

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
GEORGE SASSOWER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ROBERT ABRAMS, FRANCIS T. MURPHY, XAVIER
C. RICCOBONO, CHARLES L. BRIEANT, JAMES
L. OAKES, A.R. FUELS, INC., HYMAN RAFFE,
HOWARD BERGSON, FRED L. SHAPIRO, DONALD
DIAMOND, CAROLYN CAIRNS OLSON, and
MATTHEW T. CROSSON,
Defendants.

Docket No.
92 Civ. 8515 [PKL]

Recusal Affirmation

-----X
This affirmation made under the penalty of perjury, is made in good faith, for the recusal of U.S. District Court Judge PETER K. LEISURE ["Leisure"].

1a. Initially, affirmant reminds this Court that his "general bias" motion of November 27, 1992 remains uncontroverted, unopposed and unadjudicated.

b. HYMAN RAFFE ["Raffe"] in order to avoid incarceration under a trialess criminal conviction, in addition to paying in "excess of \$2,000,000.00", agreed to execute releases to "all the judges of the Southern and Eastern District of New York".

c. Since affirmant contends and has undertaken legal action to nullify such release agreements, including the return of the extortion monies from the pockets of the "Brieant-Murphy syndicate", this Court has a financial interest in this litigation and a general bias situation exists mandating recusal.

2a. Every attorney in this action knows that U.S. District Court Judge PETER K. LEISURE ["Leisure"] has been "fixed" and "corrupted", and will act only when Chief U.S.

District Court Judge CHARLES L. BRIEANT ["Brieant"] desires that he act, and that Judge Leisure will only act in accordance with the desires of Chief Judge Brieant ["Corruption Incarnate"].

b. In effect, this matter is being adjudicated by Chief Judge Brieant, as the evidence clearly indicates.

3a. Assistant N.Y. State Attorney General ANGELA M. CARTMILL ["Cartmill"] and everyone else knows this Court does not have Eleventh Amendment subject matter jurisdiction in this matter, and that she cannot simultaneously represent, in the same litigation, ROBERT ABRAMS ["Abrams"], the statutory fiduciary, and those who raped and ravaged the trust assets.

b. However, since Cartmill knows that Judge Leisure has been "fixed" and "corrupted", and will not adjudicate anything contrary to the desires of Chief Judge Brieant-- "Corruption Incarnate" -- she represents those that the Constitution and law says she may not.

4a. In this personal capacity action, Assistant U.S. Attorney ROBERT W. SADOWSKI ["Sadowski"] knows he cannot represent federal defendants, at federal cost and expense, without a 28 U.S.C. §2679 "scope" certificate or refuse to support the recapture of monies payable "to the federal court", but diverted to the pockets of the Brieant cronies.

b. However, since Sadowski knows that Judge Leisure has been "fixed" and "corrupted", and will not adjudicate anything contrary to the desires of Chief Judge Brieant-- "Corruption Incarnate" -- Sadowski defrauds the federal government by his unauthorized representation.

5a. All the evidence is that when affirmant surfaced the "fixing memorandum" of U.S. District Court Judge WILLIAM C. CONNER ["Conner"], he added Judge Conner -- the "fixer" -- as the co-defendant, not U.S. District Court Judge CHARLES S. HAIGHT ["Haight"] -- "the fixee".

b. However, Chief Judge Brieant desires each of his lackeys in the judiciary and U.S. Attorney's Office to falsely assert that affirmant added Judge Haight to Sassower v. Sapir (87 Civ. 7135 [CSH]), as a co-defendant, Sadowski and Judge Leisure comply.

6a. Where affirmant claims equitable relief, to. wit., nullification of the without due process, physical exclusion order, Sadowski-Brieant absurdly make the money damage immunity defense.

b. The pre-programmed Judge Leisure, adopts such asinine argument.

7a. The Sadowski-Brieant papers and Judge Leisure decision of February 10, 1993 are inundated with false statements, perjury and plain garbage, which conflicts with documented facts and an undenied Notice to Admit.

b. The fact is no one, at any time, with any specificity has been able to set forth any frivolous procedure ever undertaken by affirmant.

c. This "frivolous" myth was fabricated to conceal this judicial criminal racket in which Brieant is a prime participant.

8. The record, as evidenced by affirmant's motion of February 1, 1993 is clear, as long as Raffé "pays-off" the Briant-Murphy syndicate, he does not have to pay affirmant the monies due him -- at least as long as there are corrupt judges like Judge Leisure and Chief Judge Briant in control of "the wheel" for their selection.

WHEREFORE, it is prayed that this application be granted in all respects.

Dated: February 22, 1993

GEORGE SASSOWER [GS-0512]
Plaintiff, pro se
16 Lake Street,
White Plains, N.Y. 10603
914-949-2169

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

On February 22, 1993, I served a true copy of this Recusal Affirmation by mailing same in a sealed envelope, first class, with proper postage thereon, addressed to Assistant U.S. Attorney Robert W. Sadowski, 100 Church Street, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10007; Assistant Attorney General Angela M. Cartmill, 120 Broadway, New York, NY 10271, and Howard M. Bergson, Esq., 21 Technology Drive, East Setauket, N.Y. 11733 that being their last known addresses.

Dated: February 22, 1993

GEORGE SASSOWER