


JON

NDNY
88 cv 563
CHOLAKIS(0611)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated Term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the United States Courthouse in the City of New York, on the 26th day of September, one thousand nine hundred and ninety.

PRESENT: HONORABLE J. EDWARD LUMBARD,
HONORABLE JON O. NEWMAN,
HONORABLE FRANK X. ALTIMARI,
Circuit Judges.



GEORGE SASSOWER,
Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

88-6203

HON. A. FRANKLIN MAHONEY, as Presiding
Justice of the Appellate Division,
Third Judicial Dept.; WILFRED FEINBERG;
EUGENE H. NICKERSON; FRANCIS T. MURPHY;
MILTON MOLLEN; XAVIER C. RICCOBONO;
ALVIN F. KLEIN; DAVID S. SAXE; IRA
GAMMERMAN; ALLAN L. WINICK, DENIS DILLON;
ROBERT ABRAMS; ANTHONY MASTROIANNI; and
THE DISTRICT COURT OF NASSAU COUNTY,
Respondents-Appellees.

O R D E R

George Sassower appeals pro se from the July 18, 1988, judgment of the District Court for the Northern District of New York (Con. G. Cholakis, Judge) dismissing his complaint against various federal and state judges and other officials. This appeal is a renewal of allegations involved in prior frivolous litigation brought by this appellant. See Sassower v. Sansverie, 885 F.2d 9 (2d Cir. 1989); Sassower v. Sheriff of Westchester County, 824 F.2d 184 (2d Cir. 1987). Sassower makes generalized claims of corruption, unsupported by factual allegations, in a continuing effort to relitigate his disbarment. See Matter of Sassower, 125 A.D. 2d 52, 512 N.Y.S.2d 203 (2d Dep't), appeal dismissed, 70 N.Y.2d 691, 518 N.Y.S.2d 964 (1987). Moreover, the

Exhibit "A".


complaint appears to have been filed in violation of the injunction barring Sassower from proceeding on any claim that arises out of the administration of the estate of Eugene Paul Kelly until an award of attorney's fees has been satisfied. See Sassower v. Signorelli, Nos. 77C1447, 78C124 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 19, 1984). In any event, the pending claim is frivolous for numerous reasons, including lack of a proper pleading, an impermissible effort to collaterally attack state disbarment proceedings, and judicial immunity.

To the extent that the appeal seeks recusal of some or all of the judges considering this appeal, such relief is denied as lacking in factual support and procedurally improper.

Since appellant has previously been warned that filing frivolous appeals will subject him to an injunction requiring leave of court to file further papers in this Court, see Sassower v. Sansverie, 885 F.2d at 11, and that warning has not been heeded, it is hereby ORDERED that appellant shall show cause within 20 days, by filing a written response with the Clerk of this Court, why an injunction should not be entered by this Court prohibiting appellant from filing any further papers in this Court unless leave of this Court has first been obtained to file such papers.

The judgment of the District Court is affirmed.

N.B. This summary order will not be published in the Federal Reporter and should not be cited or otherwise relied upon in unrelated cases before this or any other court.




Circuit Judges.