

From: FOIL <FOIL@nycourts.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 2:40 PM
To: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
Subject: **RE: FIFTH Complaint to the Unified Court System's IG pertaining to Attorney Grievance Committees, plus FOIL request**

This e-mail responds to your correspondence dated 3/7/23 which pursuant to FOIL, requested:

- (1) amendments, if any, to the [administrative order establishing the Office of Inspector General furnished to me by a March 20, 2013 letter of the Unified Court System's then records access officer in response to a FOIL request I made exactly 10 years ago today, March 7, 2013;](#)
- (2) records reflecting what office of the Unified Court System is responsible for handling the "thoughts, comments or suggestions" received by "The Excellence Initiative", prominently featured at [the top of the Unified Court System's homepage](#) – and whose linked webpage is [here](#).

For your first request, the Office of Court Administration has conducted a diligent search of available records and has not located any records responsive to your request.

For your second request, it has long been established that FOIL does not require responses to specific questions, but instead governs release of government records. See Public Officers Law § 89(3)(a) (indicating that an agency is not required to create a record in response to a request). "There is nothing in the Freedom of Information Law or the Open Meetings Law that requires that government officers or employees respond to questions, supply information in response to questions or offer explanations for their governmental activities." (COOG AO-16632a (June 18, 2007)). This denial is without prejudice to submit a new request naming what records are sought.

Pursuant to Public Officers Law § 89(4)(a), you have thirty (30) days to take a written appeal of this determination. You may appeal by writing:

Nancy J. Barry, Chief of Operations
FOIL Appeals Officer
25 Beaver Street, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10004

Or, you may submit such appeal by email to: FOILappeal@nycourts.gov

From: FOIL
Sent: Monday, March 13, 2023 3:46 PM
To: 'Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)' <elena@judgewatch.org>

Subject: **RE: FIFTH Complaint to the Unified Court System's IG pertaining to Attorney Grievance Committees, plus FOIL request**

The Office of Court Administration acknowledges receipt of your FOIL request dated 3/7/23, seeking:

request records pertaining to the Unified Court System's procedures and protocols with respect to conflicts of interest of its Inspector General. Based on [the Unified Court System's chart of its administrative structure](#), I assume complaints filed with the Inspector General in which the Inspector General is interested, or against the Inspector General, would be handled by either the Chief Administrative Judge or by the Unified Court System's Counsel's Office which also handles FOIL. Additionally, I request:

- (1) amendments, if any, to the [administrative order establishing the Office of Inspector General furnished to me by a March 20, 2013 letter of the Unified Court System's then records access officer in response to a FOIL request I made exactly 10 years ago today, March 7, 2013](#);
- (2) records reflecting what office of the Unified Court System is responsible for handling the "thoughts, comments or suggestions" received by "The Excellence Initiative", prominently featured at [the top of the Unified Court System's homepage](#) – and whose linked webpage is [here](#).

We have begun to process your request and we will provide you with a status update on or before April 10, 2023.

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 11:34 AM
To: IG <ig@nycourts.gov>; Sherrill Spatz <sspatz@nycourts.gov>
Cc: FOIL <FOIL@nycourts.gov>

Subject: FIFTH Complaint to the Unified Court System's IG pertaining to Attorney Grievance Committees, plus FOIL request

TO: NYS Unified Court System Inspector General Sherrill Spatz, ESQ.

Above-attached is my complaint form of today's date pertaining to this FIFTH complaint that I now initiate with you pertaining to the Unified Court System's attorney grievance committees of its four judicial departments. CJA's MENU webpage from which the records of these five complaints are accessible is [here](#) – and the referred-to e-mail that I sent you yesterday is below with the above-attached March 6, 2023 letter addressed to AGC-1 Chairs Anello and Reardon, to which you are an indicated cc. Your familiarity with the corruption and violations of conflict of interest rules giving rise to this FIFTH complaint, as pertains to AGC-1 and AD-1, is reflected by the nine e-mails beneath yesterday's e-mail, to which the IG's office was cc'd.

Please advise as to how you will be handling this FIFTH complaint, in which, by reason of your nonfeasance, you are directly interested.

By copy of this e-mail to the Unified Court System's records access officer, I request records pertaining to the Unified Court System's procedures and protocols with respect to conflicts of interest of its Inspector General. Based on [the Unified Court System's chart of its administrative structure](#), I assume complaints filed with the Inspector General in which the Inspector General is interested, or against the Inspector General, would be handled by either the Chief Administrative Judge or by the Unified Court System's Counsel's Office which also handles FOIL. Additionally, I request:

- (1) amendments, if any, to the [administrative order establishing the Office of Inspector General furnished to me by a March 20, 2013 letter of the Unified Court System's then records access officer in response to a FOIL request I made exactly 10 years ago today, March 7, 2013](#);
- (2) records reflecting what office of the Unified Court System is responsible for handling the "thoughts, comments or suggestions" received by "The Excellence Initiative", prominently featured at [the top of the Unified Court System's homepage](#) – and whose linked webpage is [here](#).

