

From: elena@judgewatch.org
Sent: Friday, December 5, 2025 8:50 AM
To: 'mstephen@law.harvard.edu'
Cc: 'dlebreton@law.harvard.edu'

Subject: **Empirical Evidence for Your Scholarship, Plus Request for Amicus Curiae & Counsel Assistance -- Federal Lawsuit Pursuant to the Guarantee Clause & in Vindication of the First Amendment & Constitutional, Lawful NYS Governance**

Attachments: [cja-oct-29-2025-amended-complaint.pdf](#)

TO: [Harvard Law School Professor Matthew C. Stephenson](#)

I am co-founder and director of the non-partisan, nonprofit citizens' organization [Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. \(CJA\)](#), with a decades of primary source documentary evidence germane to your teaching of "anti-corruption laws" and your "research focus[ing] on the application of positive political theory to public law, particularly in the areas of administrative procedure, anti-corruption, judicial institutions, and separation of power".

Reflecting this is the major federal lawsuit that CJA and I have brought on our own behalf and "*on behalf of the People of the State of New York & the Public Interest*", pursuant to the Guarantee Clause of the U.S. Constitution, against The New York Times and 33 other press defendants, chronicling their *knowingly* false, "fake news", corruption-abetting, election-rigging journalism, destroying constitutional, lawful governance in New York State and seeking judicial recognition of causes of action for "journalistic fraud" and "institutional reckless disregard for truth".

Above-attached and here-linked is the [October 29, 2025 amended verified complaint](#).

In addition to furnishing the lawsuit to you for your scholarship and teaching, perhaps you would be interested in participating in it as an *amicus curiae* or as paid counsel to CJA, which, because I am a non-lawyer, I cannot represent.

As will swiftly become apparent to you, the lawsuit is a summary judgment case, as there is NO defense to it, factually or legally. The SOLE issue is the magnitude of compensatory, presumed, and punitive damages – a jury determination that will reasonably be a great many billions of dollars. The district court's irregular handling of the lawsuit, highlighted by my [November 19th letter to the district court judge](#), only reinforces this – as does my [drafted responding affidavit, for submission in January](#), *disposing, at the outset, and before defendants are even served*, of a subject matter jurisdiction defense of standing.

I would appreciate speaking with you about the foregoing, as soon as possible.

Needless to say, if you are unable to participate in the lawsuit, I would greatly appreciate your recommendations of other constitutional law scholars/professors and civic-minded attorneys – or, better yet, your forwarding this e-mail to them, directly, so that no time is wasted in putting together a crackerjack legal team for what is clearly monumental and fundamental to democracy and "a Republican Form of Government".

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

www.judgewatch.org

914-421-1200

elena@judgewatch.org