

**Subject: FOR KEN AULETTA: Empirical Test: The "Gatekeepers" -- Alive & Well, Protecting The New York Times**

**Date:** 8/31/2006, 10:43 AM

**From:** Ctr for Judicial Accountability <judgewatchers@aol.com>

**To:** newsbreaks@newyorker.com, newsbreaks@advancemags.com

**Organization:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

I would appreciate if you would forward this to Ken Auletta -- as e-mail sent to AulettaNews-subscribe@topica.com comes back as undeliverable.

Your confirmation would be additionally appreciated.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower

----- Original Message -----

**Subject: Empirical Test: The "Gatekeepers" -- Alive & Well, Protecting The New York Times**

**Date:** 8/29/2006, 9:27 AM

**From:** Ctr for Judicial Accountability <judgewatchers@aol.com>

**To:** AulettaNews-subscribe@topica.com

**Organization:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

TO: KEN AULETTA, THE NEW YORKER

IF the "gatekeepers" are gone, why has there been NO report of this first ever public interest lawsuit against The New York Times for journalistic fraud?

This is an election year and the lawsuit chronicles The Times' election-rigging for Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton & NY Attorney General Eliot Spitzer, engineering their anticipated landslide victories in November.

Attached is the Center for Judicial Accountability's third press release about the lawsuit -- as well as the two that preceded it -- also posted on our website, www.judgewatch.org, accessible via the sidebar panel "Suing The New York Times".

Are the "gatekeepers" gone? Let this be an empirical test:

TESTING,  
TESTING,  
ONE

 press-release-3.pdf (102KB)

TWO  
THREE:

 press-release-1.pdf (101KB)

 press-release-2.pdf (86KB)

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
914-421-1200