Subject: Re: Empirical Test: The "Gatekeepers" -- Alive & Well, Protecting The New York Times
Date: 8/22/2006, 10:21 AM
From: Mark Mitchell <m.mitchell@cjrdaily.org>
To: Ctr for Judicial Accountability <judgewatchers@aol.com>

Dear Ms. Sassower,

While I would certainly be interested in seeing any evidence that the New York Times has fraudulently covered up the misdeeds of Elliot Spitzer, I am not finding it in your press release or on your Web site. To be perfectly honest, after reading your press release, I cannot quite tell what it is you are alleging. Perhaps you could summarize your allegations and evidence in a few short lines.

Thanks very much.

Mark Mitchell
Asst. Managing Editor
CJR Daily

On Aug 22, 2006, at 9:32 AM, Ctr for Judicial Accountability wrote:

> TO: COLUMBIA JOURNALISM REVIEW DAILY
> COLUMBIA JOURNALISM REVIEW
> "America's Premier Media Monitor"
>
> IF the "gatekeepers" are gone, why has there been NO report of this first ever public interest lawsuit against The New York Times for journalistic fraud?
>
> This is an election year and the lawsuit chronicles The Times' election-rigging for Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton & NY Attorney General Eliot Spitzer, engineering their anticipated landslide victories.
>
> Attached is the Center for Judicial Accountability's third press release about the lawsuit -- as well as the two that preceded it -- also posted on our website, www.judgewatch.org, accessible *via* the sidebar panel "Suing The New York Times".
>
> Are the "gatekeepers" gone? Let this be an empirical test:
>
> TESTING,
> TESTING,
> ONE
> <press-release-3.pdf>
>
> TWO
> <press-release-1.pdf>
>
> THREE:
> <press-release-2.pdf>