ***Robert Abrams*** (#1) who, in multiple respects, defrauded their clients and/or judicial trust, such as the ***United States***, the ***State of New York*** and ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.*** (#48), committed the "***Ultimate Legal Abomination***" and *must* be disbarred and/or it provides a potential defense to attorneys charged with lesser acts of misconduct (see, *e.g.*, ***Middlesex County Bar v. Garden State Bar***, 457 U.S. 423 [1982]) and therefore their activities must be exposed!

Smoking Guns IV

"In the country of the blind, the one-eyed man is king". (Apostolius, Proverbs).

Part "A":

1. Exhibit "A1A", which are the *written* "***Responses***" to questions posed by the ***Committee on the Judiciary of the United States Senate*** which, without more, and there is much more, compels the *immediate* removal of ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), as an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States since, it reveals that he was confirmed as a result of *perjury, fraud* and *deceit*!

2. By December 13, 2005, it was manifestly obvious that ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) and those acting on his behalf, were deceiving the media, as well as members of the ***United States Senate***.

Consequently, on that day, a few days short of one (1) month *before* "hearings" on the nomination were to begin by the ***Judiciary Committee of the United States Senate***, a motion in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman*** (*supra*) was made to the ***U.S. Third Circuit Court of Appeals***, on notice to, *inter alia*, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2).

This motion and its contents, albeit undenied, uncontroverted and unopposed, was concealed by that Court and Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** for the Third Circuit.

Nor, as explicitly requested, did the ***U.S. Third Circuit Court of Appeals*** forward the requested documents to the ***Judiciary Committee of the United States Senate***!.

Instead, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), Chief Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** and others inundated the ***Judiciary Committee*** and the American public with *perjurious*, *fraudulent* and *deceitful* testimony!

Part "B":

1. Exhibit "A1A" reveals that the nominee was requested to list "*all*" actions where he was "*sued*" in his "*personal capacity*".

Samuel A. Alito (#2) listed only one, an action which arose out of a automobile accident wherein his wife was the operator and wherein they were presumably insured for the incident.

2. "*Fraud and deceit*" includes concealing what is true, as well as uttering that which is false, the Court held, when it affirmed the conviction and term of incarceration of eighteen (18) years against a Chicago judge who, in financial straits, was receiving "kick-backs" from court-appointed receivers and borrowing monies from lawyers (***U.S. v. Holzer***, 816 F.2d 304 [7th Cir-1987]).

The misconduct of ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) and those he defended in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams*** (*supra*), at unauthorized federal cost and expense, were quantum leaps more egregious than that of Illinois Judge ***Reginald J. Holzer*** and warranted, at least, a similar term of incarceration.

3A. By letters, dated July 8 and 21, 2005, when the name of ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) began to appear in the media as being on the "short list" for nomination to be an Associate Justice on the Supreme Court of the United States, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) was given *written* reminder of his corrupt activities, as a U.S. Attorney, and that he had been *sued* in his *personal* capacity in ***Geo. Sassower v. Feltman*** (*supra*)!

Samuel A. Alito, (#2) intentionally and deliberately did not list ***Geo. Sassower v. Feltman***, *supra* in his written ***Responses*** to the ***Judiciary Committee*** (see, Exhibit "A1A"), because it would have, without more, "*doomed*" the nomination!

B. Had ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) been "scope certified" by any authorized official or jurist, the ***United States*** would have been automatically "substituted" as the defendant in ***Geo. Sassower v. Feltman*** (*supra*)!

However, in view of the above and similar allegations, *never* denied or controverted, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) never claimed he was "acting within the scope of his office".

Instead of being defended by a non-federal attorney, at non-federal cost and expense, Assistant U.S. Attorney ***Susan C. Cassell*** (#10), defended him at *unauthorized* federal cost and expense.

C. ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica*** and others intentionally, deliberately and conspiratorially, did not mention the existence of ***Geo. Sassower v. Feltman*** (*supra*) to the ***Judiciary Committee***, since it would have, without more, exposed "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***" and "*doomed*" the nomination!

4. In order to deceive, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), intentionally and deliberately failed to list other cases and proceedings wherein he was sued in his "*personal*" capacity wherein, *inter alia*, ***Geo. Sassower*** was a party, since they would have also "*doomed*" the nomination.

The *concealment*, without more, compels his *impeachment* (***Hazel-Atlas v. Hartford***, 322 U.S. 238)[1944])!

In these other actions, as in ***Geo. Sassower v. Feltman*** (*supra*), no authorized official in the USDJ or judge would "scope certify" ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), or the other federal defendants in that action, which would have resulted in an *automatic* "substituted" of the ***United States*** as the defendant!

5A. *Samuel A. Alito* (#2) intentionally, deliberately and conspiratorially omitted to list ***Geo. Sassower v. Carlson*** (930 F2d 583 [8th Cir.-1991]) which, in hard published print, revealed that ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) was "*sued*" in his "*personal*" capacity, in a money damage tort action was, without authorization, defended by Assistant Attorney General ***Barbara L. Herwig***, when they both *knew* they were defrauding the ***United States*** and that the merit dispositions made were null and void thereby.

The exposure of ***Geo. Sassower v. Carlson*** (*supra*) would have revealed, *inter alia*, that at monumental federal cost and expense, U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) consummated the transmission of the remaining cash assets of ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.*** (#48) of approximately $800,000 as a *source*, after *laundering*, of *bribes*!

B. When, in ***Geo. Sassower v. Carlson*** (*supra*), a motion was made to recapture the *diverted* federal monies in favor of the ***United States***, as instructed, Assistant Attorney General ***Barbara L. Herwig***, did not support such motion, nor did she articulate justification for her treasonous, perfidious and treacherous behavior!

Thus, in addition to the lack of "*jurisdiction*", the proceedings were "*null* and *void*" (***U.S. v. Throckmorton***, 98 U.S. 61 [1878])).

6. Since examination of the contents of ***Geo. Sassower v. Sansverie***, (EDNY 88Civ1423[ERK]) would have been a lethal blow to the nomination, ***Samuel A. Alito***, (#2) intentionally omitted this "*personal*" capacity action (see, Exhibit "A1A")!

7. ***Geo. Sassower v. Thornburgh*** (DC 89Civ2214 [NHJ]) was a money damage tort action, arising out of litigation exclusively in New Jersey, which had listed as a party defendant, ***Susan C. Cassell*** (#10), an Assistant U. S. Attorney in the office of U.S. Attorney ***Samuel A. Alito*** where he was also a "*real party in interest*", which he also failed to list (Exhibit "A1A").

8. ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) was a "*party in interest*" in ***Geo. Sassower v. Stiles*** (ED Pa.-96-7588 [WHY]).

9. ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) was also a "*party in interest*" in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman*** (1992 U.S. App. Lexis 38245, CCA3 - 91-8063), which Order was mentioned in the undenied, uncontroverted and unopposed motion of December 13, 2005, which Order exposed "***The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Criminal Enterprise***", and which "*immunized*" ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) for his participating in its criminally corrupt activities!

A panel member of this February 12, 1992 Order in ***Geo. Sassower v. Abrams/Feltman*** (3rd Cir. *supra*) was [now] Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge ***Anthony J. Scirica***.

Part "C":

1. Exhibit "A1A" reveals that the nominee, ***Samuel A. Alito***, was requested by the ***Judiciary Committee*** to describe, "in detail", "*all*" actions where he was a "*party in interest*", in his "*official*" capacity.

Samuel A. Alito (#2) *fraudulently* and *deceptively* described ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*) as an "*official*" capacity action, when he *knew* for multiple reasons, it was unquestionably a "*personal*" capacity action!