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Monday, March 6, 2023 8:40 PM
To: 'trussell@tgllaw.com' <trussell@tgllaw.com>; 'dkewalramani@mosessinger.com' <dkewalramani@mosessinger.com>; 'mzauderer@ganfershore.com' <mzauderer@ganfershore.com>; 'smollen@herrick.com' <smollen@herrick.com>; 'pbellacosa@phillipslytle.com' <pbellacosa@phillipslytle.com>; 'reyn@uptowncommunitychurch.com' <reyn@uptowncommunitychurch.com>; 'schin@whitecase.com' <schin@whitecase.com>; 'christianc@dany.nyc.gov' <christianc@dany.nyc.gov>; 'rscohen@cohenclairlans.com' <rscohen@cohenclairlans.com>; 'vfutterman@londonfischer.com' <vfutterman@londonfischer.com>; 'msgcpa@msgcpa.com' <msgcpa@msgcpa.com>; 'jjain@lpgmlaw.com' <jjain@lpgmlaw.com>; 'amy.legow@gmail.com' <amy.legow@gmail.com>; 'cmorel@cravath.com' <cmorel@cravath.com>; 'michaelrobertsesq@msn.com' <michaelrobertsesq@msn.com>; 'Joanna.rotgers@mmc.com' <Joanna.rotgers@mmc.com>; 'evicens@cgsh.com' <evicens@cgsh.com>; 'watsont@dany.nyc.gov' <watsont@dany.nyc.gov>; 'Robert.abrahams@srz.com' <Robert.abrahams@srz.com>; 'madimey@gairgair.com' <madimey@gairgair.com>; 'mcamiloesq@gmail.com' <mcamiloesq@gmail.com>; 'anta.cisse-green@nyumc.org' <anta.cisse-green@nyumc.org>; 'wdahill@cwdlaw.com' <wdahill@cwdlaw.com>; 'aluxenberg@weitzlux.com' <aluxenberg@weitzlux.com>; 'virginia.reilly@yahoo.com' <virginia.reilly@yahoo.com>; 'jsilber@abendsilber.com' <jsilber@abendsilber.com>; 'judywhite@lacwkr.com' <judywhite@lacwkr.com>; 'james.catterson@pillsburylaw.com' <james.catterson@pillsburylaw.com>;

'bseravello@baretzbrunelle.com' <bseravello@baretzbrunelle.com>; 'ysin@ssrga.com' <ysin@ssrga.com>; 'lduran@paulweiss.com' <lduran@paulweiss.com>; 'ebarrow@bakerlaw.com' <ebarrow@bakerlaw.com>; 'areuhurt@aol.com' <areuhurt@aol.com>
Cc: 'ranello@maglaw.com' <ranello@maglaw.com>; 'abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com' <abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com>; 'mwilliams@wmhlaw.com' <mwilliams@wmhlaw.com>; 'ad1-agc@nycourts.gov' <ad1-agc@nycourts.gov>; 'ig@nycourts.gov' <ig@nycourts.gov>

Subject: Reconsideration of CJA's Oct. 10, 2022 complaint vs AG Letitia James (#2022.2240), addressed, as required, to Chairs Anello & Reardon, both DISQUALIFIED for interest

TO: First Department Attorney Grievance Committee Members

I have received NO response to my below February 1, 2023 e-mail to you for FULL Committee reconsideration of [Chief Attorney Dopico's January 20, 2023 letter](#) dumping my August 15, 2022 complaint against Chairs Anello and Reardon, etc. This is recounted by my above-attached and [here-linked letter of today's date](#) for FULL Committee reconsideration of [Chief Attorney Dopico's February 6, 2023 letter](#) dumping my [October 10, 2022 complaint against Attorney General James](#).

TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE. Please advise expeditiously, as I will otherwise be making application to appropriate supervisory authorities, starting with the Appellate Division, First Department which, pursuant to [§§1240.4 and 1240.5 of the Rules for Attorney Disciplinary Matters](#), appointed you, counsel, and staff to the First Department Attorney Grievance Committee.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Wednesday, February 1, 2023 5:22 PM

