

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT
United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square - Room 1702
New York, N.Y. 10007

GEORGE LANGE III
Clerk

November 14, 1997

Ms. Doris L. Sassower
283 Soundview Avenue
White Plains, N.Y. 10606

RE: Judicial Conduct Complaint

Dear Ms. Sassower:

This letter is to acknowledge receipt of your assorted documents dated November 6 (?), 1997, received in this office on November 6, 1997.

To the extent that said documents are intended to be three (3) separate judicial conduct complaints, they are being returned to you because of the following reasons:

1. the document you have submitted entitled *PREFATORY NOTICE*, which we assume is intended to be the statement of facts allowed for by Rule 2(b), is unacceptable as is: the statement of facts accompanying each individual complaint form should name only the one individual subject judicial officer named on the complaint form and address only the facts upon which the claim of misconduct against that particular individual subject judicial officer is based [see Rule 2(e)]; furthermore it appears that the statement of facts do not comport with the rules [see Rule 2(b) and (d)] in that your *APPENDIX* submitted as *EXHIBIT A* to the *PREFATORY NOTICE* is an extension of the statement of facts therefore causing the statement of facts to exceed the allowable page limit. Statement of facts is limited to five typewritten pages.

2 complaints are not in proper format [see Rule 2(a) and (b)];

3. insufficient copies [see Rule 2(e)].

You are reminded that non-compliance with the rules only delays the filing and processing of your submissions since documents that fail to comply will be returned.

Sincerely,

George Lange III, Clerk

By:


Bernard F. Madsen Jr.

Deputy Clerk

Enclosures