1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE STALDING COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY	
2		
3		
4	Public Hearing on the Appellate Division First Department	
5	Departmental Disciplinary Committee, the Grievance Committees of the	
6	Various Judicial Districts, and the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct	
7		
8	Hearing Room 6 Empire State Plaza	
9	Albany, NY	
10	June 8, 2009 10:35 a.m.	
11		
12	PRESIDING:	
13	Senator John Sampson Chair	
14	Senate Standing Committee on Judiciary	
15	PRESENT:	
16	Senator John A. DeFrancisco (R)	
17	Senator Bill Perkins	
18		
19		
20	•	
21		
22		
23		
2.4		

## 1 LIST OF PARTICIPANTS STATEMENT 2 Martin R. Gold 3 Alan W. Friedberg 9 - 34 First Department DDC 4 Christine C. Anderson, Esq. 34-48 5 48-63 Kevin McKeown 6 Hon. Thomas A. Klonick 7 Robert H. Tembeckjian Commission on Judicial Conduct 63-79 8 80-97 Justice Duane A. Hart 9 98-109 Pamela Carvel 10 109-120 11 Paul H. Altman 120-128 Luisa C. Esposito 12 129-143 William Galison 13 143-158 14 Eleanor Capogrosso, Esq. 15 Robert Ostertag NYS Bar Association 158-169 16 John A. Aretakis, Esq. 169-182 17 182-185 Michael Kelly 18 Kathryn Grace Jordan 185-191 End Discrimination Now 19 192-203 20 James A. Montagnino, Esq. 204-216 21 Ruth M. Pollack, Esq. 217-219 Kevin Patrick Brady 22 Carl Lanzisera 23 219-225 Americans for Legal Reform 24

1	single issue has been adjudicated.
2	So I have given proof, I've put them on
3	CDs, it's all there, that the system is
4	corrupted far beyond what anyone can really
. 5	imagine. I really encourage you to take a
6	look at my proof because it's prima facie.
7	Okay?
8	CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: We will definitely
9	do that, Mr. Brady. I give you my word.
10	MR. BRADY: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: Thank you very
12	much, Mr. Brady.
13	The next witness is Carl Lanzisera,
14	Mr. Lanzisera.
15	MR. LANZISERA: How you doing. When
16	you go in the subway, you see a sign that
17	says
18	CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: I just want to let
19	everybody know, about 2:45 we're going to
20	take a break for another 15 minutes and then
21	I'll be back. I just want to check into
22	session. Okay?
23	Mr. Lanzisera?
24	MR. LANZISERA: Yes. Carl.

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: All right, we've 1 got another eight minutes. Let's go ahead. 2 MR. LANZISERA: If you go in the 3 subway, you see a sign: "If you see 4 something, say something." If you go in the 5 airports, "If you see something, say 6 something." If you go to a marina, they say 7 "If you see something, say something." 8 If you go in the courts and you see 9 something and you say something, that's the 10 worst day of your life. And everybody is 11 here with that same complaint. 12 The first two speakers, Martin and 13 Alan -- or Alan and Martin -- they really 14 should have a Broadway skit, because they're 15 two jokers. Either they don't have --16 CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: Mr. Lanzisera, you 17 know, Mr. Lanzisera -- no, no, I understand 18 it, but everybody's -- listen to me, please. 19 Everybody here is afforded the courtesy and 20 respect. No character assassinations. This 21 is a public hearing to get to the issues 22 because we want solutions. 23 MR. LANZISERA: Well, I was arrested

24

for telling jokes, so --

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: We don't want to arrest you, all right.

MR. LANZISERA: They had a grand jury hearing and I told lawyer jokes.

But I'm in the investment business

45 years. In the investment business, if
you have a complaint, you go to now FINRA or
the NASD, it's called, or the SEC. Can you
imagine if the SEC or FINRA was run by
stockbrokers, what would happen after
40 years, 50 years? Bernie Madoff would
probably get six months in jail.

The legal profession is run by lawyers for a hundred years. The first thing the grievance committee did when they were assigned to uphold the Constitution of the United States, was to give themselves judicial immunity. Even you have -- don't have judicial immunity. You have to answer to us. But they don't have to answer to anyone.

In the securities business, if you have a complaint against a stockbroker, you go to

the NASD for a few dollars and you have a 1 public hearing before three panelists. 2 panel, their complete history is listed --3 where they eat, where they sleep, what cases 4 they have. And you a right to eliminate any 5 of the three panelists if there's the 6 7 slightest inkling. With the grievance committee, you have 8 no idea who the commission is and what 10 they're doing. 11 The hearings are all public. 12 findings are more than 60 percent of the 13 cases the public gets an award. CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: 14 Which proceeding

is this?

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LANZISERA: In NASD or FINRA, mandatory arbitration.

And if there's a finding against you, it's made public, not only in the state that you operate in but throughout the world. Thirty-five years ago, there was a finding that I didn't buy a stock at the best price and I had to give someone \$250. Thirty-five years later, if you look up my Social

Security number, you will see it on my record. If there's a finding against me and they ever took my license away, it would be throughout the United States.

In the legal profession, if there's a finding in New York State, the lawyer can go to New Jersey, get his license over there and practice law in New York. They claim they can't follow the lawyer and his past history. That's a bunch of malarkey.

That's why I made the original comments that I made. There's no reason in today's day and age you can't follow someone with a Social Security number throughout the world.

The findings are public, the hearings are public, you face your accuser, you defend yourself, you know exactly what they say.

As a result of my personal history, I started a group, Americans for Legal Reform. If you look at it, that's our newsletter. I've been doing this for more than 20 years. In there you see a list of lawyers and judges that we have found that do things

that we feel are questionable.

We can't say what they do, because your Senator friend to your left from Syracuse, one of his lawyer friends in Syracuse sued me for libel by innuendo. There's only maybe three cases in the world of libel by innuendo, and I had to spend \$100,000 defending myself because I put his name on that list and he felt he was damaged.

They're so afraid of their reputation.

Why are they any different than a stockbroker or a plumber? If I go to Consumer Affairs and there are 500 complaints against a plumber, I can look at them all and evaluate whether they're frivolous or real. If you want to open an account with a stockbroker, you should check with FINRA and find out his history.

But if you're a lawyer, as Jack
Solowitz, my divorce attorney, one of my
divorce attorneys, stole millions from 49
people. The 49th didn't know about the
48th; the 48th didn't know about the 47th,
and so on. Eventually he did go to jail.

And when he comes out of jail, he could have been a lawyer again.

It's all secret, it's the only profession it's a secret. It's a bunch of malarkey about their reputation. The lawyers as a group are considered the most criminal group in America. Their position in life is less than a New York City taxicab driver. And they're trying to, by secrecy, protect themselves. It's a good-ole-boy brotherhood that somebody has to stop. And if it's not stopped by people like you, the public is not going to take it forever.

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: Mr. Lanzisera, I thank you very much for that comment.

Ladies and gentlemen, I have to take about a 15-minute break; I have to register in session. And I'll be back to conclude these hearings. Thank you very much.

(Proceedings adjourned at 2:45

p.m.)