2. "On its face", this response by ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), as every Article III federal jurist *knows*, was *perjurious*", *fraudulent* and *deceitful*!

Every law student can easily and independently confirm that the response by ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) was *perjurious*", *fraudulent* and *deceitful*!

3. A federal judge or official, such as ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) *cannot* be sued in a money damage tort action in an "*official*" capacity action, since he had and has "*suit immunity*", as *every* Article III federal jurist and U.S. Attorney knows, and ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), was both!

4. ***Geo. Sassower v Sisk*** (*supra*), wherein ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2), was a money damage tort defendant, sued in his "*personal*" capacity, was a ***Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations*** ["RICO"] action, where punitive damages were requested.

A. The ***United States***, who is the "*party in interest*", in an "*official*" capacity action, was not sued in ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*), nor was any relief sought against it.

B. The ***United States*** cannot be sued in a RICO action.

C. Neither the ***United States***, nor any governmental entity is liable for punitive damages.

5. The complaint in ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*) was executed on June 14, 1991 bears a 1991 Docket Number. *However*, one (1) year *prior* thereto, in June 1990, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) ceased being the U.S. Attorney for the District of New Jersey.

There cannot be an "*official*" capacity action against an official, who *no* longer holds that office! The assertion by ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) that he was sued in an "*official*" capacity in a position which he did not hold, is both "*perjurious* and *asinine*!

Part "D":

1 Exhibit "A1A", reveals that, the nominee, ***Samuel A. Alito*** was requested by the ***Judiciary Committee*** to describe "*all*" actions where he was a "*party in interest*", in his "*official*" capacity.

The nominee, ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) listed eleven (11) such actions, which included ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*).

Although the request was made to describe these actions "in detail", the descriptions by ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) were "brief" and "deceptive".

2. *Nevertheless*, all or most of these actions, as described, appear to be money damage tort actions, and there is no question that ***Geo. Sassower v. Sisk*** (*supra*) was a "*personal*" capacity action.

To repeat, once again, a federal judge, official or employee, *cannot* be sued in a money damage tort action in an "*official*" capacity action, as every Article III federal jurist and U.S. Attorney *knows*. and ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) was both!

Thus, on its face, the response of ***Samuel A. Alito*** was manifestly perjurious!

3. *Furthermore*, a federal judge, official or employee is *never* a "*party in interest*" in an "*official*" capacity action (***Hafer v. Melo***, 502 U.S. 21 [1991]; ***Kentucky v. Graham***, 473 U.S. 159 [1985]). He/she, has no legal interest in such action or proceeding, has no control of the litigation, and does not satisfy any judgment recovered!

Consequently, for this additional reason, these eleven (11) cases, whether tort or otherwise, had to be "*personal*" capacity actions.

4. The statement by *any* Article III federal jurist, as was made by ***Samuel A. Alito*** (#2) in Exhibit "A1A" for the action of "***Dinorscio v. Greelish et al*** (District Court of New Jersey, Case No. 2:91 cv 00667-JWB)", that "in my capacity as U.S. Attorney I was sued", is manifestly ***perjurious***, since in that year he could *only* be sued in his "*personal*" capacity, since he was *no* longer a U.S. Attorney!

5. To say more, would be supererogatory!

Dated: White Plains, New York
July 28, 2008

Yours, etc.

GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq.
10 Steward Place,
White Plains, New York 10603
(914) 681-7196

Exhibit "A1A"

UNITED STATES SENATE
COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

NOMINEE FOR THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

GENERAL (PUBLIC)

Name: Full name (include any former names used).

Samuel Anthony Alito, Jr.

Position: State the position for which you have been nominated.

Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States

business of which you are or were an officer, or any partnership, ... or other business entity with which you are or were involved, have ever been a party or otherwise involved as a party in any civil, legal, or administrative proceedings. If so, please describe in detail the nature of your participation in the litigation and the final disposition of the case. Include all proceedings in which you were a party in interest.

Lawsuits in which I was named in my official capacity:

In Grompone, et al. v. Alito, et al. (District of New Jersey, Case No. 2:02-cv-04594-WHW), I was named along with two other Third Circuit judges in a pro se civil complaint alleging civil rights violations. The action was dismissed on defendants' motion on May 13, 2003.

In Dinorscio, et al. v. Greelish, et al. (District of New Jersey, Case No. 2:91-cv-00667-JWB), in my capacity as United States Attorney I was one of more than 20 defendants, named in a prisoner civil rights complaint. The action was dismissed on September 18, 1991.

In Watson v. Baker, et al. (District of New Jersey, Case No. 2:88-cv-02899-JFG), in my capacity as United States Attorney I was one of 10 named defendants. The action was terminated on March 13, 1990.

In Guyer v. Kunz, et al. (District of Delaware, Case No. 1:99-cv-00923-GMS), I was one of approximately 70 named defendants, including 17 other current and former Third Circuit judges, in a civil rights suit brought by a pro se frequent litigant. The district court dismissed the action and denied plaintiff's subsequent motions. The Court of Appeals affirmed on July 29, 2002.

In Noble v. Becker, et al. (District of Delaware, Case No. 1:03-cv-00906-KAJ), I was one of nearly 100 named defendants, including dozens of current and former federal judges serving within the Third Circuit, in a pro se suit brought by the same individual who brought *Guyer v. Kunz, et al.*, listed above. The action was dismissed as frivolous on January 15, 2004.

In Blackstone v. Becker, et al. (Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 2:94-cv-04080-JF), I was one of 17 named defendants, including five other Third Circuit judges, a district court judge, and a magistrate judge, in a pro se civil rights suit. The complaint

was dismissed as frivolous on July 7, 1994, and the Court of Appeals dismissed plaintiff's appeal as frivolous on January 31, 1995.

In Nickelson v. United States, et al. (Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 2:97-cv-03942-LR), I was one of 18 named defendants, including 11 other Third Circuit judges, in a pro se civil rights action. The complaint was dismissed on defendants' motion on January 8, 1998, and plaintiff's appeal was dismissed for lack of legal merit on May 8, 1998.

In Thompson v. Kramer, et al. (Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 2:95-cv-02003-RC), I was one of more than 30 named defendants, including 12 other Third Circuit judges, in a pro se civil rights action. On January 7, 1997, the court ordered that all pleadings and other papers filed after August 31, 1994 (encompassing all filings in this case) by the plaintiffs that name any federal judge, employee of the federal judiciary, judicial body, federal government employee, or agency, be stricken.

In Sassower v. Sisk, et al. (Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 2:91-cv-04047-JG), I was one of 22 named defendants in a pro se civil rights suit. The district court denied the plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis and closed the case on July 3, 1991. The Court of Appeals dismissed the plaintiff's appeal on September 23, 1991.

In Barlow v. Shanklin, et al. (Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 2:93-cv-00608-WD), I was one of five named defendants in a pro se civil rights suit. The complaint was dismissed as frivolous on May 12, 1993.

In Gaudelli v. Eisner, et al. (Western District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 00-CV-437), I was one of 25 named defendants, including 18 other current and former Third Circuit judges, in this pro se civil suit. The district court dismissed the complaint on defendants' motion on March 30, 2001; the Court of Appeals affirmed on September 17, 2001; and the Supreme Court denied certiorari on January 7, 2002.

Lawsuit in which I was named in my personal capacity:

Gerish v. Alito (Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County, Docket No. L-672-03). My wife and another motorist were involved in a minor traffic accident on June 9, 2000. The other motorist and her husband brought suit against my wife and me on January 15, 2003. The parties reached a settlement, and the case was dismissed on August 9, 2004.

