Subject: Re: The Most Piercing Nail in The New York Times' Coffin

Date: 8/23/2006, 12:16 PM

From: Michael Wolff <michael@burnrate.com>

To: Ctr for Judicial Accountability <judgewatchers@aol.com>

It's not all about you.

Dear Mr. Wolff,

Missing from your important article, "Panic on 43rd Street", in Vanity Fair's September 2006 issue, is ANY mention of CJA's groundbreaking lawsuit against The New York Times for journalistic fraud. Why is that?

Did you read our two press releases about the lawsuit, which I e-mailed you on June 9th in response to your e-mail to me? How about the substantiating verified complaint, the record of the lawsuit, and our underlying 15-year correspondence with <u>The Times</u> -- ALL posted on CJA's website, <u>www.judgewatch.org</u>? Do you disagree that it is the most piercing nail in <u>The New York Times</u>' coffin?

The disclosure of your bias in the penultimate paragraph of your article -- that contemplating "NO New York Times" is akin to "G-d is dead" -- invites the conclusion that you are unwilling to land upon <u>The</u> <u>Times</u> a fatal reputational blow. What do you think?

Meantime, enclosed is our third press release about the lawsuit -- now being circulated to bloggers and other journalists as an "empirical test".

* * * * * * * * *

IF the "gatekeepers" are gone, why has there been NO report of this first-ever public interest lawsuit against <u>The New York Times</u> for journalistic fraud?

This is an election year and the lawsuit chronicles <u>The Times</u>' election-rigging for Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton & NY Attorney General Eliot Spitzer, engineering their anticipated landslide victories in November.

Attached is the Center for Judicial Accountability's third press release about the lawsuit -- as well as the two that preceded it -- also posted on our website, <u>www.judgewatch.org</u>, accessible *via* the sidebar panel "Suing The New York Times".

Are the "gatekeepers" gone? Let this be an empirical test:

TESTING, TESTING, ONE:

Attachment converted: MWolff2004:press-release-3.pdf (PDF /«IC») (000E0937)

TWO: