Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

From:	Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org></elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent:	Wednesday, May 2, 2018 7:08 AM
То:	'Julie McMahon'
Cc:	'Mark Weiner'; 'Patrick Lohmann'; 'cbaker@syracus.com'
Subject:	"Adult Supervision Required": Please forward to your editors, whose names & phone numbers I again request
Attachments:	constitutional-provisions.pdf; 3-23-16-2nd-supp-complaint-16th-cause-of-action.pdf; 9-2-16-complaint-9th-cause-of-action.pdf; 8-10-17-open-ltr.pdf

<u>TO: Julie McMahon/Syracuse Post-Standard -- "public affairs reporter", covering "courts, government and other</u> <u>issues affecting taxpayers"</u>

This memorializes our extraordinary phone conversation together yesterday afternoon, upon your telephoning me – nearly three weeks after my initial April 11th e-mail to you, entitled "TAKING THE LEAD: Upending the 2018 electoral races with fully-documented, readily-verifiable stories germane to your reporting on 'courts, government and other issues affecting the taxpayers' -- & to the reporting of reporters Weiner, Lohmann, & Baker", as to which you were going to be getting back to me, on behalf of yourself and your colleagues – Mark Weiner, tasked with reporting on New York's gubernatorial race; Patrick Lohmann, "New York state government reporter", and Chris Baker, "public policy reporter".

Referring to my e-mails, you started by asking "how does it translate to a news story". Obvious from that shocking question – and such others as whether CJA's citizen-taxpayer action against Governor Cuomo, Attorney General Schneiderman, Comptroller DiNapoli, and incumbent legislators had been recently commenced -- is that you had NOT read either of my April 11th e-mails or the remarkable chain of e-mails beneath them pertaining to this year's elections and the budget, nor reviewed the links they furnished, nor their above attachments. You admitted that you had NOT watched ANY of the VIDEOS of my testimony at the Legislature's budget hearings – the importance of which my e-mails had emphasized.

No less extraordinary is how our conversation ended. After spending 20 minutes summarizing for you what you should have already known from the e-mails, links, and attachments, you "blew me off", with words to the effect that you would "try" to get to it, but that you were busy with other stories. This was after I had reviewed with you, at length, that Governor Cuomo, Attorney General Schneiderman, Comptroller DiNapoli, and legislative incumbents would ALL go down upon your asking them the simple, single question as to what findings of fact and conclusions of law they made or procured with respect to CJA's October 27, 2011 opposition report pertaining to commission-based judicial pay raises about which I had testified at the Legislature's February 6, 2013 budget hearing, and would additionally demonstrate that the trials and re-trials of former Assembly Speaker Silver and former Temporary Senate President Skelos were and are on "peanut charges" – because what U.S. Attorney Bharara should have indicted them on —and would have secured their convictions for - was "grand larceny of the public fisc" and other corruption pertaining to the commission-based judicial pay raises and the budget, laid out by the corruption complaint I had filed with him on April 15, 2013 against Silver, Skelos, and their co-conspirators – Cuomo, Schneiderman, DiNapoli, and the legislators, among so many others. The result of such prosecutions, clearing out our three government branches of corrupt incumbents, would have ended the "culture of corruption", which, to date, is unchanged, as EVIDENCED by the pleadings of CJA's citizen-taxpayer actions pertaining to the budgets for fiscal years 2014-2015, 2015-2016, 2016-2017, and 2017-2018 – and by the VIDEOS of my testimony before the Legislature on January 30, 2018 and February 5, 2018 about the budget for fiscal year 2018-2019 – and by the culminating \$168 billion state budget that emerged and was enacted on March 30, 2018, based on behind-closed doors, "four men in the room" budget negotiations, with all the hundreds of millions of dollars in unlawful, larcenous expenditures that my testimony had specified, including the commission-based judicial pay raises, intact.

My immediate response to your telling me you would "try" to get back to me, but that you were busy with other stories, was that I would assist you by contracting your editors. I asked you for their names and phone numbers, which you indicated you would provide. As yet, I have not received anything from you.

Kindly furnish me with your editors' names and phone numbers, promptly, so that I might call them. Please also forward them this e-mail, with its below chain and above attachments, with my request for their immediate review. They can start by watching the 10-minute VIDEO of my February 6, 2013 testimony and examining the EVIDENCE I handed up in substantiation, whose webpage posts links for everything, including the October 27, 2011 opposition report: http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/elections/2017/feb-6-2013-testimonyevidence.htm. From there they can move on to the webpage of my April 15, 2013 corruption complaint to U.S. Attorney Bharara – with its EVIDENTIARY substantiation: http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/judicialcompensation/corruption-complaint-to-us-attorney-bharara2.htm. After that, they can read the above attached August 10, 2017 OPEN LETTER, whose summarizing significance relating to this year's elections I also described to you, because you had NOT read it or viewed its EVIDENCE-LAIDEN webpage, http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/elections/ending-the-road-latimer.htm, highlighted by the below e-mail chain that you had NOT read, and then move on to the VIDEOS of my testimony at this year's January 30, 2018 and February 5, 2018 budget hearings, posted on webpages with yet more substantiating EVIDENCE – and culminating in my March 6, 2018 corruption complaint, filed with Albany District Attorney Soares, for enforcement of the "Public Trust Act": http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/2018legislature/menu-2018-legislative-session.htm.

As I have not heard from your fellow reporters, Messrs. Weiner, Lohmann, and Baker, I am sending them this e-mail with a request that they supply me with the names and phone numbers of the editors having supervision over them, if different. Suffice to say that Mr. Weiner accessed and began to run the VIDEO of my February 6, 2013 testimony during our lengthy first conversation together on March 16, 2018, and understood, at that time, that it sufficed to bring down Cuomo, Schneiderman, DiNapoli, and incumbent legislators, starting with Senator DeFrancisco – and also saw the webpages I had constructed with the key EVIDENCE posted chronologically to make everything additionally simple:

for Cuomo: <u>http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/elections/2018/cuomo.htm</u> for Schneiderman: <u>http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/elections/2018/schneiderman.htm</u> for DiNapoli: <u>http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/elections/2018/dinapoli.htm</u> for DeFrancisco: <u>http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/elections/challengers/john-defrancisco.htm</u>

These webpages – as close to "spoon-feeding" as it gets -- are additionally reflected by the below e-mail chain you had NOT read.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <u>www.judgewatch.org</u> 914-421-1200

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <<u>elena@judgewatch.org</u>> Sent: Monday, April 30, 2018 12:41 PM To: 'Julie McMahon' <<u>imcmahon@Syracuse.com</u>>; 'Mark Weiner' <<u>mweiner@Syracuse.com</u>>; 'Patrick Lohmann' <<u>PLohmann@syracuse.com</u>>; 'cbaker@syracus.com' <<u>cbaker@syracus.com</u>>

Subject: Resent: What's happening? -- April 11th e-mail: "TAKING THE LEAD: Upending the 2018 electoral races with fully-documented, readily-verifiable stories germane to your reporting..."

As my below e-mail was sent to you on a Sunday (yesterday), I am resending it today, in case you missed it.