Exhibit "A1B"

U.S. Department of Justice

[illegible]

Request Number: [illegible] Date of Receipt: [illegible]

Requester: [illegible]

Subject: [illegible]

Dear Requester:

In response to your Freedom of Information Act and/or Privacy Act request, the paragraph(s) checked below apply:

1. [ ] A search for records located in this office has revealed no records.
2. [X] A search for records located in the United States Attorney's office(s) for the District of New Jersey has revealed no records pertaining to this matter.
3. [ ] The records which you have requested cannot be located.
4. [ ] This office is [illegible] ... other subject/[illegible] mentioned in your request.
5. [X] This is the final action my office will take on ... request.

You may appeal my decision in this matter by writing within thirty (30) days, to:

Office of Information and Privacy
United States Department of Justice
[illegible]

PUCCINI CLOTHES, LTD.

SCHEDULE OF CASH DISBURSEMENTS

JUNE 4, 1980 TO FEBRUARY 4, 1982

Schedule II

Date	Check No.	Payee	Explanation	Amount
1980				$ 112.20
6/4	2419	Cash	Petty Cash	600.01
	2420	Blue Cross - Blue Shield	Hospitalization	19.00
	2421	Sendo	Payment of Accounts Payable	130.00
6/5	2422	Estate of M. Kaufman	Payment of Accounts Payable	342.00
6/6	2423	Eugene Dann	Payroll	363.50
	2424	Robert Sorrentino	Payroll	147.99
	2425	Helen Joerg	Payroll	118.00
	2426	Robert Dann	Payroll	600.00
	2427		Selling Commission	100.00
	2428	B & R Carting	Payment of Accounts Payable	187.75
	2429	U.P.S.	Payment of Accounts Payable	34.33
6/8	2430	ABC Trans National	Payment of Accounts Payable	55.57
	2431	I.T.T.	Payment of Accounts Payable	45.00
	2432	Daily News Record	Payment of Accounts Payable	80.00
	2433	Pan American Airways	Dues and Subscriptions	50.00
	2434		Dues and Subscriptions	118.00
	2435	Paul & Jimmy	Payment of Accounts Payable	1,166.02
	2436	N.Y. Telephone	Payment of Accounts Payable	
6/8		National Bank of North America	Purchase of Commercial Paper	25,000.00
				6.80
6/12	2437	Knickerbocker Printers	Payment of Accounts Payable	201.06
6/13	2438	Eugene Dann	Payroll	363.90
	2439	Robert Sorrentino	Payroll	113.90
	2440	Helen Joerg	Payroll	92.15
	2441	Robert Dann	Payroll	755.04
	2442	Nat'l Bank of N.A.	Federal Payroll Tax Depository	2.00
6/18		Nat'l Bank of N.A.	Bank Charge	166.70
6/13	2443	NY State Income Tax	State Payroll Tax Depository	60,270.28
6/11		Nat'l Bank of N.A.	Purchase of Commercial Paper	35,000.00
6/18			Purchase of Commercial Paper	500.00
7/1	2444	Domenico De Lisi	Severance Pay	300.00
	2445	Robert Dann	Selling Commission	20.20
			Payment of Accounts Payable	900.20

Exhibit "B1A"

ARUTT, NACHAMIE, BENJAMIN, LIFKIN & GRECHNER, P.C.
SPECIAL ACCOUNT
575 MADISON AVENUE
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10017

10/10 19 83 $\frac{1-12}{210}$ 114

PAY TO THE ORDER OF Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. $ 6,300.00

Six thousand and three hundred dollars 00 DOLLARS

ARUTT, NACHAMIE, BENJAMIN, LIFKIN & GRECHNER, P.C.

CHEMICAL BANK

⑆021000128⑆ 092-019307⑈ ⑈0000630000⑈

Exhibit "B1B"

№ 14471 TRANSCRIPT OF JUDGMENT

JUDGMENT DEBTOR: Surname	Given Name	Trade or Profession	Last Known Address	JUDGMENT CREDITOR Name and Address	ATTORNEY FOR JUDGMENT CREDITOR Name and Address
Puccini Clothes Ltd.			c/o Lee Feltman Esq. 55 E. 53rd St. N.Y., N.Y.	George Sassower Esq. 213 Sound View Ave. White Plains, N.Y. 10606	Pro-Se
Dann	Eugene		10 S1 Channel Dr. Hewlett N.Y.		
Sorrentino	Robert		21 Sue Court North Babylon N.Y.		

JUDGMENT DOCKETED	JUDGMENT RENDERED	AMOUNT OF JUDGMENT	REMARKS: DATE AND MANNER OF CHANGE OF STATUS OF JUDGMENT
Date 4/29/82, Bk. & Idx. 1115	Court Sup. County N.Y. Index No. 21208/79 Date 4/29/82 Bk. & Idx. 1115	Total $ 27,912.42	

EXECUTION When Issued	SATISFIED When	How and to Whom Issued	
When Returned Unsatisfied			STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF NEW YORK: I, NORMAN GOODMAN, Clerk of the County of New York, hereby certify that the above is a correct transcript from the Docket of Judgments in my office. In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my name and affixed my official seal this 4 day of May. Norman Goodman, County Clerk, New York County

Exhibit "B2A"

Exhibit "B3A"

ORDERED, that such fines shall be payable to ~~Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. as attorneys for Citibank, N.A. and Irene M. Karr individually and as Executors of the Estate of Milton Kaufman, and it further~~ the Court,

A. R. FUELS, INC.
2125 MILL AVENUE
BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11234

$\frac{1-32}{210}$ № 49898

TO THE ORDER OF | DATE | CHECK NUMBER | AMOUNT OF CHECK

Kreindler & Relkin P.C.
Attorneys for the Estate of Milton Kaufman | 5/19/1986 | 49898 | $23,270.

A. R. FUELS, INC.

National Westminster Bank USA
116 5TH AVENUE - NEW YORK, N.Y. 10011

NON NEGOTIABLE

A. R. FUELS, INC.
2125 MILL AVENUE
BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11234

№ 49658 $\frac{1-32}{210}$

TO THE ORDER OF | DATE | CHECK NUMBER | AMOUNT OF CHECK

KREINDLER & RELKIN P.C.
AS ATTORNEYS FOR THE
ESTATE OF MILTON KAUFMAN | 4.17.86 | 49658 | $

A. R. FUELS, INC.

NON NEGOTIABLE

National Westminster Bank USA
116 5TH AVENUE NEW YORK, N.Y. 10011

A. R. FUELS, INC.
2125 MILL AVENUE
BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11234

№ 50850 $\frac{1-32}{210}$

TO THE ORDER OF | DATE | CHECK NUMBER | AMOUNT OF CHECK

Kreindler & Relkin P.C.
As Attorney for the estate
of Milton Kaufman | 9/4/1986 | 50850 | $15,516

A. R. FUELS, INC.

A. R. FUELS, INC.
2125 MILL AVENUE
BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11234

№ 50842 $\frac{1-32}{210}$

TO THE ORDER OF | DATE | CHECK NUMBER | AMOUNT OF CHECK

Kreindler & Relkin P.C.
As Attorney for estate
of Milton Kaufman | 9/4/1986 | 50842 | $300,000.00

A. R. FUELS, INC.

National Westminster Bank USA
116 5TH AVENUE - NEW YORK, N.Y. 10011

NON-NEGOTIABLE

A. R. FUELS, INC.
2125 MILL AVENUE
BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11234

№ 50843 $\frac{1-32}{210}$

TO THE ORDER OF | DATE | CHECK NUMBER | AMOUNT OF CHECK

Kreindler & Relkin P.C.
As Escrow Agent | 9/4/1986 | 50843 | $100,000.00