To: 'trussell@tgllaw.com' <trussell@tgllaw.com>; 'dkewalramani@mosessinger.com' <dkewalramani@mosessinger.com>; 'mzauderer@ganfershore.com' <mzauderer@ganfershore.com>; 'smollen@herrick.com' <smollen@herrick.com>; 'pbellacosa@phillipslytle.com' <pbellacosa@phillipslytle.com>; 'reyn@uptowncommunitychurch.com' <reyn@uptowncommunitychurch.com>; 'schin@whitecase.com' <schin@whitecase.com>; 'christianc@dany.nyc.gov' <christianc@dany.nyc.gov>; 'rscohen@cohenclairlans.com' <rscohen@cohenclairlans.com>; 'vfutterman@londonfischer.com' <vfutterman@londonfischer.com>; 'msgcpa@msgcpa.com' <msgcpa@msgcpa.com>; 'jjain@lpgmlaw.com' <jjain@lpgmlaw.com>; 'amy.legow@gmail.com' <amy.legow@gmail.com>; 'cmorel@cravath.com' <cmorel@cravath.com>; 'michaelrobertsesq@msn.com' <michaelrobertsesq@msn.com>; 'Joanna.rotgers@mmc.com' <Joanna.rotgers@mmc.com>; 'evicens@cgsh.com' <evicens@cgsh.com>; 'watsont@dany.nyc.gov' <watsont@dany.nyc.gov>; 'Robert.abrahams@srz.com' <Robert.abrahams@srz.com>; 'madimey@gairgair.com' <madimey@gairgair.com>; 'mcamiloesq@gmail.com'

<mcamiloesq@gmail.com>; 'anta.cisse-green@nyumc.org' <anta.cisse-green@nyumc.org>;
'wdahill@cwdlaw.com' <wdahill@cwdlaw.com>; 'aluxenberg@weitzlux.com'
<aluxenberg@weitzlux.com>; 'virginia.reilly@yahoo.com' <virginia.reilly@yahoo.com>;
'jsilber@abendsilber.com' <jsilber@abendsilber.com>; 'judywhite@lacwkrr.com'
<judywhite@lacwkrr.com>; 'james.catterson@pillsburylaw.com' <james.catterson@pillsburylaw.com>;
'bseravello@baretzbrunelle.com' <bseravello@baretzbrunelle.com>; 'ysin@ssrga.com'
<ysin@ssrga.com>; 'lduran@paulweiss.com' <lduran@paulweiss.com>; 'ebarrow@bakerlaw.com'
<ebarrow@bakerlaw.com>; 'areuhurt@aol.com' <areuhurt@aol.com>

Cc: 'ranello@maglaw.com' <ranello@maglaw.com>; 'abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com'
<abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com>; 'mwilliams@wmhlaw.com' <mwilliams@wmhlaw.com>; 'ad1-
agc@nycourts.gov' <ad1-agc@nycourts.gov>; 'AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov' <AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov>; 'ig@nycourts.gov' <ig@nycourts.gov>

**Subject: Reconsideration for Aug. 15, 2022 complaint vs AGC-1 Chairs, Etc. (##2022-1727, 1728) --
PLUS expeditious determination to investigate October 10, 2022 complaint vs AG Letitia James, yet to
be even acknowledged,**

TO: First Department Attorney Grievance Committee Members

This is to request reconsideration by the FULL Committee of Chief Attorney Dopico's [January 20, 2023 letter to me](#) disposing of my below August 15, 2022 e-mail to you entitled "Each AGC-1 member's duty to ensure the proper functioning of AGC-1: Complaint vs Chairs Anello & Reardon & Potentially Vice-Chairs Oquendo & Williams, Etc.", with its two above attachments: [my August 15, 2022 complaint form](#) and [my February 11, 2021 complaint against Attorney General James and Solicitor General Underwood](#) for their litigation fraud in *CJA v. Cuomo, et al.*, challenging the constitutionality and lawfulness of the state budget and pay raises, including Attorney General James' own.

Chief Attorney Dopico's January 20, 2022 letter, whose "RE clause" reads:

"Matter of Sherrill R. Spatz, Esq.
Docket No. 2022.1727

Matter of Grievance Committee
Docket No. 2022.1728",

is the first and only response I received to my August 15, 2021 complaint, which was never acknowledged, and which does not advise me of my right to "submit a written request for reconsideration to the chair of the Committee" pursuant to [§1240.7\(e\)\(3\) of the Rules for Attorney Disciplinary Matters](#) – a rule which also expressly allows for reconsideration by "the full Committee".

As both Chairs Anello and Reardon are the subject of the August 15, 2022 complaint, they are plainly disqualified. Likewise, Vice-Chair Williams for the reasons the complaint sets forth and which are reinforced by my subsequent [October 10, 2022 complaint against Attorney General James](#), which I also e-mailed to you and with an attached [complaint form](#), without any acknowledgment or response since.

With respect to reconsideration, Chief Attorney Dopico's January 20, 2023 letter is three paragraphs, excepting its final "Thank you." The one-sentence first paragraph reads: "We have received your complaint and determined that no action is warranted by the Committee at this time." – not identifying the "time" it might be "warranted".

The three-sentence second paragraph then begins with the words “Specifically, as you know”, thereupon reciting falsehoods rebutted by my August 15, 2022 complaint and forming its basis:

- FALSELY attributing to “the Committee” the dismissal of my [February 11, 2021 complaint](#) – when it was Chief Attorney Dopico who dismissed it as to both Attorney General James and Solicitor General Underwood;
- FALSELY attributing to “the Committee” the denials of my reconsideration requests, when, if they were not also by Chief Attorney Dopico, were by Chair Anello and/or Chair Reardon, who, thereafter, did not respond to my successive correspondence asking if the denials were by them – or by Vice-Chairs Oquendo and/or Williams; and
- FALSELY attributing to “the Court” the actions of Appellate Division, First Department Deputy Clerk Sowah, whose cover-up of the indefensibility of what had occurred included her FALSEHOOD that “there is nothing in the files to indicate that the Committee erred in its resolution of [the] complaints” and that “upon reconsideration, the complaints received another level of review by the Committee”.

The two-sentence final third paragraph then concludes:

“Your current filing, in which you, *inter alia*, urge that Chairs of the Committee and others should be ‘prosecuted for corruption’ for the dismissal of your complaint is plainly without merit and therefore warrants no further consideration. We are therefore closing the above-referenced dockets.”

In other words, Chief Attorney does not identify ANY of the facts and evidence that the August 15, 2022 complaint furnished as establishing that “the Chairs of the Committee and others should be ‘prosecuted for corruption’” in connection with the dismissal of the February 11, 2021 complaint – and does not assert that their complained-about conduct does not violate [New York’s Rules of Professional Conduct](#) which AGC-1 is charged with enforcing.

Seven weeks after I e-mailed you my August 15, 2022 complaint, I e-mailed an [October 6, 2022 FOIL request](#) to the OCA and AGC-1, including Chairs Anello and Reardon, for the roster of AGC-1’s 42 members after “9/30/22”. Only OCA responded, by [an October 21, 2022 e-mail](#), supplying the [requested roster](#) whose most relevant change was that Vice-Chair Oquendo was now gone from AGC-1, replaced by AGC-1 Member Wells. By then, I had already e-mailed my October 10, 2022 complaint to the [same roster](#) as I had e-mailed my August 15, 2022 complaint – both times to Ms. Wells at her law firm e-mail: trussell@tgllaw.com. By this e-mail, I request that Vice-Chair Wells forward same to ALL 42 AGC-1 members, as I do not have the e-mail addresses for all 42.

Finally, and by way of supplement to my unacknowledged and unresponded-to [October 10, 2022 complaint against Attorney General James](#) for her conflict-of-interest-driven litigation fraud in [CJA v. JCOPE, et al.](#) – to which my August 15, 2022 complaint, by its included July 21, 2022 e-mail to Chairs Anello and Reardon, first alerted you – the status of the lawsuit is as follows: On November 23, 2022, Supreme Court Justice Gandin rewarded Attorney General James’ flagrant violations of Executive Law §63.1 and litigation fraud by “throwing” the case by a decision whose fraudulence, starting with its “protectionism” of Attorney General James, a respondent representing herself and her fellow respondents, I chronicled by a 31-page single-spaced, “legal autopsy”/analysis in support of a December 16, 2022 reargument/vacatur

motion (NYSCEF ##[121](#), [119](#), [120](#)). The response of Attorney General James' "of counsel" assistant attorney general, to whom I gave a consented-to two-week extension on the condition that he furnish the motion to his "superiors – starting at the top with respondent Attorney General James – so that appropriate, if way belated, steps are taken consistent with professional and ethical responsibilities", was further litigation fraud by his opposition papers – the particulars of which I set forth by my January 19, 2023 reply affidavit (NYSCEF [#128](#)).

One need only read the FULLY-DOCUMENTED June 6, 2022 verified petition in [CJA v. JCOPE, et al.](#) to know that this state's governance and its People are being irreparably and catastrophically injured by Attorney General James' conflict-of-interest-driven litigation fraud – compounding and replicating what she and Solicitor General Underwood did in [CJA v. Cuomo, et al.](#), the subject of my February 11, 2021 complaint against them. Please, therefore, confirm, and as soon as possible, that you are opening an investigation of the October 10, 2022 complaint, for which, as is obvious from the litigation records of these two lawsuits, you have the open-and-shut, *prima facie* EVIDENCE not only of violations of New York's Code of Professional Conduct, but of its Penal Laws.

For your convenience, CJA's webpage from which everything can be conveniently accessed is [here](#).

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 6:52 PM

To: reyn@uptowncommunitychurch.com; schin@whitecase.com; christianc@dany.nyc.gov; rscohen@cohenclairlans.com; vfutterman@londonfischer.com; msgcpa@msgcpa.com; jjain@lpgmlaw.com; dkewalramani@mosessinger.com; amy.legow@gmail.com; cmorel@cravath.com; emarkewich@mrlawllp.com; michaelrobertsesq@msn.com; Joanna.rotgers@mmc.com; evicens@cgsh.com; watsont@dany.nyc.gov; trussell@tgllaw.com; mzauderer@ganfershore.com; Robert.abrahams@srz.com; madimey@gairgair.com; pbellacosa@phillipslytle.com; mcamiloesq@gmail.com; anta.cisse-green@nyumc.org; wdahill@cwdlaw.com; kgray@proskauer.com; aluxenberg@weitzlux.com; cmoerdler@strook.com; smollen@herrick.com; virginia.reilly@yahoo.com; jsilber@abendsilber.com; judywhite.@lacwkrr.com

Cc: ranello@maglaw.com; abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com; reo@roesq.com; mwilliams@wmhllaw.com; ad1-agc@nycourts.gov; AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov; ad-1-clerks-office@nycourts.gov; ig@nycourts.gov

Subject: Each AGC-1 member's duty to ensure the proper functioning of AGC-1: Complaint vs Chairs Anello & Reardon & Potentially Vice-Chairs Oquendo & Williams, Etc.

