

1996 WL 509743

Only the Westlaw citation
is currently available.

United States District Court, E.D. New York.

Hyman RAFFE, individually and on behalf
of PUCCINI CLOTHES, LTD., Plaintiffs,
v.

CITIBANK, N.A., and Jerome H. Barr,
individually, and as executors of the
Estate of Milton Kaufman, Kreindler
& Relkin, P.C., Lee Feltman, Feltman,
Karesh & Major, Arutt, Nachamie,
Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C.,
Rashba & Pokart, P.C., Eugene Dann,
Robert Sorrentino, Robert Abrams, as
Attorney General of the State of New
York, John V. Lindsay, and Supreme Court
of the State of New York, Defendants.

No. 84 CV 0305.

|
Aug. 21, 1996.

Attorneys and Law Firms

[George Sassower](#), White Plains, New York.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

[NICKERSON](#), District Judge:

***1** Twelve years after this action was dismissed, *Raffe v. Citibank, N.A.*, No. 84 CIV 305 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 1, 1984), and nine years after the court denied the motions of George Sassower, plaintiff's former attorney, to vacate his conviction for criminal contempt and to disqualify the judge, *Raffe v. Citibank,*

N.A., No. 84 CIV 305 (E.D.N.Y. May 1, 1987), Sassower now submits the following: (1) a notice of motion for an order divesting Citibank, N.A., Jerome H. Barr, Esq., Kreindler & Relkin, P.C., and/or the Estate of Milton Kaufman of monies allegedly diverted to themselves, and a request to grant this motion by default; (2) a notice of motion for an order (a) vacating his criminal contempt conviction, (b) directing Lee Feltman, Esq. to account for the assets of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., (c) directing the Attorney General of the State of New York to direct Feltman to make such an accounting, and (d) directing restitution to Puccini Clothes, Ltd. for assets unlawfully diverted; (3) an affirmation seeking to disqualify the judge under [28 U.S.C. §§ 144](#) and [455](#); and (4) a complaint against the judge pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 372\(c\)](#).

On October 11, 1985 United States District Court Judge Connor permanently enjoined Sassower from attempting to intervene in any action against defendants in any federal court involving the Puccini controversy. *Raffe v. John Doe*, 619 F.Supp. 891 (S.D.N.Y.1985). The first and second motions violate this injunction. The Clerk of the Court is ordered to refuse to accept the papers for filing and to return them to Sassower.

The court denies the motion to disqualify for the reasons stated in its May 1, 1987 order and will forward the [§ 372\(c\)](#) complaint to the Public Integrity Section of the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice.

So ordered.

All Citations

Not Reported in F.Supp., 1996 WL 509743

End of Document

© 2026 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S.
Government Works.