TO: First Department Attorney Grievance Committee Members

As members of the First Department Attorney Grievance Committee (AGC-1), you are each responsible for its proper functioning.

This is to advise that AGC-1's two chairs, Robert J. Anello, Esq. and Abigail T. Reardon, Esq., must be removed and prosecuted for corruption – as, likewise, staff attorneys, starting with Chief Attorney Jorge Dopico and Deputy Chief Attorney Angela Christmas, and such personnel at the Appellate Division, First Department as Deputy Clerk of the Court Margaret Sowah and at the Office of Court Administration as Inspector General Sherrill Spatz.

The open-and-shut EVIDENCE mandating this is the record of their handling of the above-attached fully-documented February 11, 2021 conflict-of-interest/misconduct complaint against Attorney General Letitia James and Solicitor General Barbara Underwood, filed by the non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA), of which I am director and co-founder.

CJA's website www.judgewatch.org posts that record, as known to me, consisting of the February 11, 2021 complaint, whose EVIDENTIARY webpage accompanying the complaint is [here](#), plus my correspondence thereon and their correspondence to me, posted on a separate webpage, [here](#).

The last of my correspondence to Chairs Anello and Reardon is my below July 21, 2022 e-mail entitled "STILL WAITING..." which attached [my March 15, 2022 letter to them](#), being sent to them a third time because I had received NO response from them when I e-mailed it on March 15, 2022 and then again on May 4, 2022. They have STILL not responded to the letter, featuring my informational requests by its "RE clause":

"(1) The identity of the unspecified 'Chair or Vice-Chair of the Committee' who determined the January 27, 2022 reconsideration request in 'Matter of Letitia A. James, Esq. Docket No. 2021.0843' and February 23, 2022 reconsideration request in 'Matter of Barbara Underwood, Esq. Docket No. 2021-0488' – and the basis for the determinations 'that the file should remain closed';

(2) The current roster of AGC-1's 42 members." (underlining in the original)

[AGC-1's current roster with your names](#) I received on [June 3, 2022 from the OCA](#), in response to [my March 18, 2022 FOIL request](#), re-sent on [May 4, 2022](#), both times cc'ing Chairs Anello and Reardon. However, as to the identities of "the unspecified 'Chair or Vice-Chair of the Committee' who determined the [January 27, 2022 reconsideration request](#) and [February 23, 2022 reconsideration request](#), I do not know who they are. If they are Vice-Chair Ricardo Oquendo, Esq. or Vice-Chair Milton Williams, Jr., Esq. – or if either of them knew of how these dispositive reconsideration requests were being disposed of and did nothing – they must, with Chairs Anello and Reardon, *et al.*, be removed and prosecuted for corruption, consistent with your duty under the Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.3 "Reporting Professional Misconduct" and such other provisions as Rule 5.1 "Responsibilities of Law Firms, Partners, Managers and Supervisory Lawyers".

TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE and my below July 21, 2022 e-mail furnishes two reasons. To this, a third reason must now be added: [on August 5, 2022, Mayor Adams nominated Mr. Williams as chair of the](#)

[New York City Conflicts of Interest Board](#), subject to the advice and consent of the New York City Council.

The only response I received to my below e-mail was on that same July 21, 2022 date: an [e-mail from AD-1 Deputy Clerk Sowah](#) entitled “Complaint Against AGC Chief Attorney and Staff”, not indicating cc’s to Chairs Anello and Reardon or OCA Inspector General Spatz and attaching an indefensible [July 21, 2022 letter](#), ALSO not indicating them as cc’s. Among the letter’s frauds is the pretense that my January 27, 2022 and February 23, 2022 reconsideration requests had been determined by “the Committee” – in other words, by you. Deputy Clerk Sowah’s pertinent sentences, attributing the reconsideration dispositions to you, read:

“following the initial determination by the Chief Attorney to dismiss your complaints, upon reconsideration, the complaints received another level of review by the Committee which agreed with the original determination not to proceed with your complaints

By correspondence dated March 9, 2022, you sought another reconsideration of the Committee’s determinations. By letters dated March 11, 2022, you were advised that there was no procedure after denial of reconsideration and that the Committee could be of no further assistance.” (underlining added).

Suffice to add that seven years ago, I gave explicit testimony concerning the deceit that complaints filed with the attorney grievance committees are determined by “the committee”, as opposed to staff. This was at the August 11, 2015 public hearing in Manhattan of the Commission on Statewide Attorney Discipline, appointed by then Chief Judge Jonathan Lippman, three of whose members are current AGC-1 members: Mr. Williams, Mark Zauderer, Esq., and Devika Kewalramani, Esq. The VIDEO of my explosive August 11, 2015 testimony before Mr. Zauderer and Ms. Kewalramani – Mr. Williams not being present – is posted on CJA’s website [here](#), and on that same webpage is posted the EVIDENCE I furnished the Commission in substantiation.

To further assist you in discharging your duties, above-attached is a completed complaint form for this complaint against AGC-1 Chairs Anello and Reardon, AGC-1 Deputy Chief Attorney Christmas, AD-1 Deputy Clerk of the Court Sowah, OCA Inspector General Spatz, and, potentially, AGC-1 Vice-Chairs Oquendo and Williams for the reasons herein particularized, with EVIDENCE. Please deem it as sworn by me as true under penalties of perjury. Investigation will disclose the involvement of others within your ethics jurisdiction. It is certainly hard to imagine that AD-1 Deputy Clerk Sowah, upon “obtain[ing] and review[ing] the Grievance Committee’s files” pertaining to the February 11, 2021 complaint – as her July 21, 2022 letter purports – did not furnish them to AD-1 Clerk of the Court Susanna Molina Rojas and AD-1 Presiding Justice Rolando Acosta for their examination of the flagrant corruption they establish, *prima facie*.

I am available to answer questions – and would be pleased to do so, including under oath. Meantime, I request that AGC-1 Vice-Chairs Oquendo and Williams assume the responsibility of ensuring that this serious and substantial e-mail is forwarded to those AGC-1 members whose e-mail addresses I was unable to locate. These are non-lawyers: Susan M. Cofield, Eleazar F. Bueno, C. Willem Houck, and Toby R. Winer and lawyers: Vianny M. Paulino-Pichardo, Esq., Darren Rosenblum, Esq., and New York County Clerk Milton Tingling. If the e-mail addresses I have found for Amy Legow, Esq. and Terel Watson, Esq. are incorrect, or any others, I also request that this e-mail be correctly forwarded to them.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:18 PM
To: 'ranello@maglaw.com' <ranello@maglaw.com>; 'abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com' <abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com>; 'ad1-agc@nycourts.gov' <ad1-agc@nycourts.gov>; 'AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov' <AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov>
Cc: 'ad-1-clerks-office@nycourts.gov' <ad-1-clerks-office@nycourts.gov>; 'ig@nycourts.gov' <ig@nycourts.gov>

Subject: Still waiting: (1) CJA's March 15, 2022 letter to AGC-1 Chairs Anello & Reardon; (2) AD-1 Deputy Clerk Sowah's March 11, 2022 letter

TO: 1st Dept. Attorney Grievance Committee Chairs Robert Anello, Esq. & Abigail Reardon, Esq.

I received no response from you to my above-attached and [here-linked March 15, 2022 letter to you](#) – of which I sent you a reminder by the below May 4th e-mail entitled “STATUS? CJA's March 15, 2022 letter RE: Feb. 11, 2021 complaint vs Attorney General James, Solicitor General Underwood & complaints resulting therefrom vs AGC-1 Chief Attorney Dopico & attorney staff”.

Unless I hear from you to the contrary, I will assume you willfully and deliberately did not respond to either – and will proceed, accordingly.

I take this opportunity to alert you and the cc's herein – the 1st Dept. Appellate Division Clerk's Office and the OCA Inspector General – that notwithstanding Deputy Clerk Sowah's above-attached and [here-linked March 11th letter to me](#) stating:

“Your complaint against Chief Attorney, Jorge Dopico, Esq., and attorney staff of the Attorney Grievance Committee regarding the handling of your complaints against Barbara Underwood, Esq., Docket No. 2021.0488, and Letitia James, Esq., Docket No. 2021.0843, has been referred to this office. **You will receive a formal response after a full review of your concerns.**” (bold added),

I received no “formal response”, nor response of any kind.

How about you? Did you receive any response or communication from Deputy Clerk Sowah to my complaint against Chief Attorney Dopico, *et al.*, [formalized with a March 9, 2022 complaint form](#)? How

about from OCA Inspector General Spatz, who I have cc'd on all my e-mails to you and with whom I filed an [August 27, 2021 complaint against Chief Attorney Dopico](#), without response from her.

In the interest of economy, I request that Deputy Clerk Sowah confirm that no "formal response" was sent to me – or, if it was, that she re-send it, by e-mail, together with such correspondence as was had with you, AGC-1, and OCA Inspector General Spatz pertaining to the "full review" that her March 11th letter promised, so that, likewise, I may be guided accordingly.

TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE. Based upon the open-and-shut, *prima facie* EVIDENCE furnished by my [February 11, 2021 complaint](#) and further reinforced by my [April 27, 2021 supplement](#), Attorney General James should, by now, have been disbarred and indicted for corruption, if not already convicted and behind bars. Instead, she has been posturing herself as a courageous public officer who takes on public corruption, no matter how high and wherever it leads. On that basis, and after bringing down Governor Cuomo on sensationalized charges that were nothing compared to what she has been actively covering up and colluding in, with Cuomo and NY's other highest public officers, for years, involving the state budget, the pay raises, and the collapse of constitutional governance, she announced her candidacy for governor in October 2021 ([here, with video](#)), which she then quit in December 2021 on the pretense that she had important work to continue as AG, such as going after former President Trump ([here](#), [here](#), & [here](#)) because, as she asserts in pursuit of him, "no one is above the law" ([Jan. 18, 2022 press release](#); [July 6, 2022 press release](#)). Her re-election as AG in November 2022, on Democratic and Working Families lines, is all but certain because of press collusion and rigging – and it is the press, in tandem with NY's corrupt and politicized ethics and criminal entities, that has enabled AG James to corrupt and politicize her office, throughout her 3-1/2 year tenure, perpetuating the flagrant corruption and unconstitutionality of NYS governance, of which she was fully knowledgeable *before* her November 2018 election – as chronicled by my February 11, 2021 complaint.

Be advised that AG James' *modus operandi* of litigation fraud to defeat meritorious lawsuits of patriotic citizens challenging unconstitutionality, illegality, and fraud by NY public officers and entities – inherited from her AG predecessors, Solicitor General Underwood among them – has continued, unabated – as likewise the reward she procures in the form of fraudulent judicial decisions. This is what is again happening in CJA's latest lawsuit involving the state budget and the pay raises, including AG James' own – where, once again, she is a defendant, representing her fellow defendants. In the unlikely event you are unaware of this most recent corruption-exposing lawsuit, *CJA, et al. v. JCOPE, et al.* – featured on the [front-page of the June 13th New York Law Journal, above-the-fold](#) – here's the [NYSCEF link to it](#). My July 8, 2022 ethics complaint against AG James, for her conflict-driven litigation fraud in the lawsuit, filed with the new Commission on Ethics and Lobbying in Government – and which, upon information and belief, is its FIRST complaint – is [here](#).

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Wednesday, May 4, 2022 4:07 PM

To: ranello@maglaw.com; abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com; ad1-agc@nycourts.gov; AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov

Cc: ad-1-clerks-office@nycourts.gov; ig@nycourts.gov

Subject: STATUS? -- CJA's March 15, 2022 letter RE: Feb. 11, 2021 complaint vs Attorney General James, Solicitor General Underwood & complaints resulting therefrom vs AGC-1 Chief Attorney Dopico & attorney staff

TO: 1st Dept. Attorney Grievance Committee Chairs Robert J. Anello, Esq. & Abigail T. Reardon, Esq.

I have no record of response to my above-attached and [here-linked March 15, 2022 letter to you](#), sent by the below two e-mails, alerting you to a fourth instance of fraud and deceit by AGC-1 attorneys under your supervision – this being by ACC-1 Deputy Chief Attorney Angela Christmas.

If you responded, please re-send. If not, please advise, expeditiously, when your response will be forthcoming.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 6:02 PM

To: 'ranello@maglaw.com' <ranello@maglaw.com>; 'abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com' <abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com>; 'ad1-agc@nycourts.gov' <ad1-agc@nycourts.gov>; 'AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov' <AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov>

Cc: 'ad-1-clerks-office@nycourts.gov' <ad-1-clerks-office@nycourts.gov>; 'ig@nycourts.gov' <ig@nycourts.gov>

Subject: corrected-link in attached letter -- AGC-1 Chairs Anello & Reardon: NOW A FOURTH TIME -- Feb. 11, 2021 complaint vs Attorney General James, Solicitor General Underwood & complaints resulting therefrom vs AGC-1 Chief Attorney Dopico & attorney staff

My attached letter, sent by the below e-mail, had an incorrect link, in footnote 3, for [AGC-1's April 3, 2001 letter to me](#). It has now been corrected, is above-attached, and is so-posted.

Apologies.

Elena Sassower

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 5:00 PM

To: 'ranello@maglaw.com' <ranello@maglaw.com>; 'abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com' <abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com>; 'ad1-agc@nycourts.gov' <ad1-agc@nycourts.gov>; 'AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov' <AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov>

Cc: 'ad-1-clerks-office@nycourts.gov' <ad-1-clerks-office@nycourts.gov>; 'ig@nycourts.gov' <ig@nycourts.gov>

Subject: AGC-1 Chairs Anello & Reardon: NOW A FOURTH TIME -- Feb. 11, 2021 complaint vs Attorney General James, Solicitor General Underwood & complaints resulting therefrom vs AGC-1 Chief Attorney Dopico & attorney staff

TO: 1st Dept. Attorney Grievance Committee Chairs Robert J. Anello, Esq. & Abigail T. Reardon, Esq.

The above attached and [here-linked letter to you](#) alerts you to what is now a fourth instance of fraud and deceit by AGC-1 attorneys under your supervision – this being two March 11, 2022 letters signed by AGC-1 Deputy Chief Attorney Angela Christmas.

Again, **TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE** – and I request your responses to the straightforward information my letter seeks by the end of the day, Thursday, March 17, 2022.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 3:30 PM

To: 'ranello@maglaw.com' <ranello@maglaw.com>; 'abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com' <abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com>; 'ad1-agc@nycourts.gov' <ad1-agc@nycourts.gov>; 'AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov' <AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov>

Cc: 'ig@nycourts.gov' <ig@nycourts.gov>

Subject: AGC-1 Chairs Anello & Reardon: NOW A THIRD TIME: your duty with regard to AGC-1's dysfunction & corruption: recall of Chief Attorney Dopico's two Mar. 2, 2022 letters, accounting for the "independent review" they purport, & complaints v. Dopico, etc

TO: 1st Dept. Attorney Grievance Committee Chairs Robert J. Anello, Esq. & Abigail T. Reardon, Esq.

To afford you a third and final opportunity to discharge your duties as the chairs of AGC-1 and pursuant to [NY's Rules of Professional Conduct](#) – whose mandates concerning conflicts of interest, responsibilities of supervisory lawyers, and reporting professional misconduct ALSO apply to you – above-attached and here-linked is [my letter to you of today's date](#) regarding Chief Attorney Dopico's latest fraud by his two

March 2, 2022 letters pertaining to “[Matter of Letitia A. James, Esq. Docket No. 2021.0843](#)” and “[Matter of Barbara Underwood, Esq. Docket No. 2021-0488](#)”, to which he does not indicate you as recipients.

The EVIDENTIARY webpage on which everything is posted and accessible, most importantly my two prior letters to you, of [January 27, 2022](#) and [February 23, 2022](#), transmitted by the below e-mails, is [here](#).

TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE. Please let me hear from you no later than five days from today, March 14, 2022, so that I will know whether it will be necessary for me to communicate directly with the Committee’s other members as to what has been going on.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 12:36 PM
To: 'ranello@maglaw.com' <ranello@maglaw.com>; 'abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com' <abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com>; 'ad1-agc@nycourts.gov' <ad1-agc@nycourts.gov>; 'AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov' <AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov>
Cc: 'ig@nycourts.gov' <ig@nycourts.gov>

Subject: AGAIN -- AGC-1 Committee Chairs Anello & Reardon: (1) reconsideration; (2) complaint vs Chief Attorney Jorge Dopico & other AGC-1 attorney staff

TO: 1st Dept. Attorney Grievance Committee Chairs Robert J. Anello, Esq. & Abigail T. Reardon, Esq.

The above-attached reconsideration/complaint letter of today’s date particularizes the continuing dysfunction and corruption of the operations of AGC-1 under Chief Attorney Dopico, to which [my January 27, 2022 reconsideration/complaint letter](#), sent by the below e-mail, alerted you.

As stated at the conclusion of my today’s reconsideration/complaint letter (at p. 9):

“I respectfully request your prompt confirmation that you will be furnishing this reconsideration/complaint letter to all AGC-1 members for their appropriate action, consistent with your duties and theirs – and that such was done or will be done with respect to my January 27, 2022 reconsideration/complaint letter, whose explosive electoral significance – as, for instance, to Attorney General James’ run for re-election – was assuredly evident to you, upon your initial read.

TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE.”

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 8:54 AM
To: 'ranello@maglaw.com' <ranello@maglaw.com>; 'abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com' <abigail.reardon@dlapiper.com>; 'ad1-agc@nycourts.gov' <ad1-agc@nycourts.gov>; 'AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov' <AD1-AGC-newcomplaints@nycourts.gov>
Cc: 'ig@nycourts.gov' <ig@nycourts.gov>

Subject: AGC-1 Committee Chairs Anello & Reardon: (1) reconsideration; (2) supervisory oversight; (3) complaint vs Chief Attorney Jorge Dopico & other AGC-1 attorney staff

TO: 1st Dept. Attorney Grievance Committee Chairs Robert J. Anello, Esq. & Abigail T. Reardon, Esq.

Because my above-attached letter seeks not only reconsideration, but your supervisory oversight of the corruption of the operations of the 1st Department Attorney Grievance Committee and is a complaint against Chief Attorney Dopico and other attorney-staff, it is being e-mailed directly to you – with a cc to Unified Court System Inspector General Spatz, from whom I’ve yet to receive ANY response to my [August 27, 2021 complaint against Chief Attorney Dopico](#).

I would be pleased to speak with you about my letter – and to appear before the Committee, including under oath.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

Please be CAREFUL when clicking links or opening attachments from external senders.